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#### Abstract

We study the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, which is introduced in the paper [Visnyk Lviv Univ. Ser. Mech.Mat. 2020, 90, 5-19 (in Ukrainian)], in the case when the $\omega$-closed family $\mathscr{F}_{n}$ generated by the set $\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$. We show that the Green relations $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{J}$ coincide in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ of partial convex order isomorphisms of $(\omega, \leqslant)$ of the rank $\leqslant n+1$, and $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$ admits only Rees congruences. Also, we study shift-continuous topologies on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$. In particular, we prove that for any shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology $\tau$ on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$ every non-zero element of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$ is an isolated point of $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}, \tau\right), \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$ admits the unique compact shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology, and every $\omega_{\mathfrak{D}}$-compact shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology is compact. We describe the closure of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}{ }_{n}$ in a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup and prove the criterium when a topological inverse semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is $H$-closed in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups.
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[^0]
## 1 Introduction, motivation and main definitions

We shall follow the terminology of $[11,14,15,17,36]$. By $\omega$ we denote the set of all nonnegative integers.

Let $\mathscr{P}(\omega)$ be the family of all subsets of $\omega$. For any $F \in \mathscr{P}(\omega)$ and $n, m \in \omega$ we put $n-m+F=\{n-m+k: k \in F\}$ if $F \neq \varnothing$ and $n-m+\varnothing=\varnothing$. A subfamily $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(\omega)$ is called $\omega$-closed if $F_{1} \cap\left(-n+F_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{F}$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $F_{1}, F_{2} \in \mathscr{F}$.

We denote $[0 ; 0]=\{0\}$ and $[0 ; k]=\{0, \ldots, k\}$ for any positive integer $k$. The set $[0 ; k], k \in \omega$, is called an initial interval of $\omega$.

A partially ordered set (or shortly a poset) $(X, \leqq)$ is the set $X$ with the reflexive, antisymmetric and transitive relation $\leqq$. In this case the relation $\leqq$ is called a partial order on $X$. A partially ordered set $(X, \leqq)$ is linearly ordered or is a chain if $x_{1} \leqq x_{2}$ or $x_{2} \leqq x_{1}$ for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$. A map $f$ from a poset $(X, \leqq)$ onto a poset $(Y, ₹)$ is said to be an order isomorphism if $f$ is bijective and $x \leqq y$ if and only if $f(x) \gtrless f(y)$. A partial order isomorphism $f$ from a poset $(X, \leqq)$ into a poset $(Y,<)$ is an order isomorphism from a subset $A$ of a poset $(X, \leqq)$ into a subset $B$ of a poset $(Y,<)$. For any elements $x$ of a poset $(X, \leqq)$ we denote

$$
\uparrow \leqq x=\{y \in X: x \leqq y\} \quad \text { and } \quad \downarrow \leqq x=\{y \in X: y \leqq x\} .
$$

[^1]A semigroup $S$ is called inverse if for any element $x \in S$ there exists a unique $x^{-1} \in S$ such that $x x^{-1} x=x$ and $x^{-1} x x^{-1}=x^{-1}$. The element $x^{-1}$ is called the inverse of $x \in S$. If $S$ is an inverse semigroup, then the mapping inv: $S \rightarrow S$ which assigns to every element $x$ of $S$ its inverse element $x^{-1}$ is called the inversion.

If $S$ is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in $S$ by $E(S)$. If $S$ is an inverse semigroup, then $E(S)$ is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to $E(S)$ as a band (or the band of $S$ ). Then the semigroup operation on $S$ determines the following partial order $\preccurlyeq$ on $E(S): e \preccurlyeq f$ if and only if $e f=f e=e$. This order is called the natural partial order on $E(S)$. A semilattice is a commutative semigroup of idempotents. By ( $\omega, \mathrm{min}$ ) or $\omega_{\min }$ we denote the set $\omega$ with the semilattice operation $x \cdot y=\min \{x, y\}$.

If $S$ is an inverse semigroup, then the semigroup operation on $S$ determines the following partial order $\preccurlyeq$ on $S: s \preccurlyeq t$ if and only if there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $s=t e$. This order is called the natural partial order on $S$ [40].

For semigroups $S$ and $T$, a map $\mathfrak{h}: S \rightarrow T$ is called a homomorphism if $\mathfrak{h}\left(s_{1} \cdot s_{2}\right)=\mathfrak{h}\left(s_{1}\right) \cdot \mathfrak{h}\left(s_{2}\right)$ for all $s_{1}, s_{2} \in S$.

A congruence on a semigroup $S$ is an equivalence relation $\mathfrak{C}$ on $S$ such that $(s, t) \in \mathfrak{C}$ implies that $(a s, a t),(s b, t b) \in \mathfrak{C}$ for all $a, b \in S$. Every congruence $\mathfrak{C}$ on a semigroup $S$ generates the associated natural homomorphism $\mathfrak{C}^{\mathfrak{h}}: S \rightarrow S / \mathfrak{C}$ which assigns to each element $s$ of $S$ its congruence class $[s]_{\mathfrak{C}}$ in the quotient semigroup $S / \mathfrak{C}$. Also every homomorphism $\mathfrak{h}: S \rightarrow T$ of semigroups $S$ and $T$ generates the congruence $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on $S:\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{h}\left(s_{1}\right)=\mathfrak{h}\left(s_{2}\right)$.

A nonempty subset $I$ of a semigroup $S$ is called a left ideal if $S I \subseteq I$, a right ideal if $I S \subseteq I$, and a (two-sided) ideal if it is both a left and a right ideal. Every ideal $I$ of a semigroup $S$ generates the congruence $\mathfrak{C}_{I}=(I \times I) \cup \Delta_{S}$ on $S$, which is called the Rees congruence on $S$.

Let $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}$ denote the set of all partial one-to-one transformations of $\lambda$ together with the following semigroup operation:

$$
x(\alpha \beta)=(x \alpha) \beta \quad \text { if } \quad x \in \operatorname{dom}(\alpha \beta)=\{y \in \operatorname{dom} \alpha: y \alpha \in \operatorname{dom} \beta\} \quad \text { for } \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{I}_{\lambda}
$$

The semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}$ is called the symmetric inverse semigroup over the cardinal $\lambda$ (see [14]). For any $\alpha \in \mathscr{I}_{\lambda}$ the cardinality of $\operatorname{dom} \alpha$ is called the rank of $\alpha$ and it is denoted by rank $\alpha$. The symmetric inverse semigroup was introduced by V.V. Wagner [40] and it plays a major role in the theory of semigroups.

Put $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}^{n}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathscr{I}_{\lambda}: \operatorname{rank} \alpha \leqslant n\right\}$ for $n \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$. Obviously, $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}^{n}$ are inverse semigroups, $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}^{n}$ is an ideal of $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}$ for each $n \in\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$. The semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}^{n}$ is called the symmetric inverse semigroup of finite transformations of the rank $\leqslant n$ [26]. By

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
x_{1} & x_{2} & \cdots & x_{n} \\
y_{1} & y_{2} & \cdots & y_{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we denote a partial one-to-one transformation which maps $x_{1}$ onto $y_{1}, x_{2}$ onto $y_{2}, \ldots$, and $x_{n}$ onto $y_{n}$. Obviously, in such case we have $x_{i} \neq x_{j}$ and $y_{i} \neq y_{j}$ for $i \neq j, i, j \in\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$. The empty partial map $\varnothing: \lambda \rightharpoonup \lambda$ is denoted by 0 . It is obvious that 0 is zero of the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\lambda}^{n}$.

For a partially ordered set $(P, \leqq)$, a subset $X$ of $P$ is called order-convex, if $x \leqq z \leqq y$ and $\{x, y\} \subset X$ implies that $z \in X$ for all $x, y, z \in P$ [31]. It is obvious that the set of all partial order isomorphisms between convex subsets of ( $\omega, \leqslant$ ) under the composition of partial self-maps forms an inverse subsemigroup of the symmetric inverse semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}$ over the set $\omega$.

We denote this semigroup by $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$. We put $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})=\mathscr{I}_{\omega}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}) \cap \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}$ and it is obvious that $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ is closed under the semigroup operation of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}$ and the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ is called the inverse semigroup of convex order isomorphisms of $(\omega, \leqslant)$ of the rank $\leqslant n$.

The bicyclic monoid $\mathscr{C}(p, q)$ is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements $p$ and $q$ subjected only to the condition $p q=1$. The semigroup operation on $\mathscr{C}(p, q)$ is determined as follows:

$$
q^{k} p^{l} \cdot q^{m} p^{n}=q^{k+m-\min \{l, m\}} p^{l+n-\min \{l, m\}} .
$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid $\mathscr{C}(p, q)$ is a bisimple (and hence simple) combinatorial $E$-unitary inverse semigroup and every non-trivial congruence on $\mathscr{C}(p, q)$ is a group congruence [14].

On the set $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}=\omega \times \omega$ we define the semigroup operation "." in the following way

$$
\left(i_{1}, j_{1}\right) \cdot\left(i_{2}, j_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2}\right), & \text { if } j_{1} \leqslant i_{2} \\ \left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2}\right), & \text { if } j_{1} \geqslant i_{2}\end{cases}
$$

It is well known that the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic monoid by the mapping $\mathfrak{h}: \mathscr{C}(p, q) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}, q^{k} p^{l} \mapsto(k, l)$ (see [14, Section 1.12] or [35, Exercise IV.1.11 (ii)]).

