



## On Dirichlet series similar to Hadamard compositions in half-plane

Bandura A.I.<sup>1</sup>, Mulyava O.M.<sup>2</sup>, Sheremeta M.M.<sup>3</sup>

Let  $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp\{s\lambda_n\}$  and  $F_j(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} \exp\{s\lambda_n\}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, p}$ , be Dirichlet series with exponents  $0 \leq \lambda_n \uparrow +\infty$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and the abscissas of absolute convergence equal to 0. The function  $F$  is called Hadamard composition of the genus  $m \geq 1$  of the functions  $F_j$  if  $a_n = P(a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{n,p})$ , where  $P(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} x_1^{k_1} \dots x_p^{k_p}$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m$ . In terms of generalized orders and convergence classes the connection between the growth of the functions  $F_j$  and the growth of the Hadamard composition  $F$  of the genus  $m \geq 1$  of  $F_j$  is investigated. The pseudostarlikeness and pseudoconvexity of the Hadamard composition of the genus  $m \geq 1$  are studied.

*Key words and phrases:* Dirichlet series, Hadamard composition, generalized order, generalized type, generalized convergence class, pseudostarlikeness, pseudoconvexity.

<sup>1</sup> Ivano-Frankivsk National Technical University of Oil and Gas, 15 Karpatska str., 76019, Ivano-Frankivsk, Ukraine

<sup>2</sup> National University of Food Technologies, 68 Volodymyrska str., 01033, Kyiv, Ukraine

<sup>3</sup> Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, 1 Universytetska street, 79001, Lviv, Ukraine

E-mail: andriy.kopanytsia@gmail.com (Bandura A.I.), oksana.m@bigmir.net (Mulyava O.M.), m.m.sheremeta@gmail.com (Sheremeta M.M.)

### Introduction

Let  $f_j(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq p$ , be entire transcendental functions. As in [12], we say that the function  $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$  is similar to the Hadamard composition of the functions  $f_j$  if  $a_n = w(a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{n,p})$  for all  $n$ , where  $w : \mathbb{C}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is some function. Clearly, if  $p = 2$  and  $w(a_{n,1}, a_{n,2}) = a_{n,1} a_{n,2}$ , then  $f = (f_1 * f_2)$  is the Hadamard composition (product) of the functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  (see [5]). Obtained by J. Hadamard properties of this composition find the applications in the theory of the analytic continuation of the functions represented by power series [1, 6]. E.G. Calys [2] investigated the functions similar to Hadamard compositions with  $|w(x, y)| = \sqrt{|xy|}$  and proved, in particular, the following theorem.

**Theorem A ([2]).** *Let entire functions  $f_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , have the same order  $\rho[f_j] = \rho \in (0, +\infty)$  and types  $\sigma[f_j] = \sigma_j$ . Suppose that  $a_{n,1} \neq 0$  and  $|a_{n,2}| \geq |a_{n,1}|/l(1/|a_{n,1}|)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ , where  $l$  is slowly varying function. If  $|a_n| = (1 + o(1)) \sqrt{|a_{n,1}| |a_{n,2}|}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then function  $f$  has the order  $\rho[f] = \rho$  and the type  $\sigma[f] \leq \sqrt{\sigma_1 \sigma_2}$ .*

VΔK 517.537.72

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30B50.

The research of the first named author was funded by the National Research Foundation of Ukraine, 2020.02/0025, 0120U103996.

In article [8], Theorem A is generalized to the case of entire Dirichlet series of finite generalized order, and instead of two entire functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  it is considered  $n \geq 2$  entire Dirichlet series. Analogues of the results from [8] for Dirichlet series absolutely convergent in the half-plane are obtained in [9].

To formulate them, we denote by  $L$  the class of positive continuous functions  $\alpha$  on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  such that  $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x_0)$  for  $x \leq x_0$  and  $0 < \alpha(x) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $x_0 \leq x \uparrow +\infty$ . We say that  $\alpha \in L^0$  if  $\alpha \in L$  and  $\alpha((1 + o(1))x) = (1 + o(1))\alpha(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ . Finally,  $\alpha \in L_{si}$  if  $\alpha \in L$  and  $\alpha(cx) = (1 + o(1))\alpha(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ , i.e.  $\alpha$  is slowly increasing function. Clearly,  $L_{si} \subset L^0$ .

Let  $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)$  be an increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence of nonnegative number,  $S(\Lambda, 0)$  be the class of Dirichlet series

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp\{s\lambda_n\}, \quad s = \sigma + it, \tag{1}$$

with the sequence  $(\lambda_n)$  of exponents and the abscissa of absolute convergence  $\sigma_a[F] = 0$  and  $M(\sigma, F) = \sup \{|F(\sigma + it)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  for  $\sigma \in (-\infty, 0)$ .

