We establish the exact-order estimates for the approximation of functions from the Nikol’skii–Besov classes $S^r_{p,\theta}B(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $d \geq 1$, by entire functions of exponential type with some restrictions for their spectrum. The error of the approximation is estimated in the metric of the Lebesgue space $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In the paper, we continue to study the approximative characteristics of the Nikol’skii–Besov classes $S^r_{p,\theta}B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of functions with a dominant mixed derivative in the Lebesgue spaces (see [4, 17, 18, 21, 23, 25]). We have established the order estimates of the best approximation of functions from these classes by entire functions of exponential type with a spectrum focused on the Lebesgue sets whose measure does not exceed $M$.

The spaces $S^r_{p,\theta}B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ were first considered by S. M. Nikol’skii [8] for $\theta = \infty$ (in this case also one can $S^r_{p,\infty}B(\mathbb{R}^d) \equiv S^r_pH(\mathbb{R}^d)$) and T. I. Amanov [1] for $1 \leq \theta < \infty$. In the classical form, the definition of these functional spaces was formulated by S. M. Nikol’skii and T. I. Amanov through mixed multiple differences and mixed multiple modules of continuity of functions. Here, the definition of the Nikol’skii–Besov spaces $S^r_{p,\theta}B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is presented through so-called decomposition representation of the norm of elements from these spaces. Note that decomposition representation and corresponding rationing of the Nikol’skii–Besov spaces were first obtained by S. M. Nikol’skii and P. I. Lizorkin [5]. As it turned out, this decomposition norm of functions plays a key role in the studies of different approximative characteristics of the function classes. This representation is based on the application of the Fourier transform that can be defined using generalized functions (see, e.g., [2, Ch. 11], [6], [15, Ch. 2]).
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2 Definition of classes of functions and approximative characteristics

Let \( \mathbb{R}^d \) be the \( d \)-dimensional Euclidean space with the elements \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_d) \) and \( (x, y) := x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_d y_d \). Denote by \( L_q(\mathbb{R}^d), 1 \leq q \leq \infty \), the space of all functions \( f(x) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_d) \) measurable on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) with the finite norm

\[
\|f\|_q := \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad 1 \leq q < \infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_\infty := \operatorname{ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x)|.
\]

Let \( S = S(\mathbb{R}^d) \) be the Schwarz space of test complex-valued functions \( \varphi \) infinitely differentiable on \( \mathbb{R}^d \) and decreasing at infinity together with their derivatives faster than any power of \( x \). The function \( f \varphi \) and \( \varphi \) is called a generalized function. The complement of the largest open set \( G \) called the null set of the generalized function \( f \). The union of all neighborhoods, where \( f \varphi \) vanishes in an open set \( G \), is an open set and called the null set of the generalized function \( f \). It is denoted by \( G_f \). The complement of the largest open set \( G_f \) to \( \mathbb{R}^d \) is called the support of the generalized function \( f \), i.e., \( \operatorname{supp} f \) is a closed set.

According to the formula

\[
\langle f, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x) \varphi(x) dx, \quad \varphi \in S,
\]

(1)
each function \( f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d), 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), defines a linear continuous functional on \( S \) and, therefore, is an element of \( S' \) in this sense. Hence, the Fourier transform of a function \( f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d), 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), can be regarded as the Fourier transform of the generalized function (1).

Further, let \( k_m(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} k_m(\lambda) e^{-2\pi i \lambda t} d\lambda, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+, K_{-1} := 0 \), where

\[
k_m(\lambda) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\lambda| < 2^m - 1, \\ 2 \left(1 - \frac{|\lambda|}{2^m}\right), & 2^m - 1 \leq |\lambda| \leq 2^m, \\ 0, & |\lambda| > 2^m. \end{cases}
\]

For any vector \( s = (s_1, \ldots, s_d) \), \( s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), \( j = \overline{1,d} \), we define

\[
A_s^*(x) = \prod_{j=1}^d \left( K_{s_j}(x_j) - K_{s_j-1}(x_j) \right),
\]

\[
A_s^*(f, x) = f(x) \ast A_s^*(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(y) A_s^*(x-y) dy.
\]

Also, for all \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d \), consider the sets

\[
Q_{2^s} = \{ \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d) : \eta(s_j)2^{s_j-1} \leq |\lambda_j| < 2^{s_j}, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}, \ j = \overline{1,d} \},
\]
where \( \eta(0) = 0 \) and \( \eta(t) = 1, \ t > 0 \) (respectively, \( Q_{2s}^* \) for \( d = 1 \)).