By $\mathbb{R}$ and $\omega_{0}$ we denote the set of real numbers with the usual topology and the infinite countable discrete space, respectively.

Let $Y$ be a topological space. A topological space $X$ is called:

- compact if any open cover of $X$ contains a finite subcover;
- countably compact if each closed discrete subspace of $X$ is finite;
- $Y$-compact if every continuous image of $X$ in $Y$ is compact.

A topological (semitopological) semigroup is a topological space together with a continuous (separately continuous) semigroup operation. If $S$ is a semigroup and $\tau$ is a topology on $S$ such that ( $S, \tau$ ) is a topological semigroup, then we shall call $\tau$ a semigroup topology on $S$, and if $\tau$ is a topology on $S$ such that $(S, \tau)$ is a semitopological semigroup, then we shall call $\tau$ a shift-continuous topology on $S$. An inverse topological semigroup with the continuous inversion is called a topological inverse semigroup.

Next we shall describe the construction which is introduced in [23].
Let $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}$ be the bicyclic monoid and $\mathscr{F}$ be an $\omega$-closed subfamily of $\mathscr{P}(\omega)$. On the set $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega} \times \mathscr{F}$ we define the semigroup operation "." in the following way

$$
\left(i_{1}, j_{1}, F_{1}\right) \cdot\left(i_{2}, j_{2}, F_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left(j_{1}-i_{2}+F_{1}\right) \cap F_{2}\right), & \text { if } j_{1} \leqslant i_{2} \\ \left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2}, F_{1} \cap\left(i_{2}-j_{1}+F_{2}\right)\right), & \text { if } j_{1} \geqslant i_{2}\end{cases}
$$

In [23] it is proved that if the family $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(\omega)$ is $\omega$-closed then $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega} \times \mathscr{F}, \cdot\right)$ is a semigroup. Moreover, if an $\omega$-closed family $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(\omega)$ contains the empty set $\varnothing$ then the set $\boldsymbol{I}=\{(i, j, \varnothing): i, j \in \omega\}$ is an ideal of the semigroup $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega} \times \mathscr{F}, \cdot\right)$. For any $\omega$-closed family $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{P}(\omega)$ the following semigroup

$$
\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}= \begin{cases}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega} \times \mathscr{F}, \cdot\right) / \boldsymbol{I}, & \text { if } \varnothing \in \mathscr{F} ; \\ \left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega} \times \mathscr{F}, \cdot\right), & \text { if } \varnothing \notin \mathscr{F}\end{cases}
$$

is defined in [23]. The semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}$ generalizes the bicyclic monoid and the countable semigroup of matrix units. It is proved in [23] that $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}$ is a combinatorial inverse semigroup and Green's relations, the natural partial order on $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}$ and its set of idempotents are described. The criteria of simplicity, 0-simplicity, bisimplicity, 0-bisimplicity of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}$ and when $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}$ has the identity, is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup or the countable semigroup of matrix units are given. In particular, in [23] it is proved that the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}$ is isomorphic to the semigrpoup of $\omega \times \omega$-matrix units if and only if $\mathscr{F}$ consists of a singleton set and the empty set.

The semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}$ in the case when the family $\mathscr{F}$ consists of the empty set and some singleton subsets of $\omega$ is studied in [21]. It is proved that the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}$ is isomorphic to the subsemigroup $\mathscr{B}_{\omega}^{\vec{~}}\left(\boldsymbol{F}_{\min }\right)$ of the Brandt $\omega$-extension of the subsemilattice ( $\left.\boldsymbol{F}, \mathrm{min}\right)$ of $(\omega, \mathrm{min})$, where $\boldsymbol{F}=\bigcup \mathscr{F}$. Also topologizations of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}$ and its closure in semitopological semigroups are studied.

For any $n \in \omega$ we put $\mathscr{F}_{n}=\{\varnothing,[0 ; 0],[0 ; 1],[0 ; 2], \ldots,[0 ; n]\}$. It is obvious that $\mathscr{F}_{n}$ is an $\omega$-closed family of $\omega$.

In this paper, we study the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$. We show that the Green relations $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{J}$ coincide in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}{ }^{\mathscr{n}}$, the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$, and $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}$ admits only Rees congruences. Also, we study shift-continuous topologizations of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$. In particular, we prove that for any shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology $\tau$ on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}{ }_{n}}$ every non-zero element of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is an isolated point of $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}, \tau\right), \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$ admits the unique compact shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology, and every $\omega_{\mathcal{D}}$-compact shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology is compact. We describe the closure of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{Y _ { n }}}$ in a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup and prove the criterium when a topological inverse semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{Y _ { n }}}$ is $H$-closed in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups.

## 2 Algebraic properties of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}{ }^{n}$

An inverse semigroup $S$ with zero is said to be 0 - E-unitary if $0 \neq e \preccurlyeq s$, where $e$ is an idempotent in $S$, implies that $s$ is an idempotent [32]. The class of 0 - $E$-unitary semigroups was first defined by Maria Szendrei [37], although she called them $E^{*}$-unitary. The term $0-E$ unitary appears to be due to J. Meakin and M. Sapir [33].

In the following proposition we summarise properties which follow from properties of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}$ in the general case. These properties are corollaries of the results of the paper [23].

Proposition 1. For any $n \in \omega$ the following statements hold:
(1) $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is an inverse semigroup, namely $\mathbf{0}^{-1}=\mathbf{0}$ and $(i, j,[0 ; k])^{-1}=(j, i,[0 ; k])$, for any $i, j, k \in \omega$;
(2) $(i, j,[0 ; k]) \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is an idempotent if and only if $i=j$;
(3) $\left(i_{1}, i_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \preccurlyeq\left(i_{2}, i_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ in $E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ if and only if $i_{1} \geqslant i_{2}$ and $i_{1}+k_{1} \leqslant i_{2}+k_{2}$ and this natural partial order on $E\left(\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{H}_{n}}\right)$ is presented on Figure 1;
(4) $(i, i,[0 ; n])$ is a maximal idempotent of $E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ for any $i \in \omega$;


Figure 1. The natural partial order on the band $E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$
(5) $(i, i,[0 ; 0])$ is a primitive idempotent of $E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ for any $i \in \omega$;
(6) $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \mathscr{R}\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ if and only if $i_{1}=i_{2}$ and $k_{1}=k_{2}$;
(7) $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \mathscr{L}\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ if and only if $j_{1}=j_{2}$ and $k_{1}=k_{2}$;
(8) $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \mathscr{H}\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ if and only if $i_{1}=i_{2}, j_{1}=j_{2} i k_{1}=k_{2}$;
(9) $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \mathscr{D}\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ if and only if $k_{1}=k_{2}$;
(10) $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{J}$ in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{Y _ { n }}}$;
(11) $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \preccurlyeq\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ if and only if $i_{1} \geqslant i_{2}, i_{1}-j_{1}=i_{2}-j_{2}$ and $i_{1}+k_{1} \leqslant i_{2}+k_{2} ;$
(12) $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is a 0-E-unitary inverse semigroup.

Proof. Statements (1)-(5) are trivial. Statements (6)-(8) follow from [32, Proposition 3.2.11] and corresponding statements of [23, Theorem 2].
$(9)(\Rightarrow)$ Let $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \mathscr{D}\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$. Then there exists $\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right) \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ such that $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \mathscr{L}\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right)$ and $\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right) \mathscr{R}\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$. By statement (6) we have that $i_{0}=i_{2}$ and $k_{0}=k_{2}$, and by (7) we get that $j_{0}=j_{1}$ and $k_{1}=k_{0}$. This implies that $k_{1}=k_{2}$.
$(\Leftarrow)$ Let $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},[0 ; k]\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; k]\right)$ be elements of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}$. By statements (6) and (7) we have that $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},[0 ; k]\right) \mathscr{L}\left(i_{1}, j_{2},[0 ; k]\right) \mathscr{R}\left(i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; k]\right)$ and hence $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},[0 ; k]\right) \mathscr{D}\left(i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; k]\right)$ in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}$.
(10) It is obvious that the $\mathscr{D}$-class of the zero $\mathbf{0}$ coincides with $\{0\}$. Also the $\mathscr{J}$-class of the zero $\mathbf{0}$ coincides with $\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Fix an arbitrary non-zero element $\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$. By (9) the $\mathscr{D}$-class of $\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right)$ is the following set $\mathbf{D}=\left\{\left(i, j,\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right): i, j \in \omega\right\}$. By (3) every two distinct idempotents of the set $\mathbf{D}$ are incomparable, and hence every idempotent of the $\mathscr{D}$-class of $\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right)$ is minimal with the respect to the natural partial order on $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}{ }_{n}}$. By [32, Proposition 3.2.17], if the $\mathscr{D}$-class $D_{y}$ has a minimal element then $D_{y}=J_{y}$ and hence the $\mathscr{D}$-class of $\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right)$ coincides with its $\mathscr{J}$-class. Therefore we obtain that $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{J}$ in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{Y _ { n }}}$.
(11) By [23, Proposition 2], the inequality $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \preccurlyeq\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ is equivalent to the conditions

$$
\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \subseteq i_{2}-i_{1}+\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]=j_{2}-j_{1}+\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]
$$

which are equivalent to

$$
i_{2}-i_{1}=j_{2}-j_{1} \leqslant 0 \quad \text { and } \quad k_{1} \leqslant i_{2}-i_{1}+k_{2}
$$

It is obvious that the last conditions are equivalent to

$$
i_{1} \geqslant i_{2}, \quad i_{1}-j_{1}=i_{2}-j_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad i_{1}+k_{1} \leqslant i_{2}+k_{2}
$$

which completes the proof of the statement.
Statement (12) follows from (11).
Lemma 1. Let $n \in \omega$. Then $\uparrow_{\preccurlyeq}\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right)$ and $\downarrow_{\preccurlyeq}\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right)$ are finite subsets of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ for any its non-zero element $\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right), i_{0}, j_{0} \in \omega, k_{0} \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 1(11) there exist finitely many $i, j \in \omega$ and $k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ such that $(i, j,[0 ; k]) \preccurlyeq\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right)$ for some $i, j \in \omega$ and hence the set $\downarrow \preccurlyeq\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right)$ is finite.