If  $\alpha \in L$ ,  $\beta \in L$  and  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  then the value

$$\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F] = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\ln M(\sigma, F))}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)}$$

is called [3] generalized order of  $F$ . If  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F] \in (0, +\infty)$ , the generalized type is defined [9] as

$$T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[F] = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\ln M(\sigma, F)}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))}, \quad \varrho = \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F].$$

**Theorem B** ([9]). Let  $\beta \in L_{si}$ ,  $\alpha(e^x) \in L^0$ ,  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L_{si}$ ,

$$\frac{x}{\beta^{-1}(c\alpha(x))} \uparrow +\infty, \quad \alpha\left(\frac{x}{\beta^{-1}(c\alpha(x))}\right) \sim \alpha(x) \quad \text{as } x_0 \leq x \rightarrow +\infty \tag{2}$$

and  $\ln n = o(\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(\lambda_n)))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ . Suppose that Dirichlet series  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  of the form

$$F_j(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} \exp\{s\lambda_n\}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p, \tag{3}$$

have the same generalized order  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F_j] = \varrho \in (0, +\infty)$ , the types  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[F_j] \in (0, +\infty)$ ,  $|a_{n,1}| > 0$  for  $n \geq n_0$  and  $\ln \ln |a_{n,j}| \geq (1 + o(1)) \ln \ln |a_{n,1}|$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $2 \leq j \leq p$ . If  $\omega_j > 0$  with  $\sum_{j=1}^p \omega_j = 1$  and  $\ln |a_n| = (1 + o(1)) \prod_{j=1}^p \ln^{\omega_j} |a_{n,j}|$ , then function  $F$  of the form (1)

has the generalized order  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F] = \varrho$  and the type  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[F] \leq \prod_{j=1}^p T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[F_j]^{\omega_j}$ .

If  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[F] = 0$ , then for the characteristic of the growth of the functions  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  we define a generalized convergence class by the condition

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln M(\sigma, F)}{|\sigma|^2 \alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma < +\infty, \quad \varrho = \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F]. \tag{4}$$

**Theorem C** ([12]). Let  $\alpha \in L^0$ ,  $\beta \in L^0$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  for every  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ . If all functions (3) belong to the generalized convergence class,  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\ln |a_{n,j}|} \leq h_0 < +\infty$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$ ,  $\omega_j > 0$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^p \omega_j = 1$  and  $|a_n| \asymp \prod_{j=1}^p |a_{n,j}|^{\omega_j}$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then the function (1) also belongs the same convergence class. If, in addition,  $|a_{n,1}| > 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and  $|a_{n,j}| \asymp |a_{n,1}|$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$ , then the belonging of  $F$  to the generalized convergence class implies the belonging of all  $F_j$  to the same convergence class.

Here we consider the case when  $w$  is a homogeneous polynomial.

## 1 Definition and convergence of the Hadamard composition of the genus $m$

Recall that a polynomial is named homogeneous if all monomials with nonzero coefficients have the identical degree. A polynomial  $P(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  is homogeneous to the degree  $m$  if and only if  $P(tx_1, \dots, tx_p) = t^m P(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  for all  $t$  from the domain of the polynomial. Dirichlet series (1) is called a Hadamard composition of genus  $m$  of Dirichlet series (3) if  $a_n = P(a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{n,p})$ , where

$$P(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} c_{k_1 \dots k_p} x_1^{k_1} \dots x_p^{k_p}.$$

is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m \geq 1$ . We remark that the usual Hadamard composition is a special case of the Hadamard composition of the genus  $m = 2$ .

Therefore, if the function  $F$  is Hadamard composition of genus  $m \geq 1$  of the functions  $F_j$  then

$$|a_n| \leq \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}| |a_{n,1}|^{k_1} \dots |a_{n,p}|^{k_p}. \quad (5)$$

It is known [10, 14] that if  $\ln n = o(\lambda_n)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  then  $\sigma_a[F] = \alpha[F] := \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{|a_n|}$ .

Hence, it follows that if all  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ , i.e.  $\sigma_a[F_j] = 0$ , then  $|a_{n,j}| \leq \exp\{\varepsilon \lambda_n\}$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , all  $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$  and all  $1 \leq j \leq p$ . Therefore, (5) implies  $|a_n| \leq C \exp\{m\varepsilon \lambda_n\}$ , where

$$C = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}|. \text{ Hence } \alpha[F] = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{|a_n|} \geq -m\varepsilon, \text{ i.e. in view of the arbitrariness}$$

of  $\varepsilon$  we get  $\sigma_a[F] = \alpha[F] \geq 0$ .