The following statement is true.

**Lemma 1** (see example [4]). Let \( 1 \leq p \leq \infty \), then for any \( f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \), we have

\[
f(x) = \sum_s A_s^*(f, x)
\]

and \( \text{supp} \ A_s^*(f, x) \subseteq Q_{2s}^* \).

Note that \( A_s^*(f, x) \) is the analog of the de la Vallée Poussin block of sum of periodic function of several variables (see example [14]).

In the accepted notation, the spaces \( S_{p, \theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( 1 \leq p, \theta \leq \infty, \ r > 0 \), can be defined as follows (see, e.g., [4, 16]):

\[
S_{p, \theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d) := \left\{ f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{S_{p, \theta}^r B} < \infty \right\},
\]

where for \( 1 \leq \theta < \infty \),

\[
\|f\|_{S_{p, \theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)} \asymp \left( \sum_{s \geq 0} 2^{(s \cdot r) \theta} \|A_s^*(f, \cdot)\|_p^\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}
\]

and for \( \theta = \infty \),

\[
\|f\|_{S_{p, \infty}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)} := \|f\|_{S_{p, H}^r} \lesssim \sup_{s \geq 0} 2^{(s \cdot r)} \|A_s^*(f, \cdot)\|_p.
\]

Here and below, for positive quantities \( a \) and \( b \), the notation \( a \asymp b \) means that there exist positive constants \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) that do not depend on an essential parameter in the values \( a \) and \( b \) (e.g., \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) in the expressions (2) and (3) do not depend on the function \( f \) such that \( C_1 a \leq b \) (in this case, we write \( a \ll b \)) and \( C_2 a \geq b \) (in this case, we write \( a \gg b \)).

In the present paper, all constants \( C_i \), \( i = 1, 2, \ldots \), depend only on the parameters contained in the definition of the function class, the metric in which we estimate the error of approximation, and the dimension of the space \( \mathbb{R}^d \). Moreover, for the vectors \( a = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \) and \( b = (b_1, \ldots, b_d) \), the inequalities of the type \( a \leq b \) (\( a > b \)) are understood in the coordinate-wise: \( a_j \leq b_j \), \( a_j > b_j \), \( j = 1, \ldots, d \). We also use \( t \geq 0 (t > 0) \) if \( t_j \geq 0 \) \( (t_j > 0) \), \( j = 1, \ldots, d \), and \( a \not\ll b \) if \( a_i \not= b_i \) at least for one \( i, i = 1, \ldots, d \).

In what follows, we use the notations \( S_{p, \theta}^r B \) and \( S_{p, \theta}^r H \) (\( S_{p, \theta}^r B \) and \( S_{p, \theta}^r H \) for \( d = 1 \)) instead of \( S_{p, \theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and \( S_{p, \theta}^r H(\mathbb{R}^d) \) respectively. We also assume that the coordinates of the vector \( r = (r_1, \ldots, r_d) \) are ordered as follows \( 0 < r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r_v < r_{v+1} \cdots \leq r_d \). The vector \( r = (r_1, \ldots, r_d) \) is associated with the vector \( \gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_d) \), \( \gamma_j = r_j/r_1, j = 1, \ldots, d \), and the vector \( \gamma \) is, in turn, associated, with the vector \( \gamma' \), where \( \gamma'_j = \gamma_j \), if \( j = 1,v \) and \( 1 < \gamma'_j < \gamma_j \), \( j = v+1, d \).

In addition, in the case \( 1 < p < \infty \), the norm of functions from the spaces \( S_{p, \theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d) \) can be defined in another form. Let \( A \subset \mathbb{R}^d \) be a measurable set. Denote by \( \chi_A \) a characteristic function of the set \( A \) and for \( f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) \), set \( \delta_A^s(f, x) = \overline{\delta}^{-1}(\chi_{Q_{2s}^*} \cdot \overline{\delta} f) \). The spaces \( S_{p, \theta}^r B \), \( 1 < p < \infty, 1 \leq \theta \leq \infty, r > 0 \), can be defined as follows [5]

\[
S_{p, \theta}^r B := \left\{ f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d) : \|f\|_{S_{p, \theta}^r B} < \infty \right\},
\]
where
\[
\|f\|_{S_{p,\theta}^r} \asymp \left( \sum_{s \geq 0} 2^{(s,r)\theta} \|\delta^s(f, \cdot)\|_p^\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}
\] (4)
for \(1 \leq \theta < \infty\) and
\[
\|f\|_{S_{p,\theta}^r L^p} \asymp \sup_{s \geq 0} 2^{(s,r)\theta} \|\delta^s(f, \cdot)\|_p.
\] (5)

