



LOPUSHANSKA H., RAPITA V.

INVERSE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR FRACTIONAL TELEGRAPH EQUATION WITH DISTRIBUTIONS

The inverse Cauchy problem for the fractional telegraph equation

$$u_t^{(\alpha)} - r(t)u_t^{(\beta)} + a^2(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}u = F_0(x)g(t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T],$$

with given distributions in the right-hand sides of the equation and initial conditions is studied. Our task is to determinate a pair of functions: a generalized solution u (continuous in time variable in general sense) and unknown continuous minor coefficient $r(t)$. The unique solvability of the problem is established.

Key words and phrases: generalized function, fractional derivative, inverse problem, Green vector-function.

Ivan Franko National University, 1 Universytetska str., 79000, Lviv, Ukraine
E-mail: 1hp@ukr.net (Lopushanska H.), vrapita@gmail.com (Rapita V.)

INTRODUCTION

The existence and uniqueness theorems were proved, and the representation (in terms of the Green function) of classical solution of a time- and a time-space-fractional Cauchy problem was obtained, for example, in [1, 3–5, 14]. The unique solvability of a time-space-fractional Cauchy problem in spaces of distributions was proved in [8, 10].

Inverse problems for such equations arise in many branches of science and engineering. The inverse boundary value problems for determination of a leading coefficient, or a part of the right-hand side, or an order of a diffusion-wave equation, or an unknown boundary condition, were studied, for example, in [2, 6, 11, 12, 15].

In the present paper we prove the existence and uniqueness of a solution (u, r) of the inverse Cauchy problem

$$u_t^{(\alpha)} - r(t)u_t^{(\beta)} + a^2(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}u = F_0(x)g(t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T], \quad (1)$$

$$u(x, 0) = F_1(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = F_2(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2)$$

$$(u(\cdot, t), \varphi_0(\cdot)) = F(t), \quad t \in (0, T] \quad (3)$$

with the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivatives $u_t^{(\alpha)}, u_t^{(\beta)}$, where F_0, F_1, F_2 are given distributions, F, g, φ_0 are given smooth functions, the symbol (f, φ) stands for the value of the distribution f on the test function φ , a^2 is a positive constant, $(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}u$ is defined with the use of the Fourier transform as follows

$$F[(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}u] = |\lambda|^\gamma F[u],$$

and the following assumption holds:

$$(L) \quad \alpha \in (1, 2), \beta \in (0, 1), \gamma > \alpha, \quad \min\{n, 2, \gamma\} > (n - 1)/2.$$

УДК 517.95

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35S15.

1 NOTATIONS AND AUXILIARY RESULTS

Denote the set of natural numbers by symbol \mathbb{N} . Let $Z_+ := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $Q := \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T]$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n) := C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the space of infinitely differentiable functions compactly supported in \mathbb{R}^n . $\mathcal{D}(\bar{Q})$ is the space of infinitely differentiable functions having compact supports with respect to space variables and such that $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^k v|_{t=T} = 0$, $k \in Z_+$, $\mathcal{D}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of functions from $C^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ having compact supports, $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} := \max_{|\kappa| \leq k} \max_{x \in \text{supp} \varphi} |D^\kappa \varphi(x)|$, where $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$, $\kappa_j \in Z_+$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|\kappa| = \kappa_1 + \dots + \kappa_n$, $D^\kappa \varphi(x) := \frac{\partial^{|\kappa|} \varphi(x)}{\partial x_1^{\kappa_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\kappa_n}}$, while $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}'(\bar{Q})$ are spaces of linear continuous functionals (distributions) over $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\mathcal{D}(\bar{Q})$, respectively. Note that $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the space of generalized functions with compact supports. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}'_+(\mathbb{R}) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}) : f = 0, \forall t < 0\}, \\ \mathcal{D}'_C(Q) &= \{v \in \mathcal{D}'(\bar{Q}) : (v(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot)) \in C(0, T] \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $f * g$ the convolution of the generalized functions f and g , and use the function

$$f_\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta(t)t^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(\lambda)}, & \lambda > 0, \\ f'_{1+\lambda}(t), & \lambda \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma-function, $\theta(t)$ is the Heaviside function. Note that $f_\lambda * f_\mu = f_{\lambda+\mu}$.