The inequality $k \leqslant n$ and Proposition 1(11) imply that there exist finitely many $i, j \in \omega$ and $k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right) \preccurlyeq(i, j,[0 ; k])$, and hence the set $\uparrow_{\preccurlyeq}\left(i_{0}, j_{0},\left[0 ; k_{0}\right]\right)$ is finite, too.

Lemma 2. If $n \in \omega$ then for any $\alpha, \beta \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{Y _ { n }}}$ the set $\alpha \cdot \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{Y _ { n }}} \cdot \beta$ is finite.
Proof. The statement of the lemma is trivial when $\alpha=\mathbf{0}$ or $\beta=\mathbf{0}$.
Fix arbitrary non-zero-elements $\alpha=\left(i_{\alpha}, j_{\alpha},\left[0 ; k_{\alpha}\right]\right)$ and $\beta=\left(i_{\beta}, j_{\beta},\left[0 ; k_{\beta}\right]\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$. If $i \geqslant j_{\alpha}+n+1$ or $j \geqslant i_{\beta}+n+1$ then for any $k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$ we have that

$$
\left(i_{\alpha}, j_{\alpha},\left[0 ; k_{\alpha}\right]\right) \cdot(i, j,[0 ; k])=\left(i_{\alpha}-j_{\alpha}+i, j,\left(j_{\alpha}-i+\left[0 ; k_{\alpha}\right]\right) \cap[0 ; k]\right)=\mathbf{0}
$$

and

$$
(i, j,[0 ; k]) \cdot\left(i_{\beta}, j_{\beta},\left[0 ; k_{\beta}\right]\right)=\left(i, j-i_{\beta}+j_{\beta},[0 ; k] \cap\left(i_{\beta}-j+\left[0 ; k_{\beta}\right]\right)\right)=\mathbf{0} .
$$

Hence there exist only finitely many $(i, j,[0 ; k]) \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n^{n}}$ such that $\alpha \cdot(i, j,[0 ; k]) \cdot \beta \neq \mathbf{0}$. This implies the statement of the lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $n \in \omega$. Then for any non-zero elements $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ the sets of solutions of the following equations

$$
\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot \chi=\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \chi \cdot\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right)=\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)
$$

in the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$ are finite.
Proof. Suppose that $\chi$ is a solution of the equation $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot \chi=\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$. The definition of the semigroup operation on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ implies that $\chi \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $k_{1} \geqslant k_{2}$. Assume that $\chi=(i, j,[0 ; k])$ for some $i, j \in \omega, k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$. Then we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right) & =\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot(i, j,[0 ; k]) \\
& = \begin{cases}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i, j,\left(j_{1}-i+\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cap[0 ; k]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i \\
\left(i_{1}, j,\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap[0 ; k]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i+j,\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap\left(i-j_{1}+[0 ; k]\right)\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider the following cases.

1. If $j_{1}<i$ then $i=i_{2}-i_{1}+j_{1}, j=j_{2}, k \geqslant k_{2}$ and

$$
j_{1}-i+k_{1}=j_{1}-i_{2}+i_{1}-j_{1}+k_{1}=i_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \geqslant k
$$

2. If $j_{1}=i$ then $j=j_{2}$ and $k \geqslant k_{2}$.
3. If $j_{1}>i$ then $i=i_{2}, j=j_{2}-j_{1}+i=j_{2}-j_{1}+i_{2}$ and $i-j_{1}+k=i_{2}-j_{1}+k \geqslant k_{2}$.

Since $k \leqslant n$ the above considered cases imply that the equation $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot \chi=\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ has finitely many solutions.

The proof of the statement that the equation $\chi \cdot\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right)=\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)$ has finitely many solutions is similar.

Theorem 1. For an arbitrary $n \in \omega$ the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is isomorphic to an inverse subsemigroup of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}$, namely $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$.

Proof. We define a map $\mathfrak{I}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{\mathscr { F } _ { n }}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}$ by the formulae $\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}$ and

$$
\Im(i, j,[0 ; k])=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i & i+1 & \cdots \\
j \\
j+1 & \cdots+k \\
j+k
\end{array}\right),
$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$ and $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$.
It is obvious that so defined map $\mathfrak{I}$ is injective.
Next we shall show that $\mathfrak{I}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}$ is a homomorphism.

It is obvious that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0})=\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0}=\Im(0) \cdot \Im(\mathbf{0}), \\
& \mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0} \cdot(i, j,[0 ; k]))=\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i+1 \\
j+1 & \cdots+k \\
j+1 & j+k
\end{array}\right)=\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0}) \cdot \mathfrak{I}(i, j,[0 ; k]),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{I}((i, j,[0 ; k]) \cdot \mathbf{0})=\Im(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i \\
j+1 & \cdots+1 \\
j+1 & \cdots & j+k
\end{array}\right) \cdot \mathbf{0}=\Im(i, j,[0 ; k]) \cdot \Im(\mathbf{0})
$$

for any non-zero element $(i, j,[0 ; k])$ of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$.
Fix arbitrary $i_{1}, i_{2}, j_{1}, j_{2} \in \omega$ and $k_{1}, k_{2} \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$. In the case when $k_{1} \leqslant k_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Im\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\Im\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left(j_{1}-i_{2}+\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap\left(i_{2}-j_{1}+\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2}\end{cases} \\
& \begin{cases}\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \\
\mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, i_{2},[0 ; 0]\right), & \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}<0 ; \\
j_{1}<i_{2} & \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}=0 ;\end{cases} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\Im\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} & \text { and } 1 \leqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \leqslant k_{2} ; \\
\Im\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; & \\
\Im \mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} & \text { and } k_{1} \leqslant i_{1}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} & \text { and } k_{1}>i_{1}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},[0 ; 0]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} & \text { and } j_{1}=i_{2}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} & \text { and } j_{1}>i_{2}+k_{2}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot \Im\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i_{1} & \cdots & i_{1}+k_{1} \\
j_{1} & \cdots & j_{1}+k_{1}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{lll}
i_{2} & \cdots & i_{2}+k_{2} \\
j_{2} & \cdots & j_{2}+k_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case when $k_{1} \geqslant k_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{I}\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}\Im\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left(j_{1}-i_{2}+\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} ; \\
\Im\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap\left(i_{2}-j_{1}+\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2}\end{cases} \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}<0 ; \\
\mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; 0]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}=0 ;
\end{array}\right. \\
& \Im\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}\right]\right), \quad \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } 1 \leqslant j_{1}+k_{1} \leqslant i_{2}+k_{2} \text {; } \\
& = \begin{cases}\Im\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}+k_{1}>i_{2}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}>0 ;\end{cases} \\
& \mathfrak{I}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},[0 ; 0]\right), \quad \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \quad \text { and } i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}=0 \text {; } \\
& \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}<0
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Im\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot \Im\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
i_{1} & \cdots & i_{1}+k_{1} \\
j_{1} & \cdots & j_{1}+k_{1}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i_{2} & \cdots & i_{2}+k_{2} \\
j_{2} & \cdots & j_{2}+k_{2}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By [35, Lemma II.1.10] the homomorphic image $\mathfrak{I}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ is an inverse subsemigroup of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}$.

It is obvious that $\mathfrak{I}(\mathbf{0})$ is the empty partial self-map of $\omega$ and it is by the assumption is an order convex partial isomorphism of $(\omega, \leqslant)$. Also the image

$$
\Im(i, j,[0 ; k])=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
i & i+1 & \cdots \\
j+k \\
j+1 & \cdots & j+k
\end{array}\right)
$$

is an order convex partial isomorphism of $(\omega, \leqslant)$ for all $i, j \in \omega$ and $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$. The definition of $\mathfrak{I}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}$ implies that its co-restriction on the image $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ is surjective, and hence $\mathfrak{I}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \rightarrow \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ is an isomorphism.

Remark 1. Observe that the image $\mathfrak{I}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ does not contain all idempotents of the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}$, especially $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2\end{array}\right) \notin \mathfrak{I}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}\right)$ for any $n \geqslant 1$. But by [23, Proposition 4], the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{0}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup of $\omega \times \omega$-matrix units, and hence $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{0}}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}$.