The class \(S_{p,\theta}^r B\) is defined as a set of functions \(f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^d)\) such that \(\|f\|_{S_{p,\theta}^r B} \leq 1\). We preserve the same notations for the classes \(S_{p,\theta}^r B\) as for the spaces \(S_{p,\theta}^r B\).

As can be seen from (2)–(5), for any \(f \in S_{p,\theta}^r B, 1 < p < \infty\), the following relation holds:
\[
\|\delta^s(f, \cdot)\|_p \asymp \|A^s(f, \cdot)\|_p.
\]

Now we consider the approximative characteristics of the classes \(S_{p,\theta}^r B\).

Let \(L \subset \mathbb{Z}^d\) be a finite set, \(\mathfrak{M} := \mathfrak{M}(L) = \bigcup_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d} Q_s^d\). For any \(f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^d), 1 \leq q \leq \infty\), we put
\[
S_{\mathfrak{M}}(f, x) := S_{\mathfrak{M}(L)}(f, x) = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{L}} \delta^s(f, x).
\]

Since \(\text{supp} \ S_{\mathfrak{M}}(f, x) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}\), then \(S_{\mathfrak{M}}(f, x)\) is an entire function of the space \(L_q(\mathbb{R}^d)\).

For \(f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^d)\) and \(S_{p,\theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset L_q(\mathbb{R}^d)\), consider the following approximative characteristic
\[
e_\mathcal{M}^3(f)_q := \inf_{L : \text{mes} \mathfrak{M}(L) \leq M} \left\| f(\cdot) - S_{\mathfrak{M}(L)}(f, \cdot) \right\|_q
\]
and
\[
e_\mathcal{M}^3(S_{p,\theta}^r B)_q := \sup_{f \in S_{p,\theta}^r B} e_\mathcal{M}^3(f)_q.
\] (6)

3 Approximation of functions from classes \(S_{1,\theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)\) by entire functions

The following statements are true.

**Theorem 1.** Let \(r > 1, 1 \leq \theta \leq \infty\) and \(d = 1\). Then the following relation holds:
\[
e_\mathcal{M}^3(S_{1,\theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}))_\infty \asymp M^{-r+1}.
\] (7)

**Theorem 2.** Let \(r_1 > 1, 1 \leq \theta \leq \infty\). Then for \(d \geq 2\) the following relation holds:
\[
e_\mathcal{M}^3(S_{1,\theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d))_\infty \asymp (M^{-1} \log^{r-1} M)^{1-\frac{1}{r}}.
\] (8)

The results of Theorems 1 and 2 are also new for Nikol’skii classes \(S_{1}^r H(\mathbb{R}^d), d \geq 1\).

Let us note that in Theorem 1, the estimate \(e_\mathcal{M}^3(S_{1}^r B(\mathbb{R}))_\infty\) does not depend on the parameter \(\theta\) unlike to the corresponding estimate in the case \(d \geq 2\) (Theorem 2).

Before proving the main results, we formulate auxiliary theorem.

**Theorem 3 ([1]).** Let \(1 \leq p, \theta \leq \infty, 1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty\) and we have a vector \(\rho\) such that \(\rho_j = r_j - \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) > 0, j = 1,d\). If \(f \in S_{p,\theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)\), then \(f \in S_{q,\theta}^\rho B(\mathbb{R}^d)\) and
\[
\|f\|_{S_{q,\theta}^\rho B(\mathbb{R}^d)} \ll \|f\|_{S_{p,\theta}^r B(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\]
Proof of Theorem 1. Since \( r > 1 \), then by virtue of Theorem 3, there exists a number \( \rho \), \( \rho = r - 1 > 0 \), such that for any function \( f \in S_{1, \rho}^B(\mathbb{R}) \), we have \( f \in S_{0, \rho}^B(\mathbb{R}) \subset L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}) \).