In order for  $\sigma_a[F] = 0$  additional conditions on  $a_{n,j}$  are required. For example, suppose that  $|c_{m0\dots 0}| |a_{n,1}|^m \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $|a_{n,j}| = o(|a_{n,1}|)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We put

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma'_n &= \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m, k_1 \neq m} c_{k_1 \dots k_p} (a_{n,1})^{k_1} \dots (a_{n,p})^{k_p} \\ &= \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} c_{k_1 \dots k_p} (a_{n,1})^{k_1} \dots (a_{n,p})^{k_p} - c_{m0\dots 0} (a_{n,1})^m. \end{aligned}$$

Since for each monomial of the polynomial  $\Sigma'_n$  the sum of the exponents is equal to  $m$ , we have

$$\frac{|a_{n,1}|^{k_1} \dots |a_{n,p}|^{k_p}}{|a_{n,1}|^m} = \frac{|a_{n,2}|^{k_2} \dots |a_{n,p}|^{k_p}}{|a_{n,1}|^{m-k_1}} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and thus  $\Sigma'_n = o(|a_{n,1}|^m)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,

$$|a_n| \geq |c_{m0\dots 0}| |a_{n,1}|^m - |\Sigma'_n| = |c_{m0\dots 0}| |a_{n,1}|^m - o(|a_{n,1}|^m) \geq \frac{|c_{m0\dots 0}|}{2} |a_{n,1}|^m, \quad n \geq n_0^*,$$

whence  $\sigma_a[F] = \alpha[F] \leq m \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{|a_{n,1}|} = 0$ , i.e.  $\sigma_a[F] = 0$ .

**Growth of Hadamard compositions of the genus  $m$**

Since the polynomial  $P(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  is homogeneous of the degree  $m \geq 1$ , we have

$$a_n e^{m s \lambda_n} = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} c_{k_1 \dots k_p} \left(a_{n,1} e^{s \lambda_n}\right)^{k_1} \dots \left(a_{n,p} e^{s \lambda_n}\right)^{k_p}. \tag{6}$$

Let  $\mu(\sigma, F) = \max \{|a_n| \exp\{\sigma \lambda_n\} : n \geq 0\}$  be the maximal term of series (1). We remark that  $\mu(\sigma, F) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$  if and only if  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| = +\infty$ . In what follows, we will assume that this condition is satisfied.

Since (6) implies

$$|a_n| e^{m \sigma \lambda_n} \leq \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}| \left(|a_{n,1}| e^{\sigma \lambda_n}\right)^{k_1} \dots \left(|a_{n,p}| e^{\sigma \lambda_n}\right)^{k_p},$$

we have

$$\mu(m\sigma, F) \leq \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}| \mu(\sigma, F_1)^{k_1} \dots \mu(\sigma, F_p)^{k_p},$$

whence for all  $\sigma < 0$  enough large we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mu(m\sigma, F) &\leq \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} \ln \left(|c_{k_1 \dots k_p}| \mu(\sigma, F_1)^{k_1} \dots \mu(\sigma, F_p)^{k_p}\right) + \ln(m + 1) \\ &= \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} \left(\ln |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}| + k_1 \ln \mu(\sigma, F_1) + \dots + k_p \ln \mu(\sigma, F_p)\right) + \ln(m + 1) \tag{7} \\ &= \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} (k_1 \ln \mu(\sigma, F_1) + \dots + k_p \ln \mu(\sigma, F_p)) + C_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 = \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} \ln^+ |c_{k_1 \dots k_p}| + \ln(m + 1)$ .

We remark also that if  $|c_{m0\dots 0}| |a_{n,1}|^m \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $|a_{n,j}| = o(|a_{n,1}|)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then, as above,  $|a_n| \geq |c_{m0\dots 0}| |a_{n,1}|^m / 2$  for  $n \geq n_0^*$  and therefore for  $1 \leq j \leq p$  and all  $\sigma < 0$  enough large

$$\ln \mu(\sigma, F_j) \leq \ln \mu(\sigma, F_1) \leq \ln \mu(\sigma, F) + C_2. \tag{8}$$

From (7) and (8) it follows that we need a connection between the growth of  $M(\sigma, F)$  and the growth of  $\mu(\sigma, F)$ . By Cauchy inequality  $\mu(\sigma, F) \leq M(\sigma, F)$ , the following lemmas contain estimates of  $M(\sigma, F)$  from above. Such estimates depend on the behavior of the coefficients, the relative growth of the functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and the growth of the sequence  $\Lambda$ .

**Lemma 1** ([4]). *If  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  and*

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\ln |a_n|} = h < +\infty, \tag{9}$$

*then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $\sigma_0(\varepsilon) \leq \sigma < 0$*

$$M(\sigma, F) \leq K(\varepsilon) \mu\left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{1 + h} \sigma, F\right)^{1+h+\varepsilon}, \quad K(\varepsilon) = \text{const} > 0.$$

To replace condition (10) by a condition on the growth of the sequence  $\Lambda$ , we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 2** ([4]). If  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ ,  $\alpha \in L_{si}$ ,  $\beta \in L_{si}$  and  $c \in (0, +\infty)$

$$\alpha(\lambda_n) = o\left(\beta\left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\ln n}\right)\right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (10)$$

then for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and all  $\sigma_0(\varepsilon) \leq \sigma < 0$

$$M(\sigma, F) \leq \mu((1 - \varepsilon)\sigma, F) \exp\left\{\alpha^{-1}(\varepsilon\beta(1/|\sigma|))\right\}.$$

In the following lemma there are no conditions on the functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .

**Lemma 3** ([13]). If  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  and

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\lambda_n \gamma(\lambda_n)} = h_0 < \infty, \quad (11)$$

where  $\gamma$  is a positive continuous decreasing to 0 function on  $[0, +\infty)$  such that  $t\gamma(t) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $K(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that for all  $\sigma_0(\varepsilon) \leq \sigma < 0$

$$M(\sigma, F) \leq \mu\left(\frac{\sigma}{1 + \varepsilon}, F\right) \left( \exp\left\{\frac{\varepsilon|\sigma|}{1 + \varepsilon} \gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{\varepsilon|\sigma|}{(1 + \varepsilon)^2(h_0 + \varepsilon^2)}\right)\right\} + K(\varepsilon) \right). \quad (12)$$

These lemmas imply the following statements.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ ,  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F)] = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(\sigma, F))}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)}$  and one of the following conditions be satisfied:

- a) condition (9) holds and either  $\alpha \in L_{si}$ ,  $\beta \in L_{si}$  and  $h < +\infty$  or  $\alpha \in L^0$ ,  $\beta \in L^0$  and  $h = 0$ ;
- b)  $\alpha \in L_{si}$ ,  $\beta \in L^0$  and condition (10) holds;
- c)  $\alpha \in L_{si}$ ,  $\beta \in L^0$ , condition (11) holds and  $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma^{-1}(1/x))}{\beta(x)} = 0$ .

Then  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F] = \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F)]$ .

*Proof.* If condition (9) with  $h < +\infty$  holds, then by Lemma 1 we get

$$\ln \mu(\sigma, F) \leq \ln M(\sigma, F) \leq (1 + h + \varepsilon) \ln \mu\left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{1 + h} \sigma, F\right) + o(1), \quad \sigma \uparrow 0,$$

and if  $\alpha \in L_{si}$  and  $\beta \in L_{si}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F)] &\leq \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F] \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha \ln \mu\left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{1 + h} \sigma, F\right)}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)} \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha \ln \mu\left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{1 + h} \sigma, F\right)}{\beta\left(\frac{1 + h}{(1 - \varepsilon)|\sigma|}\right)} \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\beta\left(\frac{1 + h}{1 - \varepsilon} x\right)}{\beta(x)} = \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F)]. \end{aligned}$$

In [15] it is proved that if  $\beta \in L^0$ , then  $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \beta((1 + \varepsilon)x)/\beta(x) = A(\varepsilon) \downarrow 1$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  and  $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(Kx)/\beta(x) = B(K) < +\infty$  for  $K = \text{const} > 0$ .

Therefore, if  $h = 0$ ,  $\alpha \in L^0$  and  $\beta \in L^0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[\mu(F)] &\leq \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F] \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha\left((1+\varepsilon)\ln\mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F)\right)}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)} \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln\mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F)\right)}{\beta(1/(1-\varepsilon)|\sigma|)} \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha\left((1+\varepsilon)\ln\mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F)\right)}{\alpha\left(\ln\mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F)\right)} \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\beta(1/(1-\varepsilon)|\sigma|)}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)} \\ &= \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[\mu(F)] A_1(\varepsilon) A_2(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A_j(\varepsilon) \downarrow 1$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Hence in view of the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  we obtain the equality  $\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F] = \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[\mu(F)]$ .

In the case **b**), by Lemma 2 we have  $\ln M(\sigma, F) \leq \ln\mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F) + \alpha^{-1}(\varepsilon\beta(1/|\sigma|))$  and since  $\alpha \in L_{si}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\ln M(\sigma, F)) &\leq \alpha\left(2 \max\left\{\ln\mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F), \alpha^{-1}(\varepsilon\beta(1/|\sigma|))\right\}\right) \\ &= (1+o(1))\alpha\left(\max\left\{\ln\mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F), \alpha^{-1}(\varepsilon\beta(1/|\sigma|))\right\}\right) \\ &= (1+o(1)) \max\left\{\alpha\left(\ln\mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F)\right), \varepsilon\beta(1/|\sigma|)\right\} \\ &\leq (1+o(1))\left(\alpha\left(\ln\mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F)\right) + \varepsilon\beta(1/|\sigma|)\right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ , whence, as above, in view of the condition  $\beta \in L^0$  we get

$$\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[\mu(F)] \leq \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F] \leq \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[\mu(F)] A(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon,$$

where  $A(\varepsilon) \downarrow 1$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ , and thus, in view of the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  we obtain the equality  $\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F] = \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[\mu(F)]$ . Finally, as above, from (12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(\ln\mu(\sigma, F)) &\leq \alpha(\ln M(\sigma, F)) \\ &\leq (1+o(1)) \max\left\{\alpha\left(\ln\mu\left(\frac{\sigma}{1+\varepsilon}, F\right)\right), \alpha\left(\gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{\varepsilon|\sigma|}{(1+\varepsilon)^2(h_0+\varepsilon^2)}\right)\right)\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