A subset $D$ of a semigroup $S$ is said to be $\omega$-unstable if $D$ is infinite and for any $a \in D$ and an infinite subset $B \subseteq D$, we have $a B \cup B a \nsubseteq D$ [20]. A basic example of $\omega$-unstable sets is given in [20]: for an infinite cardinal $\lambda$ the set $D=\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n} \backslash \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n-1}$ is an $\omega$-unstable subset of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}$.

For any $n \in \omega$ the definition of the semigroup operation on $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ implies that its subsemigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k}}$ is an ideal of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ for any $k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$. Also, since $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}) \backslash \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ is an infinite subset of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ for any $k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$, the above arguments and Theorem 1 imply the following assertion.
Lemma 4. For an arbitrary $n \in \omega$ the subsets $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{0}} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k}} \backslash \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k} k-1}$ are $\omega$-unstable of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ for any $k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$.
Proof. We shall show that the set $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k}} \backslash \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { k - 1 }}}$ is $\omega$-unstable, and the proof that the set $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { 0 }}} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is $\omega$-unstable is similar.

Fix an arbitrary distinct $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},[0 ; k]\right),\left(i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; k]\right) \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k}} \backslash \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k-1}}$. The definition of the semigroup operation of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ implies that for any $(i, j,[0 ; k]) \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k}} \backslash \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k-1}}$ we have that

$$
(i, j,[0 ; k]) \cdot\left(i_{p}, j_{p},[0 ; k]\right)= \begin{cases}\left(i-j+i_{p}, j_{p},\left(j-i_{p}+[0 ; k]\right) \cap[0 ; k]\right), & \text { if } j<i_{p} \\ \left(i, j_{p},[0 ; k] \cap[0 ; k]\right), & \text { if } j=i_{p} \\ \left(i, j-i_{p}+j_{p},[0 ; k] \cap\left(i_{p}-j+[0 ; k]\right)\right), & \text { if } j>i_{p}\end{cases}
$$

for $p \in\{1,2\}$. In the case when $i_{1} \neq i_{2}$ we obtain that

$$
(i, j,[0 ; k]) \cdot\left\{\left(i_{1}, j_{1},[0 ; k]\right),\left(i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; k]\right)\right\} \nsubseteq \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k}} \backslash \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k-1}}
$$

In the case when $j_{1} \neq j_{2}$ the proof is similar.
Definition 1 ([20]). An ideal series for a semigroup $S$ is a chain of ideals

$$
I_{0} \subseteq I_{1} \subseteq I_{2} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq I_{m}=S
$$

This ideal series is called tight if $I_{0}$ is a finite set and $D_{k}=I_{k} \backslash I_{k-1}$ is an $\omega$-unstable subset for each $k \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$.

Lemma 4 implies the next result.
Proposition 2. For an arbitrary $n \in \omega$ the following ideal series

$$
\{\mathbf{0}\} \subseteq \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{0}} \subseteq \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{1}} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n-1}} \subseteq \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}
$$

is tight.
Proposition 3. For any non-negative integer $n$ and arbitrary $p \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$ the map $\mathfrak{h}_{p}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ defined by the formulae $\mathfrak{h}_{p}(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}$ and

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{p}(i, j,[0 ; k])= \begin{cases}\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } k \in\{0,1, \ldots, p\} \\ (i, j,[0 ; k-p-1]), & \text { if } k \in\{p+1, \ldots, n\}\end{cases}
$$

is a homomorphism which maps the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}$ onto its subsemigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n-p-1}}$.
Proof. First we shall show that the $\operatorname{map} \mathfrak{h}_{0}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ defined by the formulae $\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0}$ and

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{0}(i, j,[0 ; k])= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } k=0 ; \\ (i, j,[0 ; k-1]), & \text { if } k \in\{1, \ldots, n\}\end{cases}
$$

is a homomorphism.
It is obvious that

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}) \cdot \mathfrak{h}_{0}(i, j,[0])=\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0}=\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0})=\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0} \cdot(i, j,[0]))
$$

and

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{0}(i, j,[0]) \cdot \mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0})=\mathbf{0} \cdot \mathbf{0}=\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0})=\mathfrak{h}_{0}((i, j,[0]) \cdot \mathbf{0})
$$

for any $i, j \in \omega$.
Fix arbitrary $i_{1}, i_{2}, j_{1}, j_{2} \in \omega$ and positive integers $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$. In the case when $k_{1} \leqslant k_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot \mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right) \\
& \quad=\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right]\right) \cdot\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right) \\
& \quad= \begin{cases}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left(j_{1}-i_{2}+\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right]\right) \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} ; \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right] \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right] \cap\left(i_{2}-j_{1}+\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right)\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
= \begin{cases}\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1<0 ; \\ \left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \\ \left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { afd } 0 \leqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1 \leqslant k_{2}-1 ; \\ \left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \quad \text { and } k_{1}-1 \leqslant i_{1}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1 ; \\ \left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \quad \text { and } k_{1}-1>i_{1}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1 \geqslant 0 ; \\ 1>k_{1}-1>i_{1}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1<0\end{cases}
$$

$$
= \begin{cases}\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}<1 ; \\ \left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } 1 \leqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \leqslant k_{2} \\ \left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\ \left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \quad \text { and } k_{1} \leqslant i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} \\ \left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } k_{1}>i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} \geqslant 1 ; \\ \mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } k_{1}>i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}<1,\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)\right) \\
&= \begin{cases}\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left(j_{1}-i_{2}+\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap\left(i_{2}-j_{1}+\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2}\end{cases} \\
&== \begin{cases}\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}<0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; 0] \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}=0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } 1 \leqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \leqslant k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } k_{1} \leqslant i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap[0 ; 0]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \quad \text { and } k_{1}>i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}=0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } k_{1}>i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}<0\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
= \begin{cases}\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}<0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; 0]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}=0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \text { and } 1 \leqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \leqslant k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \quad \text { and } k_{1} \leqslant i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},[0 ; 0]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \quad \text { and } k_{1}>i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}=0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } k_{1}>i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}<0 \\
\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}<0 ; \\
\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}=0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } 1 \leqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \leqslant k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } k_{1} \leqslant i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } k_{1}>i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}=0 ; \\
\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } k_{1}>i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}<0\end{cases}
\end{array} \\
& = \begin{cases}\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \\
\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \\
\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2}\end{cases} \\
& \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}<1 \text {; } \\
& \text { and } 1 \leqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \leqslant k_{2} ; \\
& \text { and } k_{1} \leqslant i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
& \text { and } k_{1}>i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} \geqslant 1 \text {; } \\
& \text { and } k_{1}>i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}<1 \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case when $k_{1} \geqslant k_{2}$ we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot \mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)=\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right]\right) \cdot\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right) \\
& = \begin{cases}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left(j_{1}-i_{2}+\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right]\right) \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} ; \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right] \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}-1\right] \cap\left(i_{2}-j_{1}+\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right)\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2}\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \\
\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; 0] \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \\
\left(j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1<0 ;\right. \\
\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1\right] \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \\
\left(i_{1}-j_{1}-i_{2}+i_{1}, j_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { and } 1 \leqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1 \leqslant k_{2}-1 ; \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-1\right] \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { and } k_{2}-1<j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1 ; \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \\
\text { and } i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1 \geqslant 0 ; \\
j_{1}>i_{2} & \text { and } i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1<0\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}<1 ; \\
\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; 0]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}=1 ; \\
\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \text { and } 1 \leqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1 \leqslant k_{2}-1 ; \\
\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \text { and } k_{2}<j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} ; \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} \geqslant 1 ; \\
\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}<1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot\left(i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)\right)= \begin{cases}\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left(j_{1}-i_{2}+\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right] \cap\left(i_{2}-j_{1}+\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right)\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2}\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}<0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},[0 ; 0]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}=0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } k_{2} \geqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \geqslant 1 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \text { and } k_{2}<j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \geqslant 1 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } 1 \leqslant i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},[0 ; 0]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } 0=i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}<0\end{cases} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \quad \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}<0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} & \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}=0 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} & \text { and } k_{2} \geqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \geqslant 1 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} \text { and } k_{2}<j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \geqslant 1 ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} & \text { and } 1 \leqslant i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } 0=i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathfrak{h}_{0}(\mathbf{0}), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} \text { and } i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}<0
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} & \text { and } j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \leqslant 0 ; \\
\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} & \text { and } k_{2} \geqslant j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \geqslant 1 ; \\
\left(i_{1}-j_{1}+i_{2}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}<i_{2} & \text { and } k_{2}<j_{1}-i_{2}+k_{1} \geqslant 1 ; \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{2},\left[0 ; k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}=i_{2} ; & \\
\left(i_{1}, j_{1}-i_{2}+j_{2},\left[0 ; i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2}-1\right]\right), & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} & \text { and } 1 \leqslant i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} ; \\
\mathbf{0}, & \text { if } j_{1}>i_{2} & \text { and } i_{2}-j_{1}+k_{2} \leqslant 0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Next observe that by induction we obtain that

$$
\mathfrak{h}_{p}=\underbrace{\mathfrak{h}_{0} \circ \cdots \circ \mathfrak{h}_{0}}_{p+1 \text {-imes }}=\mathfrak{h}_{0}^{p+1}
$$

for any $p \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.
Simple verifications show that the homomorphism $\mathfrak{h}_{p}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ maps the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ onto its subsemigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n-p-1}}$.
Proposition 4. For any positive integer $n$ every congruence on the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ is Rees.