First, we will get the upper estimate in (7). Recall now the definition of another approximative characteristic used in the proof of the results. For \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), define the set \( Q_n^\gamma \) as follows

\[
Q_n^\gamma = \bigcup_{(s, \gamma) \leq n} Q^2_s,
\]

where \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). The set \( Q_n^\gamma \) is called a stepwise hyperbolic cross and, moreover, \( \text{mes } Q_n^\gamma \asymp 2^n n^{d-1} \) (see, e.g., [5]), where \( \text{mes } Q_n^\gamma \) is the Lebesgue measure of the set \( Q_n^\gamma \).

For \( f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}^d) \), \( 1 \leq q \leq \infty \), we set

\[
S_{Q_n^\gamma}(f, x) = \sum_{(s, \gamma) \leq n} \delta_s^x(f, x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d
\]

and denote

\[
E_{Q_n^\gamma}(f) = \|f(\cdot) - S_{Q_n^\gamma}(f, \cdot)\|_q \quad \text{and} \quad E_{Q_n^\gamma}(S_{p, \rho}^r B) = \sup_{f \in S_{p, \rho}^r B} E_{Q_n^\gamma}(f). \tag{9}
\]

We now specifying the definition of the quantity \( E_{Q_n^\gamma}(f) \) in the one-dimensional case.

For \( d = 1 \), each of the sets \( Q_2^s \) is a union of the half intervals \((-2^s, -2^{s-1}] \) and \([2^{s-1}, 2^s), \) \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), with the corresponding modification at \( s = 0 \). Then the stepwise hyperbolic cross degenerates into the interval \((-2^n, 2^n)\), as the union of sets \( Q_2^s \) for all \( s \leq n, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), namely \( Q_n := Q_n^\gamma = \bigcup_{s \leq n} Q_2^s \). In addition we have \( |Q_n| \asymp 2^n \), where \( |Q_n| \) denotes the length of the interval.

The definition of (9) for \( f \in L_q(\mathbb{R}) \), \( 1 \leq q \leq \infty \), can be rewritten as follows

\[
E_{Q_n}(f) = \|f(\cdot) - S_{Q_n}(f, \cdot)\|_q, \quad E_{Q_n}(S_{p, \rho}^r B) = \sup_{f \in S_{p, \rho}^r B} E_{Q_n}(f),
\]

where

\[
S_{Q_n}(f, x) = \sum_{s \leq n} \delta_s^x(f, x).
\]

From the definition of the approximative characteristics (6) and (9), it follows that the following relation holds in the case when \( \text{mes } Q_n^\gamma \asymp \text{mes } \mathcal{M} \)

\[
e^\delta_M(S_{p, \rho}^r B) \asymp E_{Q_n^\gamma}(S_{p, \rho}^r B). \tag{10}
\]

The following statement is true.

**Theorem 4 ([25]).** Let \( r_1 > 1 \), \( 1 \leq \theta \leq \infty \). Then the following relation holds:

\[
E_{Q_2}(S_{1, \theta}^r B)_{\infty} \asymp 2^{-n(r_1-1)} n^{(v-1)(1-\frac{1}{\theta})}. \tag{11}
\]

In the case \( d = 1 \), the estimate (11) can be written as follows

\[
E_{Q_n}(S_{1, \theta}^r B)_{\infty} \asymp 2^{-n(r-1)}. \tag{12}
\]

For a given \( M \), we choose a number \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( |Q_n| \leq M < |Q_{n+1}| \), i.e. \( M \asymp 2^n \). Taking into account (10), from relation (12) we get the upper estimate in (7)

\[
e^\delta_M(S_{1, \theta}^r B) \asymp E_{Q_n}(S_{1, \theta}^r B)_{\infty} \asymp 2^{-n(r-1)} \asymp M^{-r+1}.
\]
To obtain the lower estimate in (7), for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), consider the function
\[
f_1(x) = C_3 2^{-nr} A_n^*(x), \quad C_3 > 0,
\]
that is, the function \( f_1 \) consists of one “block” \( A_n^*(x) \).

We give some auxiliary statements.

**Lemma 2** ([25]). Let \( 1 \leq p < \infty \), then the estimate
\[
\|A_s^*(\cdot)\|_p \asymp 2\|s\|_1(1-\frac{1}{p})^{-1}
\]
holds, where \( |s|_1 = s_1 + \ldots + s_d, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j = 1, d \).

**Lemma 3** ([25]). The following relation
\[
\|A_s^*(\cdot)\|_\infty \asymp 2\|s\|_1
\]
holds, where \( |s|_1 = s_1 + \ldots + s_d, s_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+, j = 1, d \).