as  $\sigma \uparrow 0$ . Clearly,

$$\overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln\mu\left(\frac{\sigma}{1+\varepsilon}, F\right)\right)}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)} \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha\left(\ln\mu\left(\frac{\sigma}{1+\varepsilon}, F\right)\right)}{\beta((1+\varepsilon)/|\sigma|)} \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\beta((1+\varepsilon)/|\sigma|)}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)} = \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[\mu(F)] A(\varepsilon)$$

and, similarly,

$$\overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha\left(\gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{\varepsilon|\sigma|}{(1+\varepsilon)^2(h_0+\varepsilon^2)}\right)\right)}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)} \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma^{-1}(|\sigma|))}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)} B\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2(h_0+\varepsilon^2)}\right).$$

Since  $A(\varepsilon) \downarrow 1$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ ,  $B\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon)^2(h_0+\varepsilon^2)}\right) < +\infty$  and  $\overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\gamma^{-1}(|\sigma|))}{\beta(1/|\sigma|)} = 0$ , from (13) we obtain the equality  $\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F] = \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[\mu(F)]$ . Lemma 4 is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ ,  $T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)] = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F)}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))}$ ,  $\varrho = \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F]$ ,  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ . If one of the conditions **a)** with  $h = 0$ , **b)**, **c)** of Lemma 4 is satisfied, then  $T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[F] = T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)]$ .

*Proof.* If condition (9) holds with  $h = 0$ , then by Lemma 1 for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and all  $\sigma \in (\sigma_0(\varepsilon), 0)$  in view of the condition  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)] &\leq T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[F] \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu((1 - \varepsilon)\sigma, F)}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/((1 - \varepsilon)|\sigma|)))} \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/((1 - \varepsilon)|\sigma|)))}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon) T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)] A(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A(\varepsilon) \downarrow 1$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Thus,  $T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[F] = T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)]$ .

If the condition **b)** holds, then by Lemma 2 as above we get

$$T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)] \leq T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[F] \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu((1 - \varepsilon)\sigma, F)}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} + \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha^{-1}(\varepsilon\beta(1/|\sigma|))}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} \leq T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)] A(\varepsilon) + A^*(\varepsilon),$$

where  $A(\varepsilon) \downarrow$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  and the condition  $\alpha \in L_{si}$  implies  $A^*(\varepsilon) = \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha^{-1}(\varepsilon x)}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho x)} = 0$  for  $\varepsilon < \varrho$ .

Therefore, in view of the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$  the equality  $T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[F] = T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)]$  is correct. Finally, as above, from (12) we have

$$\ln M(\sigma, F) \leq \ln \mu\left(\frac{\sigma}{1 + \varepsilon}, F\right) + \gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{\varepsilon|\sigma|}{(1 + \varepsilon)^2(h_0 + \varepsilon^2)}\right) + K_1(\varepsilon),$$

whence, as above,  $T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)] \leq T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[F] \leq T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)] A(\varepsilon) + C(\varepsilon)$ , where  $A(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} C(\varepsilon) &= \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\gamma^{-1}\left(\frac{\varepsilon|\sigma|}{(1 + \varepsilon)^2(h_0 + \varepsilon^2)}\right)}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\gamma^{-1}(1/|\sigma|)}{\alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho\beta\left(\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^2(h_0 + \varepsilon^2)}{\varepsilon|\sigma|}\right)\right)} \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\gamma^{-1}(1/|\sigma|)}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))}{\alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho^0\beta\left(\frac{(1 + \varepsilon)^2(h_0 + \varepsilon^2)}{\varepsilon|\sigma|}\right)\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the condition  $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma^{-1}(1/x))}{\beta(x)} = 0$  implies  $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\gamma^{-1}(1/x)}{\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x))} = 0$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ , and  $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(Kx))}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(x))} = B(K) < +\infty$ , we have  $C(\varepsilon) = 0$ . Therefore,  $T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[F] = T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F)]$ , and Lemma 5 is proved. □

Using Lemmas 4 and 5 we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$  and one of the conditions **a)** with  $h = 0$ , **b)**, **c)** of Lemma 4 is satisfied.

Suppose that for  $1 \leq j \leq p$  the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  have the same generalized order  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F_j] = \varrho \in (0, +\infty)$  and the types  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[F_j] = T_j^{01} \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $|c_{m0\dots 0}||a_{n,1}|^m \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $|a_{n,j}| = o(|a_{n,1}|)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $2 \leq j \leq p$ .

If the function  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  is the Hadamard composition of the genus  $m \geq 1$  of the functions  $F_j$  and either  $m = 1$  or  $\beta \in L_{si}$ , then  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F] = \varrho$  and

$$T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[F] \leq Q(m) \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} (k_1 T_1^{01} + \dots + k_p T_p^{01}),$$

where  $Q_1(m) := \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(mx))}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(x))} = 1$  if either  $m = 1$  or  $\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(x)) \in L_{si}$ .