Proof. First we observe that since the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ has the zero 0 the identity congruence on $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ is Rees, and it is obvious that the universal congruence on $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ is Rees, too.

By induction we shall show the following: if $\mathfrak{C}$ is a congruence $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ such that for some $k \leqslant n$ there exist two distinct $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ with $\max \{\operatorname{rank} \alpha, \operatorname{rank} \beta\}=k$, then all elements of subsemigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ are equivalent.

In the case when $k=1$ then it is obvious that the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{conv}})$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}$ which is isomorphic to the semigroup $\mathscr{B}_{\omega}$ of $\omega \times \omega$-matrix units. Since the semigroup $\mathscr{B}_{\omega}$ of $\omega \times \omega$-matrix units is congruence-free (see [24, Corollary 3]), the statement that any two distinct elements of the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ are $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent implies that all elements of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ are $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent. Hence the initial step of induction holds.

Next we shall show the step of induction: if $\mathfrak{C}$ is a congruence $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ such that there exist two distinct $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ with $\max \{\operatorname{rank} \alpha, \operatorname{rank} \beta\}=k+1$, then the statement that all elements of the subsemigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ are $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent implies that all elements of the subsemigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ are $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent, as well.

Next we consider all possible cases.
(I) Suppose that $\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a & a+1 & \cdots \\ b+k & a+1 \\ b & \cdots+k\end{array}\right), \beta=\mathbf{0}$ and $\alpha \mathfrak{C} \beta$. Since $C$ is a congruence on $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$,
 have that

$$
\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
c c+1 & \cdots & c+k_{1} \\
a & a+1 & \cdots & a+k_{1}
\end{array}\right) \cdot \alpha \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
b & b+1 & \cdots & b+k_{1} \\
d & d+1 & \cdots & d+k_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
c & c+1 & \cdots & c+k_{1} \\
a & a+1 & \cdots & a+k_{1}
\end{array}\right) \cdot \mathbf{0} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
b & b+1 & \cdots & b+k_{1} \\
d & d+1 & \cdots & d+k_{1}
\end{array}\right)=\mathbf{0},
$$

and hence $\gamma \mathcal{C O}$.
(II) Suppose that $\alpha=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}a & a+1 & \cdots & a+k \\ a & a+1 & \cdots & a+k\end{array}\right)$ and $\beta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}b & b+1 & \cdots \\ b & b+1 & \cdots \\ b+k_{1}\end{array}\right)$ are non-zero $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent idempotents of the subsemigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ such that $k_{1} \leqslant k$ and $\beta \preccurlyeq \alpha$. In this case we have that $\left[b ; b+k_{1}\right] \subseteq[a ; a+k]$. We put

$$
\varepsilon= \begin{cases}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a+1 & \cdots & a+k \\
a+1 & \cdots+k \\
a & \cdots & a+k-1 \\
a & \cdots & a+k-1
\end{array}\right), & \text { if } a=b ; \\
\text { if } a+k=b+k_{1}\end{cases}
$$

and $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}a & a+1 & \cdots \\ a+1 & a+2 & \cdots \\ a+k+1\end{array}\right)$ if $a<b$ and $b+k_{1}<a+k$.
In the case when either $a=b$ or $a+k=b+k_{1}$ we obtain that $\varepsilon \alpha$ and $\varepsilon \beta$ are distinct $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent idempotents of the subsemigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k-1}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{COnv}})$ and hence by the assumption of induction all elements of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k-1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ are $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent.

In the case when $a<b$ and $b+k_{1}<a+k$ we obtain that $\gamma \alpha \gamma^{-1}$ and $\gamma \beta \gamma^{-1}$ are distinct $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent idempotents of the subsemigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$, because they have distinct rank $\leqslant k$. Hence by the assumption of induction all elements of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ are $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent.

In both above cases we get that $\alpha \mathfrak{C} 0$, which implies that case (I) holds.
(III) Suppose that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are distinct incomparable non-zero $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent idempotents of the subsemigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ such that $\operatorname{rank} \alpha=k+1$. Then $\alpha=\alpha \alpha \mathfrak{C} \alpha \beta$ and $\alpha \beta \preccurlyeq \alpha$ which implies that either case (II) or case (I) holds.
(IV) Suppose that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are distinct non-zero $\mathfrak{C}$-equivalent elements of the subsemigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{conv}})$ of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{conv}})$ such that rank $\alpha=k+1$. Then at least one of the following conditions $\alpha \alpha^{-1} \neq \beta \beta^{-1}$ or $\alpha^{-1} \alpha \neq \beta^{-1} \beta$ holds, because by Proposition 1(8) and Theorem 1 all $\mathscr{H}$ classes in $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ are singletons. By [32, Proposition 2.3.4(1)], $\alpha \alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{C} \beta \beta^{-1}$ and $\alpha^{-1} \alpha \mathfrak{C} \beta^{-1} \beta$, and hence at least one of cases (II) or (III) holds.

Theorem 1 and Proposition 4 imply the description of all congruences on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$.

Theorem 2. For an arbitrary $n \in \omega$ the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}$ admits only Rees congruences.
Theorem 3. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer and $S$ be a semigroup. For any homomorphism $\mathfrak{h}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \rightarrow S$ the image $\mathfrak{h}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ is either isomorphic to $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { k }}}$ for some $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$, or is a singleton.

Proof. By Theorem 2 the homomorphism $\mathfrak{h}$ generates the Rees congruence $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}{ }_{n}}$. By Proposition 1(9) the following ideal series

$$
\{\mathbf{0}\} \varsubsetneqq \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{0}} \varsubsetneqq \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{1}} \varsubsetneqq \cdots \varsubsetneqq \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n-1}} \varsubsetneqq \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}
$$

is maximal in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, i.e. if $\mathscr{J}$ is an ideal of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ then either $\mathscr{J}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ or $\mathscr{J}=\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ for some $m \in\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$.

It is obvious that if $\mathscr{J}=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ then the Rees congruence $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathscr{g}}$ generates the injective homomorphism $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathscr{G}}}$, and hence the image $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathscr{J}}}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$. Similar in the case when $\mathscr{J}=\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ we have that the image $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{C}_{\mathscr{g}}}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ is a singleton.

Suppose that $\mathscr{J}=\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{m}}$ for some $m \in\{0,1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then the Rees congruence $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathscr{J}}$ generates the natural homomorphism $\mathfrak{h}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} / \mathscr{J}$. It is obvious that $\alpha \mathfrak{C} \mathscr{f} \beta$ if and only if $\mathfrak{h}_{m}(\alpha)=\mathfrak{h}_{m}(\beta)$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, where $\mathfrak{h}_{m}: \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is the homomorphism defined in Proposition 3. Then by Proposition 3 the image $\mathfrak{h}\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}\right)$ is isomorphic to the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n-m-1}}$.

## 3 On topologizations and closure of the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$

In this section, we establish topologizations of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n_{n}}$ and its compact-like shift-continuous topologies.

Theorem 4. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. Then for any shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology $\tau$ on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ every non-zero element of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is an isolated point of $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ and hence every subset in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ which contains zero is closed. Moreover, for any non-zero element $(i, j,[0 ; k])$ of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ the set $\uparrow_{\preccurlyeq}(i, j,[0 ; k])$ is open-and-closed in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$.
Proof. Fix an arbitrary non-zero element $(i, j,[0 ; k])$ of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, where $i, j \in \omega$, $k \in\{0, \ldots, n\}$. Proposition 3 and [20, Proposition 7] imply there exists an open neighbourhood $U_{(i, j,[0 ; k])}$ of the point $(i, j,[0 ; k])$ in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ such that


- $U_{(i, j,[0 ; k])} \subseteq \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $(i, j,[0 ; k])$ is an isolated point in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{0}}$ if $k=0$.

By separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}, \tau\right)$ there exists an open neighbour$\operatorname{hood} V_{(i, j,[0 ; k])}$ of $(i, j,[0 ; k])$ such that $V_{(i, j,[0 ; k])} \subseteq U_{(i, j,[0 ; k])}$ and

$$
\left.(i, i,[0 ; k]) \cdot V_{(i, j,[0 ; k])} \cdot(j, j,[0 ; k]) \subseteq U_{(i, j,[0 ; k]]}\right)
$$

We claim that $V_{(i, j,[0 ; k])} \subseteq \uparrow_{\preccurlyeq}(i, j,[0 ; k])$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists $\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \in V_{(i, j,[0 ; k])} \backslash \uparrow_{\preccurlyeq}(i, j,[0 ; k])$. Then by [32, Lemma 1.4.6(4)] we have that

$$
(i, i,[0 ; k]) \cdot\left(i_{1}, j_{1},\left[0 ; k_{1}\right]\right) \cdot(j, j,[0 ; k]) \neq(i, j,[0 ; k])
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{k}}$ is an ideal of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ the above inequality implies that

$$
(i, i,[0 ; k]) \cdot V_{(i, j,[0 ; k])} \cdot(j, j,[0 ; k]) \nsubseteq U_{(i, j,[0 ; k])}
$$

a contradiction. Hence $V_{(i, j,[0 ; k])} \subseteq \uparrow_{\preccurlyeq}(i, j,[0 ; k])$. By Lemma 1 the set $\uparrow_{\preccurlyeq}(i, j,[0 ; k])$ is finite, which implies that $(i, j,[0 ; k])$ is an isolated point of $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}, \tau\right)$, because $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}, \tau\right)$ is a $T_{1}$-space.