According to Lemma 2, we have \( \|A_s^*(\cdot)\|_1 \asymp C_4 \). Then for \( 1 \leq \theta < \infty \),
\[
\|f_1\|_{S^r_{1,\theta}B} \asymp \left( \sum_s 2^{sr\theta} \|A_s^*(f_1,\cdot)\|_1^{\theta} \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \asymp (2^{nr\theta} 2^{-nr})^{\frac{1}{\theta}} = 1
\]
and
\[
\|f_1\|_{S^r_{1,\infty}} \asymp \sup_s 2^{sr} \|A_s^*(f_1,\cdot)\|_1 \asymp 2^{nr} 2^{-nr} = 1.
\]
So, the function \( f_1 \) belongs to the class \( S^r_{1,\theta}B \) for all \( 1 \leq \theta \leq \infty \).

For a given \( M \), choosing a number \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( |\tilde{Q}_n| \leq 4M < |\tilde{Q}_{n+1}| \), where \( \tilde{Q}_n = Q^*_2, |\tilde{Q}_n| \asymp 2^n \), and using Lemma 3, we conclude that
\[
\|f_1(\cdot) - S_{2^n}(f_1,\cdot)\|_\infty \geq \|f_1(\cdot)\|_\infty - \|S_{2^n}(f_1,\cdot)\|_\infty \gg 2^{-nr}(2^n - M) \gg 2^{-nr} 2^n \asymp M^{-r+1}.
\]

The lower estimate is established. Theorem 1 is proved. \( \square \)

Before proving Theorem 2 we note that by Theorem 3 the condition \( r_1 > 1 \) ensures that there exists a vector \( \rho, \rho_j = r_j - 1 > 0, j = 1, d \), such that any function \( f \in S^r_{1,\theta}B(\mathbb{R}^d) \) belongs to the set \( S^r_{q_0,\theta}B(\mathbb{R}^d) \) and therefore \( f \in L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). In addition, we can say that for some \( 1 < q_0 < \infty \), \( f \in S^r_{q_0,\theta}B \), where \( \rho_j = r_j - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{q_0} \right) > 0, j = 1, d \).

**Proof of Theorem 2.** The upper estimate in (8) follows from Theorem 4. Since \( \operatorname{mes} Q_n^\gamma \ll 2^n n^{v-1} \), then for a given \( M \), we choose a number \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \operatorname{mes} Q_n^\gamma \leq M < \operatorname{mes} Q_{n+1}^\gamma \), that is \( M \asymp 2^n n^{v-1} \). Using relation (11), we have
\[
e_{M}(S^r_{1,\theta}B)_{\infty} \ll 2^{-n(r_1-1)n(v-1)(1-\frac{1}{\theta})} \asymp (M^{-1} \log^{v-1} M)^{r_1-1} (\log^{v-1} M)^{(1-\frac{1}{\theta})}.\]

Passing to establishing the estimate from below in (8), we should note that it is sufficient to obtain it in the case \( v = d \).

Let
\[
\Theta(n) = \{ s = (s_1, \ldots, s_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_d: s_1 + \ldots + s_d = n \} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{Q}_n = \bigcup_{s \in \Theta(n)} Q^*_s,
\]
and \( \text{mes } \tilde{Q}_n \approx 2^n n^{\nu-1} \).

Unlike the one-dimensional case, we consider the following functions depending on the value of the parameter \( \theta \)

\[
f_2(x) = C_5 2^{-nr_1} n^{-\frac{d-1}{\theta}} \sum_{s \in \Theta(n)} A^*_s(x), \quad C_5 > 0,
\]

when \( 1 \leq \theta < \infty \), and

\[
f_3(x) = C_6 2^{-nr_1} \sum_{s \in \Theta(n)} A^*_s(x), \quad C_6 > 0,
\]

when \( \theta = \infty \).