Recall that the Riemann-Liouville derivative of order $\beta > 0$ is defined as

$$v_t^{(\beta)}(x, t) = f_{-\beta}(t) * v(x, t),$$

and the Caputo fractional derivative is defined in [3] by

$$\begin{aligned} D_t^\beta v(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t \frac{v(x, \tau)}{(t-\tau)^\beta} d\tau - \frac{v(x, 0)}{t^\beta} \right], \quad \beta \in (0, 1), \\ D_t^\beta v(x, t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_0^t \frac{v_\tau(x, \tau)}{(t-\tau)^{\beta-1}} d\tau - \frac{v_t(x, 0)}{(t-\tau)^{\beta-1}} \right], \quad \beta \in (1, 2). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha, \gamma}(Q) &:= \{v \in C(Q) : (-\Delta)^{\gamma/2} v, D_t^\alpha v \in C(Q)\}, \\ C_{\alpha, \gamma}(\bar{Q}) &:= \{v \in C_{\alpha, \gamma}(Q) \mid v, v_t \in C(\bar{Q})\}, \\ (Lv)(x, t) &:= v_t^{(\alpha)}(x, t) + a^2(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2} v(x, t), \\ (L^{reg}v)(x, t) &:= D_t^\alpha v(x, t) + a^2(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2} v(x, t), \\ (\hat{L}v)(x, t) &:= f_{-\alpha}(t) \hat{*} v(x, t) + a^2(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2} v(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q, \end{aligned}$$

where $f_{-\alpha}(t) \hat{*} v(x, t) = (f_{-\alpha}(\tau), v(x, t + \tau))$, $v \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Q})$. The Green formula holds [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q v(y, \tau) (\hat{L}\psi)(y, \tau) dy d\tau &= \int_Q (L^{reg}v)(y, \tau) \psi(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v(y, 0) dy \int_0^T f_{2-\alpha}(\tau) \psi_\tau(y, \tau) d\tau + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} v_t(y, 0) dy \int_0^T f_{2-\alpha}(\tau) \psi(y, \tau) d\tau, \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in C_{\alpha, \gamma}(\bar{Q})$, $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Q})$.

Assumptions:

(A1) $F_0, F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $t^\varepsilon g(t)$ is a continuous function on $[0, T]$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha/2)$;

(A2) $F, F^{(\beta)} \in C(0, T]$, $\inf_{t \in (0, T]} |F^{(\beta)}(t)| = f = \text{const} > 0$, $t^\varepsilon F^{(\alpha)}(t)$ is a continuous function on $[0, T]$ for some $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha/2)$, $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 1. A pair of functions $(u, r) \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q) \times C(0, T]$ satisfying the identity

$$(u, \widehat{L}\psi) = \int_0^T g(t)(F_0(\cdot), \psi(\cdot, t))dt + \int_0^T r(t)(u_t^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t), \psi(\cdot, t))dt + \sum_{j=1}^2 (F_j(x)f_{j-\alpha}(t), \psi(x, t)) \quad (4)$$

for all $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Q})$ and the condition (3) is called a solution of the problem (1)–(3).

We use the Green function method to prove the solvability of this problem.

Definition 2. A vector-function $(G_0(x, t), G_1(x, t), G_2(x, t))$ such that under rather regular g_0, g_1, g_2 the function

$$u(x, t) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_0(x - y, t - \tau)g_0(y, \tau)dy + \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_j(x - y, t)g_j(y)dy, \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q} \quad (5)$$

is a classical (from $C_{\alpha, \gamma}(\bar{Q})$) solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{aligned} L^{reg}u(x, t) &= g_0(x, t), \quad (x, t) \in Q, \\ u(x, 0) &= g_1(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = g_2(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

is called a Green vector-function of this problem.

Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(y, \tau) &:= \int_{\tau}^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_0(x - y, t - \tau)\varphi(x, t)dxdt, \\ (\widehat{G}_j\varphi)(y) &:= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_j(x - y, t)\varphi(x, t)dxdt, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 ([8]). The following relations hold:

$$G_j(x, t) = (f_{j-\alpha}(\tau), G_0(x, t - \tau)), \quad (x, t) \in Q, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{G}_0(\widehat{L}\psi))(y, \tau) &= \psi(y, \tau), \quad (y, \tau) \in \bar{Q}, \\ (\widehat{G}_j(\widehat{L}\psi))(y) &= (f_{j-\alpha}(\tau), \psi(y, \tau)), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad j = 1, 2, \text{ for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Q}). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Lemma 2 ([1, 4]). The Green vector-function of the Cauchy problem (1), (2) exists.

We also use the notations

$$(\widehat{G}_j\varphi)(y, t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G_j(x - y, t)\varphi(x) dx, \quad j = 0, 1, 2.$$

Lemma 3. For all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, multi-index κ , $|\kappa| = k$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$D_y^\kappa(\widehat{G}_j\varphi) \in C(Q), \quad j = 0, 1, 2,$$

and for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ the following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} |D_y^\kappa(\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(y, t)| &\leq c_k t^{\alpha-\varepsilon-1} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ |D_y^\kappa(\widehat{G}_1\varphi)(y, t)| &\leq c_k(1 + |\ln t|) \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ |D_y^\kappa(\widehat{G}_2\varphi)(y, t)| &\leq c_k \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^k(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (y, t) \in Q. \end{aligned}$$

Hereinafter $b_i, c_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, are positive constants.

Proof. Lemma can be proved with the use of the estimates of the Green vector-function components, which were obtained in [8] by using the properties of the H-functions of Fox [7, 13]. \square

Theorem 1. Assume that (L), (A1) hold. Then there exists a unique solution $u \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q)$ of the problem (1), (2) with $r(t) = 0, t \in [0, T]$. It is defined by

$$(u(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot)) = h_\varphi(t) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), t \in (0, T], \quad (8)$$

where

$$h_\varphi(t) = \sum_{j=1}^2 (F_j(\cdot), (\widehat{G}_j\varphi)(\cdot, t)) + \int_0^t g(\tau) (F_0(\cdot), (\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau)) d\tau, \quad t \in (0, T].$$

Proof. A distribution from $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has a finite order of the singularity. So, there exist $k_0, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and the functions $g_{0\kappa}, g_{1\kappa}, g_{2\kappa} \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(F_j, \varphi) = \sum_{|\kappa| \leq k_j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_{j\kappa}(y) D^\kappa \varphi(y) dy \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (9)$$

It means that $s(F_j) \leq k_j, j = 0, 1, 2$.

Using (9) and Lemma 3, similarly to [9], we show that the function (8) belongs to $\mathcal{D}'_C(Q)$, and using (7), show that it satisfies the equality (4) with $r(t) = 0, t \in [0, T]$. The uniqueness of a solution can be proved as in [9]. \square

2 THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS THEOREMS FOR THE INVERSE PROBLEM

As we know from the Theorem 1, under assumptions (L), (A1) the solution $u \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q)$ of the Cauchy problem (1), (2) satisfies the equation

$$(u(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot)) = h_\varphi(t) + \int_0^t r(\tau) (u_t^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t), (\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau)) d\tau, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), t \in (0, T], \quad (10)$$

and $h_\varphi \in C(0, T]$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Conversely, any solution $u \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q)$ of (10) is the solution of the problem (1), (2).

From the equation (1) we obtain

$$(u_t^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, t), \varphi_0(\cdot)) = a^2(u(\cdot, t), (-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0(\cdot)) + r(t)(u_t^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t), \varphi_0) + g(t)(F_0, \varphi_0).$$

Using (3) and (A2) find

$$r(t) = [F^{(\alpha)}(t) - a^2(u(\cdot, t), (-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0(\cdot)) - g(t)(F_0, \varphi_0)][F^{(\beta)}(t)]^{-1}, \quad t \in (0, T]. \quad (11)$$

Denote by $H(u, t)$ the right-hand side of (11), substitute it in (10) instead of $r(t)$. We obtain the nonlinear operator equation

$$(u(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot)) = h_\varphi(t) + \int_0^t H(u, \tau)(u(\cdot, t), (\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau))d\tau, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad t \in (0, T], \quad (12)$$

relatively unknown function $u \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q)$. Conversely, if $u \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q)$ is a solution of (12), r is defined by (11) then, by the Theorem 1, the pair (u, r) satisfies the problem (1)–(3). So, under assumptions (L), (A1), (A2) a pair $(u, r) \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q) \times C(0, T]$ is a solution of the problem (1)–(3) if and only if the function $u \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q)$ is a solution of (12) and $r(t)$ is defined by (11).