The last statement follows from the equality

$$
\uparrow_{\preccurlyeq}(i, j,[0 ; k])=\left\{(a, b,[0 ; p]) \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}:(i, i,[0 ; k]) \cdot(a, b,[0 ; p])=(i, j,[0 ; k])\right\}
$$

and the assumption that $\tau$ is a shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$.
Recall [17], that a topological space $X$ is called:

- scattered if $X$ contains no non-empty subset which is dense-in-itself;
- 0-dimensional if $X$ has a base which consists of open-and-closed subsets;
- collectionwise normal if for every discrete family $\left\{F_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathscr{S}}$ of closed subsets of $X$ there exists a pairwise disjoint family of open sets $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathscr{S}}$ such that $F_{i} \subseteq U_{i}$ for all $i \in \mathscr{S}$.

Corollary 1. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. Then for any shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology $\tau$ on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ the space $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is scattered, 0-dimensional and collectionwise normal. Proof. Theorem 4 implies that $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is a scattered, 0 -dimensional space.

Let $\left\{F_{s}\right\}_{s \in \mathscr{S}}$ be a discrete family of closed subsets of $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$. By Theorem 4 every nonzero element of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is an isolated point of $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$. In the case when every element of the family $\left\{F_{s}\right\}_{s \in \mathscr{S}}$ does not contain the zero $\mathbf{0}$ of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ by [17, Theorem 5.1.17] the space $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is collectionwise normal. Suppose that $\mathbf{0} \in F_{s_{0}}$ for some $s_{0} \in \mathscr{S}$. Let $U(\mathbf{0})$ be an open neighbourhood of the zero $\mathbf{0}$ of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}$, which intersects at more one element of the family $\left\{F_{s}\right\}_{s \in \mathscr{S}}$. Put $U_{s_{0}}=U(0) \cup F_{s_{0}}$ and $U_{s}=F_{s}$ for all $s \in \mathscr{S} \backslash\left\{s_{o}\right\}$. Then $U_{s} \cap U_{t}=\varnothing$ for all distinct $s, t \in \mathscr{S}$ and hence by [17, Theorem 5.1.17] the space $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is collectionwise normal.
Example 1. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. We define a topology $\tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}$ on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ in the following way. All non-zero elements of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ are isolated points of $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$ and the family $\mathscr{B}_{\mathrm{Ac}}(\mathbf{0})=\left\{A \subseteq \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}: \mathbf{0} \in A\right.$ and $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \backslash A$ is finite $\}$ determines the base of the topology $\tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}$ at the point 0 .

It is obvious that the topological space $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$ is homeomorphic to the Alexandroff one-point compactification of the discrete infinite countable space, and hence $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$ is a

Hausdorff compact space. Then the space $\left(\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$ is normal and since it has a countable base, by the Urysohn Metrization Theorem (see [17, Theorem 4.2.9]) the space $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$ is metrizable.

Next we shall show that $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$ is a semitopological semigroup. Let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be nonzero elements of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$. Since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are isolated points in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$, it is sufficient to show how to find for a fixed open neighbourhood $U_{0}$ open neighbourhoods $V_{0}$ and $W_{0}$ of the zero 0 in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$ such that

$$
V_{0} \cdot \alpha \subseteq U_{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \beta \cdot W_{0} \subseteq U_{0}
$$

Since the space $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$ is compact, any open neighbourhood $U_{0}$ of the zero $\mathbf{0}$ is cofinite subset in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$. By Lemma 2,

$$
V_{0}=\left\{\gamma \in U_{0}: \gamma \cdot \alpha \in U_{0}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad W_{0}=\left\{\gamma \in U_{0}: \beta \cdot \gamma \in U_{0}\right\}
$$

are cofinite subsets of $U_{0}$ and hence by the definition of the topology $\tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}$ the sets $V_{0}$ and $W_{0}$ are required open neighbourhoods of the zero $\mathbf{0}$ in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$.

Since all non-zero elements of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ are isolated points in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$ and every open neighbourhood $U_{0}$ of the zero in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$ has the finite complement in $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, the inversion is continuous in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$.

The following theorem describes all compact-like shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topologies on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$.

Theorem 5. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. Then for any shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology $\tau$ on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is a compact semitopological semigroup;
(2) $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is topologically isomorphic to $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau_{\mathrm{Ac}}\right)$;
(3) $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is a compact semitopological semigroup with continuous inversion;
(4) $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is an $\omega_{\mathcal{D}}$-compact space.

Proof. Implications $(1) \Rightarrow(4),(2) \Rightarrow(1),(2) \Rightarrow(3)$ and $(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ are obvious. Since by Theorem 4 every non-zero element of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is an isolated point in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$, statement (1) implies (2).
(4) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose there exists a shift-continuous $T_{1}$-topology $\tau$ on the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ such that $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is an $\omega_{0}$-compact non-compact space. Then there exists an open cover $\mathscr{U}=\left\{U_{s}\right\}$ of $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}, \tau\right)$, which has no a finite subcover. Let $U_{s_{0}} \in \mathscr{U}$ be such that $U_{s_{0}} \ni \mathbf{0}$. Then $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \backslash U_{s_{0}}$ is an infinite countable subset of isolated points of ( $\left.\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$. We enumerate the set $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n} \backslash U_{s_{0}}$ by positive integers, i.e. $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{Y _ { n }}} \backslash U_{s_{0}}=\left\{\alpha_{i}: i \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Next we define a map $f:\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}, \tau\right) \rightarrow \omega_{\mathfrak{d}}$ by the formula

$$
f(\alpha)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } \alpha \in U_{s_{0}} ; \\ i, & \text { if } \alpha=\alpha_{i} \text { for some } i \in \mathbb{N} .\end{cases}
$$

By Theorem 4 the set $U_{s_{0}}$ is open-and-closed in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$, and hence so defined map $f$ is continuous. But the image $f\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ is not a compact subset of $\omega_{\mathcal{1}}$, a contradiction. The obtained contradiction implies the implication $(4) \Rightarrow(1)$.

The following proposition states that the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ has a similar closure in a $T_{1}$-semitopological semigoup as the bicyclic monoid (see [10,16]), the $\lambda$-polycyclic monoid [9], graph inverse semigroups [7,34], McAlister semigroups [8], locally compact semitopological 0 -bisimple inverse $\omega$-semigroups with a compact maximal subgroup [18], and other discrete semigroups of bijective partial transformations [12,13, 19, 22, 25, 27-30].

Proposition 5. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. If $S$ is a $T_{1}$-semitopological semigroup, which contains $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ as a dense proper subsemigroup, then $I=\left(S \backslash \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}\right) \cup\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is an ideal of $S$.

Proof. Fix an arbitrary element $v \in I$. If $\chi \cdot v=\zeta \notin I$ for some $\chi \in B_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, then there exists an open neighbourhood $U(v)$ of the point $v$ in the space $S$ such that $\{\chi\} \cdot U(v)=\{\zeta\} \subset$ $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$. By Lemma 3 the open neighbourhood $U(v)$ should contain finitely many elements of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, which contradicts our assumption. Hence $\chi \cdot v \in I$ for all $\chi \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ and $v \in I$. The proof of the statement that $v \cdot \chi \in I$ for all $\chi \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ and $v \in I$ is similar.

Suppose to the contrary that $\chi \cdot v=\omega \notin I$ for some $\chi, v \in I$. Then $\omega \in \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ and the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in $S$ yields open neighbourhoods $U(\chi)$ and $U(v)$ of the points $\chi$ and $v$ in the space $S$, respectively, such that $\{\chi\} \cdot U(v)=\{\omega\}$ and $U(\chi) \cdot\{v\}=\{\omega\}$. Since both neighbourhoods $U(\chi)$ and $U(v)$ contain infinitely many elements of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, equalities $\{\chi\} \cdot U(v)=\{\omega\}$ and $U(\chi) \cdot\{v\}=\{\omega\}$ do not hold, because $\{\chi\} \cdot\left(U(v) \cap \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right) \subseteq I$. The obtained contradiction implies that $\chi \cdot v \in I$.

For any $k \in\{0,1, \ldots, n+1\}$ we denote

$$
D_{k}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}): \operatorname{rank} \alpha=k\right\} .
$$

We observe that by Proposition 1(9) and Theorem 1, $\boldsymbol{D}=\left\{D_{k}: k=0,1, \ldots, n+1\right\}$ is the family of all $\mathscr{D}$-classed of the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$.

The following proposition describes the remainder of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ in a semitopological semigroup.

