



ZABOLOTSKYI M.V., BASIUK YU.V.

ASYMPTOTICS OF THE ENTIRE FUNCTIONS WITH v -DENSITY OF ZEROS ALONG THE LOGARITHMIC SPIRALS

Let v be the growth function such that $rv'(r)/v(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, $l_\varphi^c = \{z = te^{i(\varphi+c\ln t)}, 1 \leq t < +\infty\}$ be the logarithmic spiral, f be the entire function of zero order. The asymptotics of $\ln f(re^{i(\theta+c\ln r)})$ along ordinary logarithmic spirals l_θ^c of the function f with v -density of zeros along l_φ^c outside of the C_0 -set is found. The inverse statement is true just in case zeros of f are placed on the finite logarithmic spirals system $\Gamma_m = \bigcup_{j=0}^m l_{\theta_j}^c$.

Key words and phrases: entire function, density of zeros, logarithmic spiral.

Ivan Franko National University, 1 Universytetska str., 79000, Lviv, Ukraine

E-mail: mykola.zabolotskyi@lnu.edu.ua (Zabolotskyi M.V.), yuliya.basyuk.92@gmail.com (Basiuk Yu.V.)

INTRODUCTION

The issues related to the study of behavior of entire functions along the logarithmic spirals were considered in [1–4, 6]. In particular, Macintyre [6] introduced the notion of an indicator along the logarithmic spiral and generalized the concept of associated function. Kennedy [3] generalized the concept of Mittag - Leffler function on the curvilinear area. Valiron-type and Valiron-Titchmarsh-type theorems for entire functions of positive order with zeros on the logarithmic spiral were proved by Balašov [2] and Kheifits [4] correspondingly. The relation between regular behavior of logarithm of modulus of entire function f of positive order along the curves of regular rotation (in particular, the logarithmic spirals) and existence of density of zeros of f along these curves was investigated in [1]. The results of [1] generalize the well-known Levin and Pfluger research of entire functions of completely regular growth (see, for example, [5, p. 118-122; p. 199]).

In this paper we study issues that similar to ones considered in [1] for entire functions of zero order.

1 SECTION WITH RESULTS

For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi \in [-\pi; \pi)$ we denote by $l_\varphi^c(a, r) = \{z : z = te^{i(\varphi+c\ln t)}, a \leq t < r\}$, $l_\varphi^c(1, +\infty) = l_\varphi^c$ the logarithmic spiral, $D^c(r; \alpha, \beta) = \bigcup_{\alpha \leq \varphi < \beta} l_\varphi^c(1, r)$ the curvilinear sector, $-\pi \leq \alpha < \beta < \pi$.

Let L be the set of all growth functions v such that $rv'(r)/v(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ where growth function $v : [0; +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a continuously differentiable increasing to $+\infty$ function. It is clear that a set L coincides with accuracy to equivalent functions with a set of slow growing

УДК 517.53

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D20.

functions in the sense of Karamata ([7, p. 15]). For $v \in L$ we denote by $H_0(v)$ the class of entire functions f of zero order that satisfy the condition $n(r) = O(v(r))$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$, where $n(r) = n(r, 0, f)$ is counting function of zeros $(a_n)_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ of function f .

We say that zeros of the function $f \in H_0(v)$ have v -density $\Delta^c(\alpha, \beta)$ along logarithmic spirals l_φ^c if the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^c(r; \alpha, \beta)}{v(r)} = \Delta^c(\alpha, \beta)$$

exists for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < \beta - \alpha \leq 2\pi$ with the exception, perhaps, of α or β belongs to some countable set \mathcal{N} , where $n^c(r; \alpha, \beta)$ is a number of zeros of the function f in $D^c(r; \alpha, \beta)$.

The equality $\Delta^c(\varphi) = \Delta^c(\varphi_1, \varphi)$ for a fixed $\varphi_1 \notin \mathcal{N}$ defines on the segment $[\varphi_1, \varphi_1 + 2\pi]$ a non-decreasing function $\Delta^c(\varphi)$ which we extend on \mathbb{R} by the rule $\Delta^c(\varphi + 2\pi) - \Delta^c(\varphi) = \Delta^c(\varphi_1 + 2\pi) - \Delta^c(\varphi_1)$.

The logarithmic spiral l_θ^c satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0+} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{n^c(r; \theta - h, \theta + h)}{v(r)} = 0$$

is called *ordinary* for $f \in H_0(v)$. The other logarithmic spirals are called *exceptional*. It follows from monotonicity of the function $\Delta^c(\varphi)$ that the set of exceptional logarithmic spirals is no more than countable if zeros of $f \in H_0(v)$ have v -density $\Delta^c(\alpha, \beta)$ along l_φ^c .