Let us show that the functions \( f_2 \) and \( f_3 \) belong to the classes \( S_{1,B}^r \) and \( S_{1,\infty}^r B \) respectively. According to Lemma 2, we have \( \|A^*_s(\cdot)\|_1 \approx C_7 \). Then

\[
\|f_2\|_{S_{1,B}^r} \approx \left( \sum_{s \in \Theta(n)} 2^{(s,r)\theta} \|A^*_s(f_2, \cdot)\|_1^\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \approx 2^{-nr_1} n^{-\frac{d-1}{\theta}} \left( \sum_{s \in \Theta(n)} 2^{(s,r)\theta} \|A^*_s(\cdot)\|_1^\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \ll 1.
\]

For the function \( f_3 \), the following estimates hold:

\[
\|f_3\|_{S_{1,\infty}^r} \approx \sup_{s \in \Theta(n)} 2^{(s,r)\theta} \|A^*_s(f_3, \cdot)\|_1 \approx 2^{-nr_1} \sup_{s \in \Theta(n)} 2^{(s,r)\theta} \|A^*_s(\cdot)\|_1 \ll 1.
\]

Further, denote by \( \mathcal{L}' \) the set of vectors \( s \) such that \( s \in \Theta(n) \) and the set \( \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{L}') = \bigcup_{s \in \mathcal{L}'} Q^*_s \) satisfies the relation

\[
\text{mes } \tilde{Q}_n \leq 4M < \text{mes } \tilde{Q}_{n+1}, \quad (13)
\]

where \( M = M(n) = \text{mes } \mathcal{M} \).

**Lemma 4 ([25]).** The following relation holds:

\[
\left\| \sum_{(s,1)=n+1} A^*_s(\cdot) \right\|_\infty \approx 2^n n^{d-1}.
\]

Using the Lemmas 3, 4 and relation (13), taking into account that \( \text{mes } \tilde{Q}_n \approx 2^n n^{\nu-1} \), we can write

\[
\|f_2(\cdot) - S_{\mathcal{M}}(f_2, \cdot)\|_\infty \geq \|f_2(\cdot)\|_\infty - \|S_{\mathcal{M}}(f_2, \cdot)\|_\infty \approx 2^{-nr_1} n^{-\frac{d-1}{\theta}} (2^n n^{d-1} - M) \approx 2^{-nr_1} n^{-\frac{d-1}{\theta}} 2^n n^{d-1} \approx 2^{-n(r_1-1)} n^{(d-1)(1-\frac{1}{\theta})} \approx (M^{-1} \log^{d-1} M)^{r_1-1} (\log^{d-1} M)^{1-\frac{1}{\theta}}.
\]

Similarly in the case \( \theta = \infty \), we get

\[
\|f_3(\cdot) - S_{\mathcal{M}}(f_3, \cdot)\|_\infty \approx (M^{-1} \log^{d-1} M)^{r_1-1} \log^{d-1} M.
\]
The lower estimates are established. Theorem 2 is proved. □

The exact-order estimates of $e^3_M \left( S^{r}_{p,\theta} B \right)_q$ are established in [21] for some other relations between parameters $p, q$ and $\theta$. In this article, we show that there are relations between the parameters $p, q, \theta$ such that the quantities $e^3_M \left( S^{r}_{p,\theta} B \right)_q$ and $E^{q}_{\Omega} \left( S^{r}_{p,\theta} B \right)_q$ have different orders.

The quantity (6) is a non-periodic analogue of the best orthogonal approximation and the quantity (9) corresponds to the approximation of the stepwise hyperbolic Fourier sum. The main results concerning the approximation of the Nikol’skii–Besov classes of periodic functions with a dominant mixed derivative can be found in monographs V. N. Temlyakov [14], A.S. Romanyuk [11] and D. Dung, V. N. Temlyakov and T. Ullrich [3].

Currently, the generalizations of the Nikol’skii–Besov classes with the dominant mixed smoothness of periodic and non-periodic functions of many variables are currently being intensively studied, in particular, in the articles [7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 19, 26].

In the one-dimensional case, the Nikol’skii–Besov classes with mixed smoothness $S^{r}_{p,\theta} B(\mathbb{R}^d)$ coincide with isotropic and anisotropic Nikol’skii–Besov classes $B^{r}_{p,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $B^{r}_{p,\theta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The exact-order estimates of some approximate characteristics of these classes are established in [20, 22, 24].
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Одержано точні за порядком оцінки наближення функцій з класів Нікольського–Бєсова $S^{r,p,B} (R^d)$, $d \geq 1$, за допомогою цілих функцій експоненціального типу з певними обмеженнями на їхній спектр. Похибка наближення оцінюється у метриці простору Лебега $L_\infty (R^d)$.

Ключові слова і фрази: класи Нікольського–Бєсова, ціла функція експоненціального типу, перетворення Фур’є.