Proposition 6. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. If $S$ is a $T_{1}$-semitopological semigroup, which contains $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ as a dense proper subsemigroup, then $\chi \cdot \chi=\mathbf{0}$ for all $\chi \in S \backslash \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$.

Proof. We observe that $\mathbf{0}$ is zero of the semigroup $S$ by [18, Lemma 4.4].
We shall prove the statement of the proposition for the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$, which by Theorem 1 is isomorphic to the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$.

Fix an arbitrary $\chi \in S \backslash \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ and any open neighbourhood $U(\chi)$ of the point $\chi$ in $S$. Since $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is a dense proper subsemigroup of $S$ the set $U(\chi) \cap\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}) \backslash\{0\}\right)$ is infinite. Since the family $\boldsymbol{D}$ is finite, there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, n+1\}$ such that the set $U(\chi) \cap D_{i}$ is infinite. This and the definition of the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ imply that at least one of the families

$$
\mathfrak{d o m} D_{i} U(\chi)=\left\{\operatorname{dom} \alpha: \alpha \in U(\chi) \cap D_{i}\right\} \quad \text { or } \quad \operatorname{ran} D_{i} U(\chi)=\left\{\operatorname{ran} \alpha: \alpha \in U(\chi) \cap D_{i}\right\}
$$

has infinitely many members. Assume that the family $\mathfrak{d o m} D_{i} U(\chi)$ is infinite. Then the definition of the semigroup operation on $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ implies that there exist infinitely many $\beta \in U(\chi) \cap \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ such that $0 \in \beta \cdot U(\chi)$, and since $S$ is a $T_{1}$-space we have that $\beta \cdot \chi=\mathbf{0}$ for such elements $\beta$. Also, the infiniteness of $\mathfrak{d o m} D_{i} U(\chi)$ and the semigroup operation of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ imply the existence infinitely many $\gamma \in U(\chi) \cap \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ such that $\mathbf{0} \in U(\chi) \cdot \gamma$, and since $S$ is a $T_{1}$-space we have that $\chi \cdot \gamma=\mathbf{0}$ for such elements $\gamma$. In the case when the family $\mathfrak{r a n} D_{i} U(\chi)$ is infinite similarly we obtain that there exist infinitely many $\beta, \gamma \in U(\chi) \cap \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ such that $\beta \cdot \chi=\mathbf{0}$ and $\chi \cdot \gamma=\mathbf{0}$.

Thus we show that $\mathbf{0} \in V(\chi) \cdot \chi$ and $\mathbf{0} \in \chi \cdot V(\chi)$ for any open neighbourhood $V(\chi)$ of the point $\chi$ in $S$. Since $S$ is a $T_{1}$-space, this implies the required equality $\chi \cdot \chi=\mathbf{0}$ for all $\chi \in S \backslash \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{S T} \mathfrak{S G}$ be a class of semitopological semigroups. A semigroup $S \in \mathfrak{S T} \mathfrak{G G}$ is called $H^{-}$ closed in $\mathfrak{S T S G}$, if $S$ is a closed subsemigroup of any topological semigroup $T \in \mathfrak{S T S G}$, which contains $S$ both as a subsemigroup and as a topological space. $H$-closed topological semigroups were introduced by J.W. Stepp in [38], and there they were called maximal semigroups. A semitopological semigroup $S \in \mathfrak{S T S G}$ is called absolutely $H$-closed in the class $\mathfrak{S T S G}$, if any continuous homomorphic image of $S$ into $T \in \mathfrak{S T S G}$ is $H$-closed in $\mathfrak{S T S G}$. An algebraic semigroup $S$ is called:

- algebraically complete in $\mathfrak{S T S G}$, if $S$ with any Hausdorff topology $\tau$ such that $(S, \tau) \in$ $\mathfrak{S T S G}$ is $H$-closed in $\mathfrak{S T S G}_{0}$;
- algebraically $h$-complete in $\mathfrak{S T S G}$, if $S$ with discrete topology $\tau_{0}$ is absolutely $H$-closed in $\mathfrak{S T S G}$ and $\left(S, \tau_{0}\right) \in \mathfrak{S T S G}$.

Absolutely $H$-closed topological semigroups and algebraically $h$-complete semigroups were introduced by J.W. Stepp in [39], and there they were called absolutely maximal and algebraic maximal, respectively. Other distinct types of completeness of (semi)topological semigroups were studied by T. Banakh and S. Bardyla (see [1-6]).

Proposition 3 and [20, Proposition 10] imply the following theorem.
Theorem 6. For any $n \in \omega$ the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}{ }^{n}$ is algebraically complete in the class of Hausdorff semitopological inverse semigroups with continuous inversion, and hence in the class of Hausdorff topological inverse semigroups.
Theorem 7. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. If $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is a Hausdorff topological semigroup with the compact band then $\left(\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is H-closed in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff topological semigroup $T$, which contains $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ as a non-closed subsemigroup. Since the closure of a subsemigroup of a topological semigroup $S$ is a subsemigroup of $S$ (see [11, p. 9]), without loss of generality we can assume that $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is a dense subsemigroup of $T$ and $T \backslash \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}} \neq \varnothing$. Let $\chi \in T \backslash \boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$. Then $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero of the semigroup $T$ by [18, Lemma 4.4], and $\chi \cdot \chi=\mathbf{0}$ by Proposition 6.

Since $\mathbf{0} \cdot \chi=\chi \cdot \mathbf{0}=\mathbf{0}$ and $T$ is a Hausdorff topological semigroup, for any disjoint open neighbourhoods $U(\chi)$ and $U(\mathbf{0})$ of $\chi$ and $\mathbf{0}$ in $T$, respectively, there exist open neighbourhoods $V(\chi) \subseteq U(\chi)$ and $V(\mathbf{0}) \subseteq U(\mathbf{0})$ of $\chi$ and $\mathbf{0}$ in $T$, respectively, such that $V(\mathbf{0}) \cdot V(\chi) \subseteq$
$U(\mathbf{0})$ and $V(\chi) \cdot V(\mathbf{0}) \subseteq U(\mathbf{0})$. By Theorem 4 every non-zero element of $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ is an isolated point in $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ and by [17, Corollary 3.3.11] it is an isolated point of $T$, and hence the set $E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right) \backslash V(\mathbf{0})$ is finite. Also Hausdorffness and compactness of $E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ imply that without loss of generality we may assume that $V(\chi) \cap E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}\right)=\varnothing$. Since the neighbourhood $V(\chi)$ contains infinitely many elements of the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ and the set $E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right) \backslash V(\mathbf{0})$ is finite, there exists $(i, j,[0 ; k]) \in V(\chi)$ such that either $(i, i,[0 ; k]) \in V(\mathbf{0})$ or $(j, j,[0 ; k]) \in V(\mathbf{0})$. Therefore, we have that at least one of the following conditions holds:

$$
(V(\mathbf{0}) \cdot V(\chi)) \cap V(\chi) \neq \varnothing \quad \text { and } \quad(V(\chi) \cdot V(\mathbf{0})) \cap V(\chi) \neq \varnothing
$$

Every of the above conditions contradicts the assumption that $U(\chi)$ and $U(\mathbf{0})$ are disjoint open neighbourhoods of $\chi$ and $\mathbf{0}$ in $T$. The obtained contradiction completes the proof.

Since compactness preserves by continuous maps Theorems 3 and 7 imply the following assertion.
Corollary 2. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer. If $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is a Hausdorff topological semigroup with the compact band then $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is absolutely H-closed in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups.
Theorem 8. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer and $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}, \tau\right)$ be a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup. If $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is H-closed in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups then its band $E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ is compact.
Proof. We shall prove the statement of the proposition for the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$, which by Theorem 1 is isomorphic to the semigroup $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$.

Suppose to the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}), \tau\right)$ with the non-compact band such that $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}), \tau\right)$ is $H$-closed in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups. By Theorem 4 every non-zero element of the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ is an isolated point in $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }}), \tau\right)$. Hence there exists an open neighbourhood $U(\mathbf{0})$ of the zero $\mathbf{0}$ in $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}), \tau\right)$ such that the set $A=E\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})\right) \backslash U(\mathbf{0})$ is infinite and closed in $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}), \tau\right)$. Let $k$ be the smallest positive integer $\leqslant n+1$ such that the set $A_{k}=A \cap \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ is infinite for the subsemigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{k}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ of $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$. Without loss of generality we may assume that there exists an increasing sequence of nonnegative integers $\left\{a_{j}\right\}_{j \in \omega}$ such that $a_{0} \geqslant n+1$ and

$$
\widetilde{A}_{k}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a_{j} & \cdots & a_{j}+k-1 \\
a_{j} & \cdots & a_{j}+k-1
\end{array}\right): j \in \omega\right\} \subseteq A_{k} .
$$

The continuity of the semigroup operation in $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}), \tau\right)$ implies that there exists an open neighbourhood $V(\mathbf{0}) \subseteq U(\mathbf{0})$ of the zero $\mathbf{0}$ in $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}), \tau\right)$ such that $V(\mathbf{0}) \cdot V(\mathbf{0}) \subseteq$ $U(\mathbf{0})$. By the definition of the semigroup operation on $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ we have that the neighbourhood $V(\mathbf{0})$ does not contain at least one of the points

Since the both above points belong to $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}) \backslash \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$, without loss of generality we may assume that there exists an increasing sequence of non-negative integers $\left\{b_{j}\right\}_{j \in \omega}$ such that $b_{j}+n+1<b_{j+1}$ for all $i \in \omega$ and

$$
\widetilde{B}_{n+1}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{j} & \cdots & b_{j}+n \\
b_{j} & \cdots & b_{j}+n
\end{array}\right): j \in \omega\right\} \nsubseteq V(\mathbf{0}) .
$$

Since $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }}), \tau\right)$ is a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup, we conclude that the maps $\mathfrak{f}_{1}: \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}) \rightarrow E\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})\right), \alpha \mapsto \alpha \alpha^{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_{2}: \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}) \rightarrow E\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{conv}})\right)$, $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{-1} \alpha$ are continuous, and hence the set $S_{\widetilde{B}_{n+1}}=\mathfrak{f}_{1}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{B}_{n+1}\right) \cup \mathfrak{f}_{2}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{B}_{n+1}\right)$ is infinite and open in $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}), \tau\right)$.