*Proof.* Since the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  have the same generalized order  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F_j] = \varrho \in (0, +\infty)$ , for every  $\varrho_1 > \varrho$  and all  $\sigma \in [\sigma_0(\varrho_1), 0)$  we have  $\ln \mu(\sigma, F_j) \leq \alpha^{-1}(\varrho_1\beta(1/|\sigma|))$ . Therefore, from (7) we get

$$\ln \mu(m\sigma, F) \leq \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} (k_1 + \dots + k_p) \alpha^{-1}(\varrho_1\beta(1/|\sigma|)) + C_1 = C_2 \alpha^{-1}(\varrho_1\beta(1/|\sigma|)) + C_1,$$

where  $C_1 = \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} \ln^+ |c_{k_1\dots k_p}| + \ln(m+1)$  and  $C_2 = \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} (k_1 + \dots + k_p)$ . Since  $\alpha \in L_{si}$ , it follows that

$$\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F)] = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(m\sigma, F))}{\beta(1/(m|\sigma|))} \leq \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\varrho_1\beta(1/|\sigma|)}{\beta(1/(m|\sigma|))} = \varrho_1 \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\beta(mx)}{\beta(x)} = \varrho_1,$$

provided either  $m = 1$  or  $\beta \in L_{si}$ . In view of the arbitrariness of  $\varrho$  we get  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F)] \leq \varrho$ .

If  $|c_{m0\dots 0}||a_{n,1}|^m \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $|a_{n,j}| = o(|a_{n,1}|)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  then from (8) we get  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F_j)] \leq \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F_1)] \leq \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F)]$ . Thus,  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F)] = \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F_j)]$  and by Lemma 4  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F] = \varrho$ .

Also, from (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[\mu(F)] &= \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu(m\sigma, F)}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/(m|\sigma|)))} \\ &\leq \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} \left( k_1 \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F_1)}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} + \dots + k_p \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F_p)}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} \right) \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))}{\alpha^{-1}(\varrho\beta(1/(m|\sigma|)))} \\ &= Q_1(m) \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} (k_1 T_{\alpha, \beta}^{02}[\mu(F_1)] + \dots + k_p T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[\mu(F_p)]). \end{aligned}$$

Since by Lemma 5 we have  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[\mu(F)] = T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[F]$  and  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[\mu(F_j)] = T_{\alpha, \beta}^{01}[F_j]$ , the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. □

Since  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F] = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\ln \exp \{ \alpha(\ln M(\sigma, F)) \}}{\ln \exp \{ \beta(1/|\sigma|) \}}$ , the generalized type can be determined [9] by

the formula

$$T_{\alpha, \beta}^{02}[F] = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\exp \{ \alpha(\ln M(\sigma, F)) \}}{\exp \{ \beta(1/|\sigma|) \}}, \quad \varrho = \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F].$$



If we put  $\alpha_1(x) = \exp \{ \alpha(x) \}$  and  $\beta_1(x) = \exp \{ \varrho \beta(x) \}$ , then  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{02}[F] = \varrho_{\alpha_1, \beta_1}^0[F]$ . Therefore, Lemma 3 implies the following statement.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ ,  $0 < \varrho < +\infty$ ,  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{02}[\mu(F)] = \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\exp \{ \alpha(\ln \mu(\sigma, F)) \}}{\exp \{ \varrho \beta(1/|\sigma|) \}}$  and one of the following conditions be satisfied:

**d)** condition (9) holds and either  $e^\alpha \in L_{si}$ ,  $e^\beta \in L_{si}$  and  $h < +\infty$  or  $e^\alpha \in L^0$ ,  $e^\beta \in L^0$  and  $h = 0$ ;

**e)**  $e^\alpha \in L_{si}$ ,  $e^\beta \in L^0$  and

$$\exp \{ \alpha(\lambda_n) \} = o \left( \exp \left\{ \varrho \beta \left( \frac{\lambda_n}{\ln n} \right) \right\} \right), \quad n \rightarrow \infty; \quad (14)$$

**f)**  $e^\alpha \in L_{si}$ ,  $e^\beta \in L^0$ , condition (12) holds and  $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\exp \{ \alpha(\gamma^{-1}(1/x)) \}}{\exp \{ \varrho \beta(x) \}} = 0$ .

Then  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{02}[F] = T_{\alpha, \beta}^{02}[\mu(F)]$ .

Using Lemmas 4 and 6 we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let one of the conditions **d)** of Lemma 6 and **b), c)** of Lemma 4 is satisfied.

Suppose that for  $1 \leq j \leq p$  the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  have the same generalized order  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F_j] = \varrho \in (0, +\infty)$  and the types  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{02}[F_j] = T_j^{02} \in [0, +\infty)$ ,  $|c_{m0\dots 0}| |a_{n,1}|^m \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $|a_{n,j}| = o(|a_{n,1}|)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $2 \leq j \leq p$ .