Theorem 2. *Assume that (L), (A1), (A2) hold. Then there exist $T^* \in (0, T]$ ($Q^* = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T^*]$, respectively) and the solution $(u, r) \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q^*) \times C(0, T^*]$ of the problem (1)–(3): the function u is a solution of (12), r is defined by (11).*

Proof. By the Theorem 1 the right-hand side of (12) is continuous on $(0, T]$. It is enough to prove the solvability of the equation (12) in $\mathcal{D}'_C(Q)$. Using (9) and Lemma 3, for all $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $K \geq \max\{k_0, k_1, k_2\}$, where $s(F_j) \leq k_j$, $j = 0, 1, 2$, we obtain

$$t^\varepsilon \left| \int_0^t g(\tau)(F_0(\cdot), (\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t, \tau))d\tau \right| \leq b_0 t^\alpha \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (13)$$

$$t^\varepsilon |h_\varphi(t)| \leq [t^\alpha b_0 + b_1] \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (14)$$

Let $R > 0$, $\varepsilon \in (0, \alpha/2)$,

$$M_{R,\varepsilon} = M_{R,\varepsilon}(Q) = \left\{ v \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q) : \|v\|_\varepsilon = \sup_{t \in (0, T]} \sup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)} \frac{t^\varepsilon |(v(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot))|}{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq R \right\}.$$

Define the operator $P : \mathcal{D}'_C(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'_C(Q)$ as follows

$$((Pv)(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot)) = h_\varphi(t) + \int_0^t H(v, \tau)(v(\cdot, t), (\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau))d\tau, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (15)$$

We use the Banach principle to prove the solvability of the equation (12), that is

$$u = Pu, \quad u \in M_{R,\varepsilon}(Q) \subset \mathcal{D}'_C(Q).$$

At the beginning we show that there exist $R > 0$, $T^* \in (0, T]$, $Q^* = \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T^*]$ and $M_{R,\varepsilon}^* = M_{R,\varepsilon}(Q^*)$ such that $P : M_{R,\varepsilon}^* \rightarrow M_{R,\varepsilon}^*$.

For every $v \in M_{R,\varepsilon}$ we have

$$\tau^\varepsilon |(v(\cdot, \tau), a^2(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0(\cdot))| \leq R \|(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)} := b_2R,$$

and therefore

$$\tau^\varepsilon |H(v, \tau)| \leq \frac{B + b_2R}{f}, \text{ where } B = \sup_{\tau \in (0, T]} \tau^\varepsilon |F^{(\alpha)}(\tau) - g(\tau)(F_0, \varphi_0)|.$$

From here, taking into account (13), (14) and Lemma 3, for all $v \in M_{R,\varepsilon}$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t^\varepsilon |((Pv)(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot))|}{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}} &\leq t^\alpha b_0 + b_1 + \frac{(B + b_2R)R}{f} \int_0^t \frac{\|(\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau)\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tau^{-\varepsilon} d\tau}{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \\ &\leq t^\alpha b_0 + b_1 + \frac{(B + b_2R)R}{f} \int_0^t c_K(t - \tau)^{\alpha - \varepsilon - 1} \tau^{-\varepsilon} d\tau \\ &\leq t^{\alpha - 2\varepsilon} (q_0R^2 + q_1R + q_2) + b_1, \end{aligned}$$

where q_j ($j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$) are positive constants.