Let $\chi \notin \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$. Put $S=\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }}) \cup\{\chi\}$. We extend the semigroup operation from $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ onto $S$ in the following way:

$$
\chi \cdot \chi=\chi \cdot \alpha=\alpha \cdot \chi=\mathbf{0} \quad \text { for all } \quad \alpha \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }}) .
$$

Simple verifications show that such defined binary operation is associative.
For any $p \in \omega$ we denote

$$
\Gamma_{p}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
b_{2 j} & \cdots & b_{2 j}+n \\
b_{2 j+1} & \cdots & b_{2 j+1}+n
\end{array}\right): j \geqslant p\right\} .
$$

We determine a topology $\tau_{S}$ on the semigroup $S$ in the following way:
(1) for every $\gamma \in \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ the bases of topologies $\tau$ and $\tau_{S}$ at $\gamma$ coincide;
(2) $\mathscr{B}(\chi)=\left\{U_{p}(\chi)=\{\chi\} \cup \Gamma_{p}: p \in \omega\right\}$ is the base of the topology $\tau_{S}$ at the point $\chi$.

Simple verifications show that $\tau_{S}$ is a Hausdorff topology on the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$.
For any $p \in \omega$ and any open neighbourhood $V(\mathbf{0}) \subseteq U(\mathbf{0})$ of the zero $\mathbf{0}$ in $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}), \tau\right)$ we have that

$$
V(\mathbf{0}) \cdot U_{p}(\chi)=U_{p}(\chi) \cdot V(\mathbf{0})=U_{p}(\chi) \cdot U_{p}(\chi)=\{\mathbf{0}\} \subseteq V(\mathbf{0})
$$

We observe that the definition of the set $\Gamma_{p}$ implies that for any non-zero element $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{lll}c & \cdots+l \\ d & \cdots & d+l\end{array}\right)$ of the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ there exists the smallest positive integer $j_{\gamma}$ such that $c+l<b_{2 j_{\gamma}}$ and $d+l<b_{2 j_{\gamma}+1}$. Then we have that $\gamma \cdot U_{j_{\gamma}}(\chi)=U_{j_{\gamma}}(\chi) \cdot \gamma=\{\mathbf{0}\} \subseteq V(\mathbf{0})$.

Therefore $\left(S, \tau_{S}\right)$ is a topological semigroup, which contains $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}), \tau\right)$ as a dense proper subsemigroup. The obtained contradiction implies that $E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ is a compact subset of $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}}), \tau\right)$.

Theorem 9. Let $n$ be a non-negative integer and $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ be a Hausdorff topological inverse semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}, \tau\right)$ is H-closed in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups;
(2) $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is absolutely $H$-closed in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups;
(3) the band $E\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}\right)$ is compact.

Proof. Implication $(2) \Rightarrow(1)$ is obvious. Implications $(1) \Rightarrow(3)$ and $(3) \Rightarrow(1)$ follow from Theorems 8 and 7 , respectively.

Since a continuous image of a compact set is compact, Theorem 3 implies that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2).

The following example shows that a counterpart of the statement of Theorem 8 does not hold, when $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}, \tau\right)$ is a Hausdorff topological semigroup.
Example 2. On the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ we define a topology $\tau_{+}$in the following way. All non-zero elements of the semigroup $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ are isolated points of $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{conv}}), \tau_{+}\right)$and the family $\mathscr{B}_{+}(\mathbf{0})=\left\{U_{k}(\mathbf{0}): k \in \omega\right\}$, where $U_{k}(\mathbf{0})=\{\mathbf{0}\} \cup\left\{\binom{2 i}{2 i+1}: i \geqslant k\right\}$, determines the base of the topology $\tau_{+}$at the point $\mathbf{0}$. It is obvious that $\tau_{+}$is a Hausdorff topology on $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$. Since $U_{k}(\mathbf{0}) \cdot U_{k}(\mathbf{0})=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ for any $k \in \omega$ and $U_{q}(\mathbf{0}) \cdot\left\{\binom{p}{q}\right\}=\left\{\binom{p}{q}\right\} \cdot U_{p}(\mathbf{0})=\{\mathbf{0}\}$ for any $p, q \in \omega$, $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }}), \tau_{+}\right)$is a topological semigroup.
Proposition 7. $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{conv}}), \tau_{+}\right)$is $H$-closed in the class of Hausdorff topological semigroups.
Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff topological semigroup $T$, which contains $\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }}), \tau_{+}\right)$as a non-closed subsemigroup. Since the closure of a subsemigroup of a topological semigroup $S$ is a subsemigroup of $S$ (see [11, p. 9]), without loss of generality we can assume that $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ is a dense proper subsemigroup of $T$. Let $\chi \in T \backslash \mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\mathrm{conv}})$. Then $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero of the semigroup $T$ by [18, Lemma 4.4], and $\chi \cdot \chi=\mathbf{0}$ by Proposition 6.

Fix disjoint open neighbourhoods $U(\chi)$ and $U_{p}(\mathbf{0})$ of $\chi$ and $\mathbf{0}$ in T. By Proposition 6, $E(T)=E\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})\right)$. By [11, Theorem 1.5], $E\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})\right)$ is a closed subset of $T$ and hence without loss of generality we can assume that $U(\chi) \cap E\left(\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})\right)=\varnothing$. Then for any open neighbourhoods $V(\chi) \subseteq U(\chi)$ and $U_{q}(\mathbf{0}) \subseteq U_{p}(\mathbf{0})$ the infiniteness of $V(\chi)$ and the definition of the semigroup operation on $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{1}(\overrightarrow{\text { conv }})$ that imply that

$$
V(\chi) \cdot U_{q}(\mathbf{0}) \nsubseteq U_{p}(\mathbf{0}) \quad \text { or } \quad U_{q}(\mathbf{0}) \cdot V(\chi) \nsubseteq U_{p}(\mathbf{0})
$$

which contradicts the continuity of the semigroup operation on $T$.
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Гутік О.В., Попадюк О.Б. Про напівгрупу $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, породжену сім'єю $\mathscr{F}_{n}$ скінченних обмежених інтервалів у $\omega$ // Карпатські матем. публ. - 2023. - Т.15, №2. - С. 331-355.

Ми вивчаємо напівгрупу $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$, яка представлена в статті [Вісник $\Lambda_{ь в і в . ~ у н-т у . ~ С е р . ~ м е х .-м а т . ~}^{\text {п }}$. $2020,90,5-19]$, у випадку коли $\omega$-замкнена сім'я $\mathscr{F}_{n}$ породжена множиною $\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$. Ми доводимо, що відношення Гріна $\mathscr{D}$ і $\mathscr{J}$ співпадають в $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}$, напівгрупа $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{\mathscr { F } _ { n }}}$ ізоморфна напівгрупі $\mathscr{I}_{\omega}^{n+1}(\overrightarrow{\operatorname{conv}})$ часткових порядково-опуклих ізоморфізмів множини ( $\omega, \leqslant$ ) рангу $\leqslant n+1$, і на B $_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F _ { n }}}$ існують лише конгруенції Ріса. Також вивчаються трансляційно неперервні топології на напівгрупі $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}$. Зокрема, доведено, що для довільної трансляційно неперервної $T_{1}$-топології $\tau$ на $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F} n}$ кожен ненульовий елемент напівгрупи $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}{ }^{\mathscr{F}} \in$ ізольованою точкою в $\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}}{ }^{\mathscr{F}}, \tau\right)$, на $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}{ }_{n}}$ існує єдина компактна трансляційно неперервна $T_{1}$-топологія, і кожна $\omega_{\boldsymbol{d}}$-компактна трансляційно неперервна $T_{1}$-топологія компактна. Описано замикання напівгрупи $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ в гаусдорфовій напівтопологічній напівгрупі та доведено критерій $H$-замкненості топологічної інверсної напівгрупи $\boldsymbol{B}_{\omega}^{\mathscr{F}_{n}}$ в класі гаусдорфових топологічних напівгруп.

Ключові слова і фрази: біциклічне розширення, конгруенція Ріса, напівтопологічна напівгрупа, топологічна напівгрупа, біциклічний моноїд, інверсна напівгрупа, $\omega_{\mathcal{D}}$-компактний, компактний, замикання.
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