Denote by $\ln \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right)$, $a_n \in l_\theta^c$ the single-valued in the domain $D(l_\theta^c) = \mathbb{C} \setminus l_\theta^c(|a_n|, +\infty)$ branch of multi-valued function $Ln \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right)$ such that $\ln \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right) \Big|_{z=0} = 0$. Let

$$f(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right) \in H_0(v). \quad (1)$$

Then

$$\ln f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \ln \left(1 - \frac{z}{a_n}\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} l_{\varphi_i}^c(r_i, +\infty),$$

where r_i is the minimum module of zeros a_i of f that lie on the logarithmic spiral $l_{\varphi_i}^c$, $\varphi_i = \arg a_i \in [-\pi, \pi)$.

We call a set $E \in \mathbb{C}$ the C_0 -set if it can be covered by a system of circles $\{z : |z - a_k| < r_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{|a_k| \leq r} r_k = o(r)$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

We write $\hat{h}(\theta; \psi)$ for the 2π -periodic extension of the function $h(\theta; \psi) = \theta - \psi - \pi$ from $(\psi; \psi + 2\pi)$ to \mathbb{R} , $-\pi \leq \psi < \pi$. Note $N(r) = N(r, 0, f) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t)}{t} dt$,

$$H_f^c(\theta) = \int_{\theta-2\pi}^{\theta} (\theta - \psi - \pi) d\Delta^c(\psi) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \hat{h}(\theta; \psi) d\Delta^c(\psi). \quad (2)$$

Theorem 1. Let $v \in L$, $f \in H_0(v)$, zeros of f have v -density $\Delta^c(\alpha, \beta)$ along l_φ^c . Then there is a C_0 -set E such that the following asymptotic relation holds ($|z| = r$):

$$\ln f(z) = (1 + ic)N(r) + iH_f^c(\theta)v(r) + o(v(r)), \quad z \in l_\theta^c, z \notin E, \quad (3)$$

where l_θ^c is ordinary logarithmic spiral.

Let $\Gamma_m = \bigcup_{j=1}^m l_{\theta_j}^c$, $-\pi \leq \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_m < \pi$ be a finite system of logarithmic spirals, $\theta_{m+1} = \theta_1 + 2\pi$.

Theorem 2. Let $v \in L$, $f \in H_0(v)$, zeros of f lie on Γ_m , H be a piecewise continuous on $[-\pi, \pi)$ function. If for any $\delta > 0$ the following asymptotic relation

$$\ln f\left(re^{i(\theta+c\ln r)}\right) = (1+ic)N(r) + iH(\theta)v(r) + o(v(r)), \quad r \rightarrow \infty \quad (4)$$

holds uniformly with respect to $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi) \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{m+1} (\theta_j - \delta; \theta_j + \delta)$, then zeros of f have v -density $\Delta^c(\alpha, \beta)$ along l_φ^c .

Remark. The condition that zeros of $f \in H_0(v)$ lie on a finite system of logarithmic spirals Γ_m is significant in Theorem 2. In the general case of zeros arrangement the statement of Theorem 2 is wrong (see [8] in case $c = 0$).

2 THE PROOF OF RESULTS

At first we present the lemmas that will be used in the proof of the theorems.

Lemma 1 ([11]). Let $\Delta > 0$, $v \in L$, $f \in H_0(v)$, zeros of f lie on the logarithmic spiral l_ψ^c , $\psi \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$n(r) = (1 + o(1))\Delta v(r), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Then for $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\psi + 2\pi k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ the following asymptotic relation holds:

$$\ln f\left(re^{i(\theta+c\ln r)}\right) = (1+ic)N(r) + i\Delta\hat{h}(\theta; \psi)v(r) + o(v(r)), \quad r \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5)$$

moreover, relation (5) is uniform with respect to $\theta \in [\psi + \delta; \psi + 2\pi - \delta]$, $0 < \delta < 1$.

Lemma 2. Let f has the form defined in (1), zeros of f have v -density $\Delta^c(\alpha, \beta)$ along l_φ^c , $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary number. Then there exist $\delta > 0$ and a C_0 -set E such that for all ordinary logarithmic spirals l_θ^c of the function f the following inequality holds:

$$\left| \ln f(z) - \ln f^\delta(z) \right| < \varepsilon v(r), \quad z \in l_\theta^c, \quad z \notin E,$$

where $f^\delta(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{+\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{a'_n}\right)$, $|a'_n| = |a_n|$, $|\arg a_n - \arg a'_n| < \delta$.