If the function  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  is the Hadamard composition of the genus  $m \geq 1$  of the functions  $F_j$  and either  $m = 1$  or  $\beta \in L_{si}$ , then  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F] = \varrho$  and

$$T_{\alpha, \beta}^{02}[F] \leq Q(m) \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_p = m} (k_1 T_1^{01} + \dots + k_p T_p^{01}),$$

where  $Q_2(m) := \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\exp \{ \varrho \beta(1/|\sigma|) \}}{\exp \{ \varrho \beta(1/(m|\sigma|)) \}} = 1$  if either  $m = 1$  or  $\exp \{ \varrho \beta(x) \} \in L_{si}$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, if  $e^\alpha \in L_{si}$  ( $e^\alpha \in L^0$ ), then  $\alpha \in L_{si}$  ( $\alpha \in L^0$ ) and, therefore, the condition **d)** of Lemma 6 implies the condition **a)** of Lemma 4. Then by Lemma 4 for each function  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  we have  $\varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[F] = \varrho_{\alpha, \beta}^0[\mu(F)]$ . If condition (10) holds, then for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, \varrho)$  and all  $n \geq n_0(\varepsilon)$  we have  $\alpha(\lambda_n) \leq \varepsilon \beta(\lambda_n / \ln n)$  and, thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \exp \{ \alpha(\lambda_n) \} &\leq \exp \{ \varepsilon \beta(\lambda_n / \ln n) \} \\ &= \exp \{ \varrho \beta(\lambda_n / \ln n) \} \exp \{ -(\varrho - \varepsilon) \beta(\lambda_n / \ln n) \} = o \left( \exp \{ \varrho \beta(\lambda_n / \ln n) \} \right) \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e. (14) holds. Similarly, the condition  $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma^{-1}(1/x))}{\beta(x)} = 0$  implies the condition

$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\exp \{ \alpha(\gamma^{-1}(1/x)) \}}{\exp \{ \varrho \beta(x) \}} = 0$ . Therefore, by Lemma 6 for each function  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  we have  $T_{\alpha, \beta}^{02}[F] = T_{\alpha, \beta}^{02}[\mu(F)]$ .

From (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{\alpha,\beta}^{02}[\mu(F)] &= \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\exp \left\{ \alpha (\ln \mu(m\sigma, F)) \right\}}{\exp \left\{ \varrho\beta(1/(m|\sigma|)) \right\}} \\
 &\leq \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} \left( k_1 \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\exp \left\{ \alpha (\ln \mu(\sigma, F_1)) \right\}}{\exp \left\{ \varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|) \right\}} + \dots + k_p \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\exp \left\{ \alpha (\ln \mu(\sigma, F_1)) \right\}}{\exp \left\{ \varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|) \right\}} \right) \\
 &\quad \times \overline{\lim}_{\sigma \uparrow 0} \frac{\exp \left\{ \varrho^0\beta(1/|\sigma|) \right\}}{\exp \left\{ \varrho\beta(1/(m|\sigma|)) \right\}} = Q_2(m) \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} \left( k_1 T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F_1)] + \dots + k_p T_{\alpha,\beta}^{01}[\mu(F_p)] \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 is proved. □

## 2 Convergence classes of Hadamard composition of the genus $m$

Here by  $C_\varrho^0$  we denote a generalized convergence class of the functions  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  defined by condition (4). In order for (4) to hold, it is necessary that  $\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{d\sigma}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} < +\infty$ . Since  $\varrho$  can be arbitrary, we will assume in what follows that  $\int_{x_0}^\infty \frac{dx}{\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x))} < +\infty$  for any  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ . Now we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$  and one of the conditions **a), b), c)** of Lemma 4 is satisfied. If all functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  have the same generalized order  $\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F_j] = \varrho \in (0, +\infty)$  and belong to  $C_\varrho^0$ , then the Hadamard composition  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  of the genus  $m \geq 1$  of the functions  $F_j$  has the generalized order  $\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F] = \varrho \in (0, +\infty)$  and belongs to  $C_\varrho^0$ . If, in addition,  $|c_{m0\dots 0}||a_{n,1}|^m \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $|a_{n,j}| = o(|a_{n,1}|)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $2 \leq j \leq p$  then the condition  $F \in C_\varrho^0$  implies  $F_j \in C_\varrho^0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 4 we have  $\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F] = \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[\mu(F)]$  and  $\varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F_j] = \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[\mu(F_j)]$ . Let us show that condition (4) is satisfied if and only if

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma < +\infty, \quad \varrho = \varrho_{\alpha,\beta}^0[F]. \tag{15}$$

Indeed, by Cauchy inequality condition (4) implies (15). On the other hand, if (9) holds then by Lemma 1

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln M(\sigma, F)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma \leq (1+h+\varepsilon) \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+h}\sigma, F\right)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma + \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln K(\varepsilon)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma,$$

whence in view of the condition  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$  it follows that (15) implies (4).