To realize the inequality

$$t^{\alpha - 2\varepsilon} (q_0R^2 + q_1R + q_2) + b_1 \leq R \text{ for all } t \in [0, T^*] \quad (16)$$

with some $T^* \in (0, T]$, we will at first choose $R \geq 2b_1$ and $t_0 \in (0, T]$ such that

$$q_2t^{\alpha - 2\varepsilon} + b_1 \leq R/2 \text{ for all } t \in [0, t_0].$$

Then (16) follows from the inequality

$$(q_0 + q_1)t^{\alpha - 2\varepsilon} R \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ for all } t \in [0, T^*] \quad (17)$$

for some $R \geq \max\{1, 2b_1\}$, where $T^* = \min\{t_0, 1/[2(q_0 + q_1)R]^{1/(\alpha - 2\varepsilon)}\}$. We have proved the existence R, T^* such that $P : M_{R,\varepsilon}^* \rightarrow M_{R,\varepsilon}^*$.

Now we show that P is the contraction operator on $M_{R,\varepsilon}^*$. For $v_1, v_2 \in M_{R,\varepsilon}^*$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $t \in [0, T^*]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{t^\varepsilon |((Pv_1)(\cdot, t) - (Pv_2)(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot))|}{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}} &= \frac{t^\varepsilon}{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \int_0^t \left| H(v_2, \tau) (v_1(\cdot, t) - v_2(\cdot, t), (\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (H(v_1, \tau) - H(v_2, \tau)) (v_1(\cdot, t), (\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau)) \right| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{(B + b_2R)t^\varepsilon}{f} \int_0^t \frac{|(v_1(\cdot, t) - v_2(\cdot, t), (\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau))| \|(\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau)\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|(\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau)\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \tau^{-\varepsilon} d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{a^2t^\varepsilon R \|(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{f} \int_0^t \frac{|(v_1(\cdot, \tau) - v_2(\cdot, \tau), (-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0(\cdot))| \|(\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau)\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}} d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{(B + 2b_2R)}{f} \cdot \frac{\int_0^t \|(\widehat{G}_0\varphi)(\cdot, t - \tau)\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)} \tau^{-\varepsilon} d\tau}{\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{D}^K(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \leq (2q_0R + q_1)t^{\alpha - 2\varepsilon} \|v_1 - v_2\|_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

If $(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0(x) \equiv 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $(v_1(\cdot, t) - v_2(\cdot, t), (-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0(\cdot)) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T^*]$, and the factor 2 is absent in the obtained expression.

For $t \in [0, T^*]$ we have

$$(2q_0R + q_1)t^{\alpha-2\varepsilon} \leq \frac{2q_0R + q_1}{2(q_0 + q_1)R} \leq \frac{2q_0 + q_1}{2(q_0 + q_1)} < 1.$$

So, P is the contraction operator on $M_{R,\varepsilon}(Q^*)$, and by the Banach theorem we obtain the solvability of the equation (12) in $M_{R,\varepsilon}^* \subset \mathcal{D}'_C(Q^*)$. \square

Theorem 3. Under conditions $F^{(\beta)} \in C(0, T]$, $\inf_{t \in (0, T]} |F^{(\beta)}(t)| \neq 0$ a solution $(u, r) \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q) \times C(0, T]$ of the problem (1)–(3) is unique.

Proof. Take two solutions $(u_1, r_1), (u_2, r_2) \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q) \times C(0, T]$ of the problem (1)–(3) and substitute them in (1), (2). Putting $u = u_1 - u_2$, $r = r_1 - r_2$ obtain the Cauchy problem for the equation

$$u_t^{(\alpha)} = a^2(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}u + r_2u_t^{(\beta)} + ru_{1t}^{(\beta)} \quad (18)$$

with zero initial conditions. By the definition of solution

$$(u, \widehat{L}\psi) = \int_0^T \left[r_2(t)(u_t^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t), \psi(\cdot, t)) + r(t)(u_{1t}^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t), \psi(\cdot, t)) \right] dt \quad \text{for all } \psi \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Q}).$$

According to [8], for each $\varrho \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Q})$ there exists $\psi = \widehat{\mathcal{G}}_0\varrho \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Q}_0)$ such that $\widehat{L}\psi = \varrho$ in Q . Then for each $\varrho \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Q})$ we have

$$\int_0^T (u(\cdot, t), \varrho(\cdot, t)) dt = \int_0^T (r_2(t)u_t^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t) + r(t)u_{1t}^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t), (\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_0\varrho)(\cdot, t)) dt. \quad (19)$$