The proof of the Lemma 2 follows from the considerations similar to [5, p. 132-133], [1, p. 352-353] and Theorem 1 from [10].

We say that a set $F \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ is E_0 -set if F is a measurable and $\text{mes}(E \cap [0, r]) = o(r)$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

In view of Lemmas 4 and 5 from [9], we get

Lemma 3. Let $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi)$, $v \in L$, $f \in H_0(v)$, $\delta > 0$. Then there exists a E_0 -set F such that

$$r \int_{\theta-\delta}^{\theta+\delta} \left| \frac{f'(re^{i\varphi})}{f(re^{i\varphi})} \right| d\varphi = O(v(r)) \left(\delta + \delta \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad r \notin F.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ is given arbitrary number, function $H_f^c(\theta)$ defined by formula (2). Choose $\delta > 0$ such that the integral sum

$$S_m(\theta) = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \hat{h}(\theta; \psi_j) (\Delta^c(\psi_{j+1}) - \Delta^c(\psi_j)),$$

where $-\pi = \psi_0 < \psi_1 < \dots < \psi_{m-1} < \psi_m = \pi$, $\max_{0 \leq j \leq m-1} |\psi_{j+1} - \psi_j| < \delta$, satisfies the inequality

$$\left| H_f^c(\theta) - S_m(\theta) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}. \quad (6)$$

Then take numbers a'_k such that $|a'_k| = |a_k|$, $a'_k \in I_{\psi_j}^c$ if $a_k \in I_{\psi_j}^c$, $\psi_j \leq \psi < \psi_{j+1}$ ($j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$) and build the function $f^\delta(z)$. Applying Lemma 2 we obtain that there exist $\delta > 0$ and C_0 -set E_1 such that for all ordinary logarithmic spirals I_θ^c of f and f^δ the following inequality holds:

$$\left| \ln f(z) - \ln f^\delta(z) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} v(r), \quad z \notin E_1, \quad z \in I_\theta^c. \quad (7)$$

Zeros of $f^\delta(z)$ lie on a finite system of logarithmic spirals Γ_m so $f^\delta(z)$ can be depicted as a product of m entire functions such that zeros of each function lie on a single logarithmic spiral $I_{\psi_j}^c$. From Lemma 1 (see (5)) we get that inequality

$$\left| \frac{\ln f^\delta(z) - (1+ic)N(r)}{v(r)} - iS_m(\theta) \right| < \varepsilon, \quad z \in I_\theta^c$$

holds uniformly with respect to $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\psi_j - \delta; \psi_j + \delta)$, where $\delta > 0$ is an arbitrary number.

Further taking into account (6), (7) we obtain that for $z \notin E_1$, $z \in I_\theta^c$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\psi_j - \delta; \psi_j + \delta)$ the following inequality holds:

$$\left| \frac{\ln f(z) - (1+ic)N(r)}{v(r)} - iH_f^c(\theta) \right| < \varepsilon. \quad (8)$$

Choosing another segmentation of $[-\pi; \pi]$ by points $(\psi'_j)_{j=0}^m$, $|\psi'_{j+1} - \psi'_j| < \delta$ such that intervals $(\psi'_j - \delta; \psi'_j + \delta)$ do not have the mutual points with intervals $(\psi_j - \delta; \psi_j + \delta)$, we get that (8) holds for $z \notin E_2$, $z \in I_\theta^c$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^m (\psi'_j - \delta; \psi'_j + \delta)$, where E_2 is some C_0 -set.

This yields that (3) holds for all ordinary logarithmic spirals I_θ^c of function f . So Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $v \in L$, $\Omega = \{|a_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, a_n be zeros of $f \in H_0(v)$ that lie on a finite system of logarithmic spirals $\Gamma_m = \bigcup_{j=1}^m I_{\theta_j}^c$, $-\pi \leq \theta_1 < \dots < \theta_m < \pi$. Set

$$\partial D^c(r; \alpha, \beta) = I_\alpha^c(1, r) \cup \Gamma(r; \alpha, \beta) \cup \left(I_\beta^c(1, r) \right)^{-1} \cup \left(\Gamma(1; \alpha, \beta) \right)^{-1},$$

where $r \notin \Omega$, $-\pi \leq \theta_{k_0-1} < \alpha < \theta_{k_0} < \dots < \theta_{s_0} < \beta < \theta_{s_0+1} < \pi$,

$$\Gamma(\tau; \alpha, \beta) = \{z = \tau e^{i(\varphi + c \ln \tau)} : \alpha \leq \varphi \leq \beta\}.$$

Since $dz = (1 + ic)e^{i(\varphi+c\ln t)}dt$ for $l_\theta^c(1, r)$ then with the notation

$$F(\tau, \varphi) = \tau e^{i(\varphi+c\ln \tau)} \frac{f'(\tau e^{i(\varphi+c\ln \tau)})}{f(\tau e^{i(\varphi+c\ln \tau)})}$$

using Residue theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i n^c(r; \alpha, \beta) &= \int_{\partial D^c(r; \alpha, \beta)} \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz = \left(\int_{l_\alpha^c(1, r)} + \int_{\Gamma(r; \alpha, \beta)} - \int_{l_\beta^c(1, r)} - \int_{\Gamma(1; \alpha, \beta)} \right) \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} dz \\ &= (1 + ic) \int_1^r \left(\frac{F(t, \alpha)}{t} - \frac{F(t, \beta)}{t} \right) dt + \int_\alpha^\beta (F(r, \theta) - F(1, \theta)) id\theta \\ &= \ln f(re^{i(\alpha+c\ln r)}) - \ln f(re^{i(\beta+c\ln r)}) \\ &\quad + \left(\int_\alpha^{\theta_{k_0}-\delta} + \sum_{j=k_0}^{s_0-1} \int_{\theta_j+\delta}^{\theta_{j+1}-\delta} + \int_{\theta_{s_0}+\delta}^\beta + \sum_{j=k_0}^{s_0} \int_{\theta_j-\delta}^{\theta_j+\delta} \right) F(r, \theta) id\theta + C, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $C = -\ln f(e^{i\alpha}) + \ln f(e^{i\beta}) - \int_\alpha^\beta F(1, \theta) id\theta$, $0 < \delta < \min \left\{ \frac{\theta_{k_0} - \alpha}{2}, \frac{\beta - \theta_{s_0}}{2}, \frac{\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j}{2} \right\}$,
 $j = \overline{k_0, s_0 - 1}$.

Taking account of $\int_{\theta_j+\delta}^{\theta_{j+1}-\delta} F(r, \theta) id\theta = \ln f(re^{i(\theta_{j+1}-\delta+c\ln r)}) - \ln f(re^{i(\theta_j+\delta+c\ln r)})$, from (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\pi i n^c(r; \alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{j=k_0}^{s_0} \left(\ln f(re^{i(\theta_j-\delta+c\ln r)}) - \ln f(re^{i(\theta_j+\delta+c\ln r)}) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=k_0}^{s_0} \int_{\theta_j-\delta}^{\theta_j+\delta} F(re^{i(\theta+c\ln r)}) id\theta = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Applying (4) we get

$$\Sigma_1 = i \sum_{j=k_0}^{s_0} (H(\theta_j - \delta) - H(\theta_j + \delta)) v(r) + o(v(r)), \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

In view of Lemma 3, there exist E_0 -sets F_j such that ($j = \overline{k_0, s_0}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\theta_j-\delta}^{\theta_j+\delta} F(re^{i(\theta+c\ln r)}) id\theta \right| &\leq r \int_{\theta_j-\delta}^{\theta_j+\delta} \left| \frac{f'(re^{i(\theta+c\ln r)})}{f(re^{i(\theta+c\ln r)})} \right| d\theta = r \int_{\theta_j-\delta}^{\theta_j+\delta} \left| \frac{f'(re^{i\varphi})}{f(re^{i\varphi})} \right| d\varphi \\ &= O(v(r)) \left(\delta + \delta \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad r \notin F_j. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\left| \sum_2 \right| \leq K_1(v(r)) \left(\delta + \delta \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad r \notin F,$$

where $F = \bigcup_{j=k_0}^{s_0} F_j$ is a E_0 -set, K_1 is some constant.