From the over-determination condition (3), by using (11), we find

$$a^2(u(z, t), (-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0(z)) = -r(t)F^{(\beta)}(t), \quad t \in (0, T], \quad (20)$$

and then, from (19), for all $\varrho \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Q})$ we obtain the equation

$$\int_0^T \left(u_t^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t), \varrho(\cdot, t) - r_2(t)(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_0\varrho)(\cdot, t) + \frac{(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0(\cdot)w_\varrho(t)}{F^{(\beta)}(t)} \right) dt = 0, \quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} w_\varrho(t) &= a^2(u_{1t}^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t), (\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_0\varrho)(\cdot, t)) \\ &= a^2(f_{-\beta}(t) * u_1(\cdot, t), (\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_0\varrho)(\cdot, t)) = a^2(u_1(\cdot, t), f_{-\beta}(t) \hat{*} (\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_0\varrho)(\cdot, t)) \end{aligned}$$

is the known function from $C(0, T]$,

$$\varrho(\cdot, t) - r_2(t)(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_0\varrho)(\cdot, t) + \frac{(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0(\cdot)w_\varrho(t)}{F^{(\beta)}(t)} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad t \in (0, T]$$

is the continuous function in $t \in (0, T]$. So, for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\mu \in \mathcal{D}(0, T]$, $\mu(T) = 0$ there exists a unique solution $\varrho \in \mathcal{D}(\bar{Q})$ of the second type Volterra integral equation

$$\varrho(x, t) - r_2(t)(\widehat{\mathcal{G}}_0\varrho)(x, t) + \frac{(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}\varphi_0(x)w_\varrho(t)}{F^{(\beta)}(t)} = \varphi(x)\mu(t), \quad (x, t) \in \bar{Q},$$

with integrable kernel. Then (21) implies that

$$\int_0^T \left(u_t^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot) \right) \mu(t) dt = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), \mu \in \mathcal{D}(0, T], \mu(T) = 0.$$

By the Dubua-Rejmon lemma we obtain

$$\left(u_t^{(\beta)}(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot) \right) = 0 \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n), t \in (0, T].$$

Therefore, $u_t^{(\beta)} = 0$, i.e. $f_{-\beta}(t) * u(x, t) = 0$, i.e. $f_\beta(t) * f_{-\beta}(t) * u(x, t) = 0$, i.e. $u = 0$ in $\mathcal{D}'_C(Q)$, and (20) implies that $r(t) = 0, t \in (0, T]$. □

3 CONCLUSIONS

The inverse Cauchy problem for a time-space-fractional telegraph equation with given distributions in the right-hand sides has been studied. We have determinated a generalized solution u of direct Cauchy problem and unknown, depending on time variable, continuous minor coefficient r of the equation. The existence of a solution $(u, r) \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q^*) \times C(0, T^*]$ is obtained for some $T^* \in (0, T]$. The uniqueness of a solution $(u, r) \in \mathcal{D}'_C(Q) \times C(0, T]$ is obtained for arbitrary $T > 0$.

Let $\mathcal{D}'_C(\bar{Q}) = \{v \in \mathcal{D}'(\bar{Q}) : (v(\cdot, t), \varphi(\cdot)) \in C[0, T] \text{ for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$. The Green vector-function of the Cauchy problem for the operator $D_t^\alpha - A(x, D)$, where $A(x, D)$ is an elliptic differential expression of the second order with infinitely differentiable coefficients, has the exponential descending at infinity. So, unlike the case of the proposed problem (1)–(3), under assumptions $F_0, F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $g \in C[0, T]$, $F, F^{(\beta)}, F^{(\alpha)} \in C[0, T]$, $F^{(\beta)}(t) \neq 0, t \in [0, T]$ and the compatibility conditions