Combining the last inequalities and (10) yields

$$\lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \notin E}} \frac{n^c(r; \alpha, \beta)}{v(r)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=k_0}^{s_0} (H(\theta_j - \delta) - H(\theta_j + \delta)) + K_2 \left(\delta + \delta \ln \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \right) \right).$$

Directing δ to $0+$ gives

$$\lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow +\infty \\ r \notin E}} \frac{n^c(r; \alpha, \beta)}{v(r)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=k_0}^{s_0} (H(\theta_j - 0) - H(\theta_j + 0)) := \Delta(\alpha, \beta).$$

Whereas F is E_0 -set, then any interval $(R, (1 + \eta)R)$, $\eta > 0$, includes points that are not in F . Due to the monotonicity of the function $n^c(r; \alpha, \beta)$ with respect to r for $r > R_0$ we can assert that

$$\frac{n^c(r_1; \alpha, \beta)}{v(r_1)} \frac{v(r_1)}{v(r)} \leq \frac{n^c(r; \alpha, \beta)}{v(r)} \leq \frac{n^c(r_2; \alpha, \beta)}{v(r_2)} \frac{v(r_2)}{v(r)},$$

where $r(1 - \eta) < r_1 < r < r_2 < (1 + \eta)r$, $r_1, r_2 \notin F$.

Since $v(r_2) \sim v(r) \sim v(r_1)$, $r \rightarrow \infty$, the last relation yields

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n^c(r; \alpha, \beta)}{v(r)} = \Delta(\alpha, \beta).$$

Theorem 2 is proved. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Balašov S.K. *On entire functions of completely regular growth along curves of regular rotation*. Math. USSR-Izv. 1976, **10** (2), 321–338. doi:10.1070/IM1976v010n02ABEH001691 (translation of Math. USSR-Izv. 1976, **30** (2), 338–354 (in Russian)).
- [2] Balašov S.K. *On entire functions of finite order with zeros on curves of regular rotation*. Math. USSR-Izv. 1973, **7** (3), 601–627. doi:10.1070/IM1973v007n03ABEH001963 (translation of Math. USSR-Izv. 1973 **37** (3), 603–629 (in Russian)).
- [3] Kennedy P. *A class of integral functions bounded on certain curves*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 1956, **3** (6), 518–547. doi:10.1112/plms/s3-6.4.518
- [4] Kheifits A.I. *Analogue of the Valiron-Titchmarsh theorem for entire functions with roots on a logarithmic spiral*. Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 1980, **12**, 74–75. (in Russian)
- [5] Levin B.Ja. *Distribution of Zeros of Entire Functions*. State publishing house of technical and theoretical literature, Moscow, 1956. (in Russian)
- [6] Macintyre A. *Laplace's transformation and integral functions*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 1939, **45** (2), 1–20. doi:10.1112/plms/s2-45.1.1
- [7] Seneta E. *Regularly varying functions*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin– Heidelberg – New York, 1976.

- [8] Zabolotskii M. *An example of entire function of strongly regular growth*. Mat. Stud. 2000, **13** (2), 145–148.
- [9] Zabolotskii N.V. *Strongly regular growth of entire functions of order zero*. Math. Notes. 1998, **63** (2), 172–182. (translation of Math. Notes. 1998, **63** (2), 196–208. doi:10.4213/mzm1266)
- [10] Zabolotskyi M.V, Basiuk Y.V. *Proximity of the entire functions of zero order with v -density of zeros*. Visnyk of the Lviv Univ. Series Mech. Math. 2017, **84**, 80–86.
- [11] Zabolotskyi M.V, Basiuk Y.V., Tarasyuk S.I. *Entire functions of zero order with zeros on the logarithmic spiral*. Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 2018, **70** (7), 923–932. (in Ukrainian)

Received 20.09.2018

Заболоцький М.В., Басюк Ю.В. *Асимптотика цілих функцій з v -щільністю нулів вздовж логарифмічних спіралей* // Карпатські матем. публ. — 2019. — Т.11, №1. — С. 26–32.

Нехай функція зростання v така, що $rv'(r)/v(r) \rightarrow 0$ при $r \rightarrow +\infty$, $l_\varphi^c = \{z = te^{i(\varphi+c \ln t)}, 1 \leq t < +\infty\}$ — логарифмічна спіраль, f — ціла функція нульового порядку. За умови існування v -щільності нулів f вздовж l_φ^c знайдено асимптотику $\ln f(re^{i(\theta+c \ln r)})$ вздовж звичайних логарифмічних спіралей l_θ^c функції f зовні C_0 -множини. Показано, що обернене до цього твердження правильне лише у випадку розташування нулів f на скінченній системі логарифмічних спіралей $\Gamma_m = \bigcup_{j=0}^m l_{\theta_j}^c$.

Ключові слова і фрази: ціла функція, щільність нулів, логарифмічна спіраль.