$$(F_1, \varphi_0) = F(0), \quad (F_2, \varphi_0) = F'(0),$$

there exist $T^* \in (0, T]$ and the solution $(u, r) \in \mathcal{D}'_C(\bar{Q}^*) \times C[0, T^*]$ of the problem (1)–(3) with the operator $-A(x, D)$ instead of $a^2(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Anh V.V., Leonenko N.N. *Spectral analysis of fractional kinetic equations with random data*. J. Statist. Phys. 2001, **104** (5-6), 1349–1387. doi:10.1023/A:1010474332598
- [2] Cheng J., Nakagawa J., Yamamoto M., Yamazaki T. *Uniqueness in an inverse problem for a one-dimensional fractional diffusion equation*. Inverse Problems 2009, **25** (11), 1–16. doi:10.1088/0266-5611/25/11/115002
- [3] Džrbashian M.M., Nersessyan A.B. *Fractional derivatives and Cauchy problem for differentials of fractional order*. Izv. Akad. Nauk Arm. SSR. Ser. Mat. 1968, **3**, 3–29.
- [4] Duan J.-Sh. *Time- and space-fractional partial differential equations*. J. Math. Phys. 2005, **46** (1), 013504. doi:10.1063/1.1819524

- [5] Eidelman S.D., Ivasyshen S.D., Kochubei A.N. Analytic methods in the theory of differential and pseudo-differential equations of parabolic type. In: *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, 152. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel-Boston-Berlin, 2004. doi:10.1007/978-3-0348-7844-9
- [6] Hatano Y., Nakagawa J., Wang Sh., Yamamoto M. *Determination of order in fractional diffusion equation*. Journal of Math-for-Industry 2013, **5A**, 51–57.
- [7] Kilbas A.A., Saigo M. *H-Transforms: Theory and Applications*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, Boca-Raton, 2004.
- [8] Lopushanska H.P., Lopushansky A.O. *Space-time fractional Cauchy problem in spaces of generalized function*. Ukrainian Math. J. 2013, **64** (8), 1215–1230. doi: 10.1007/s11253-013-0711-z (translation of Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 2012, **64** (8), 1067–1080. (in Ukrainian))
- [9] Lopushansky A.O. *Regularity of the solutions of the boundary value problems for diffusion-wave equation with generalized functions in right-hand sides*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2013, **5** (2), 279–289. doi:10.15330/cmp.5.2.279-289 (in Ukrainian)
- [10] Lopushansky A.O. *The Cauchy problem for an equation with fractional derivatives in Bessel potential spaces*. Siberian Math. J. 2014, **55** (6), 1089–1097. doi:10.1134/S0037446614060111 (translation of Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 2014, **55** (6), 1334–1344. (in Russian))
- [11] Nakagawa J., Sakamoto K., Yamamoto M. *Overview to mathematical analysis for fractional diffusion equation — new mathematical aspects motivated by industrial collaboration*. Journal of Math-for-Industry 2010, **2A**, 99–108.
- [12] Rundell W., Xu X., Zuo L. *The determination of an unknown boundary condition in fractional diffusion equation*. Appl. Anal. 2013, **92** (7), 1511–1526. doi: 10.1080/00036811.2012.686605
- [13] Srivastava H.M., Gupta K.C., Goyal S.P. *The H-functions of one and two variables with applications*. South Asian Publishers, New Dehli, 1982.
- [14] Voroshylov A.A., Kilbas A.A. *Conditions of the existence of classical solution of the Cauchy problem for diffusion-wave equation with Caputo partial derivative*. Dokl. Akad. Nauk. 2007, **414** (4), 1–4. (in Russian)
- [15] Zhang Y. and Xu X. *Inverse source problem for a fractional diffusion equation*. Inverse Problems. 2011, **27** (3), 1–12. doi:10.1088/0266-5611/27/3/035010

Received 17.03.2016

Revised 22.04.2016

Лопушанська Г., Рапіта В. *Обернена задача Коші для телеграфного рівняння з дробовими похідними та узагальненими функціями* // Карпатські матем. публ. — 2016. — Т.8, №1. — С. 118–126.

Досліджуємо обернену задачу Коші для рівняння

$$u_t^{(\alpha)} - r(t)u_t^{(\beta)} + a^2(-\Delta)^{\gamma/2}u = F_0(x)g(t), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T],$$

з дробовими похідними та заданими узагальненими функціями в правих частинах рівняння і початкових умов. Наше завдання полягає у визначенні пари функцій: узагальненого розв'язку u (неперервного за часом в узагальненому сенсі) та невідомого молодшого коефіцієнта $r(t)$. У статті встановлено однозначну розв'язність задачі.

Ключові слова і фрази: узагальнена функція, дробова похідна, обернена задача, вектор функція Гріна.