If (19) holds, then by Lemma 2 for  $\varepsilon < \varrho$

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln M(\sigma, F)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma \leq \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu((1-\varepsilon)\sigma, F)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma + \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{d\sigma}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}((\varrho-\varepsilon)\beta(1/|\sigma|))},$$

whence as above it follows that (15) implies (4).

Finally, if (11) holds, then by Lemma 3

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln M(\sigma, F)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma \leq \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma/(1+\varepsilon), F)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma + \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\gamma^{-1}(\delta\sigma)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma,$$

where  $\delta = \frac{\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)^{-2}}{(h_0+\varepsilon^2)}$ . The condition  $\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma^{-1}(1/x))}{\beta(x)} = 0$  implies  $\gamma^{-1}(1/x) \leq \alpha^{-1}(\varepsilon\beta(x))$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and all  $x \geq x_0(\varepsilon)$ . Therefore, as above, in view of the condition  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  we get  $\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\gamma^{-1}(\delta\sigma)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma < +\infty$  and, thus, (15) implies (4). The equivalence of the conditions (4) and (15) is proven.

If all  $F_j \in C_{\varrho}^0$  then, from (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu(m\sigma, F)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma \\ & \leq \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} \left( k_1 \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F_1)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma + \dots + k_p \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F_p)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma \right) + C < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is some constant. Since  $\alpha^{-1}(c\beta(x)) \in L^0$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ , hence it follows that  $\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma < +\infty$  and, thus,  $F \in C_{\varrho}^0$ .

On the contrary, if  $|c_{m0\dots 0}||a_{n,1}|^m \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $|a_{n,j}| = o(|a_{n,1}|)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $2 \leq j \leq p$  and  $F \in C_{\varrho}^0$ , then (8) implies

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F_j)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma \leq \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F_1)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma \leq \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma, F)}{|\sigma|^{2\alpha-1}(\varrho\beta(1/|\sigma|))} d\sigma + C < +\infty,$$

where  $C$  is some constant, i.e. all  $F_j \in C_{\varrho}^0$ . The proof of Theorem 3 is complete. □

In addition to class  $C_{\varrho}^0$ , to study the growth of functions  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  one can use the generalized convergence class  $C_{\alpha,\beta}^0$  defined in [11] by the condition

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln M(\sigma, F))}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma < +\infty, \quad \alpha \in L, \beta \in L. \tag{16}$$

In order for (16) to hold, it is necessary that  $\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{d\sigma}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} < +\infty$ , that is  $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{dx}{\beta(x)} < +\infty$ . Now we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\alpha \in L^0, \beta \in L^0$ , one of the following conditions be satisfied: a) (10) holds; b) (12) holds and  $\int_{x_0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(\gamma^{-1}(1/x))}{\beta(x)} dx < +\infty$ . Suppose that the function  $F \in S(\Lambda, 0)$  is Hadamard composition of the genus  $m \geq 1$  of the functions  $F_j \in S(\Lambda, 0)$ . If  $F_j \in C_{\alpha,\beta}^0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$ , then  $F \in C_{\alpha,\beta}^0$ . If  $F \in C_{\alpha,\beta}^0$  and, in addition,  $|c_{m0\dots 0}||a_{n,1}|^m \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $|a_{n,j}| = o(|a_{n,1}|)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $2 \leq j \leq p$ , then  $F_j \in C_{\alpha,\beta}^0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$ .

*Proof.* At first, we show that condition (16) holds if and only if

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(\sigma, F))}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma < +\infty. \tag{17}$$

Indeed, by Cauchy inequality condition (16) implies (17). On the other hand, if (9) holds, then since  $\alpha \in L^0$  and  $\beta \in L^0$ , by Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln M(\sigma, F))}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma &\leq \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha\left((1+h+\varepsilon)\ln \mu\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+h}\sigma, F\right) + \ln K(\varepsilon)\right)}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma \\ &\leq K_1 \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha\left(\ln \mu\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{1+h}\sigma, F\right)\right)}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma \leq K_1 K_2 \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(\sigma, F))}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. relations (16) and (17) are equivalent. The equivalence of relations (16) and (17) in the case of condition **b**) is proved in [12].

If all  $F_j \in C_{\alpha, \beta}^0$ , then from (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(m\sigma, F))}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma \\ &\leq \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} \left( k_1 \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(\sigma, F_1))}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma + \dots + k_p \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(\sigma, F_p))}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma \right) + \text{const} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\beta \in L^0$ , hence (17) follows and, thus,  $F \in C_{\alpha, \beta}^0$ .

On the contrary, if  $|c_{m0\dots 0}| |a_{n,1}|^m \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $|a_{n,j}| = o(|a_{n,1}|)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $2 \leq j \leq p$  and  $F \in C_{\alpha, \beta}^0$ , then (8) implies

$$\int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(\sigma, F_j))}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma \leq \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(\sigma, F_1))}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma \leq \int_{\sigma_0}^0 \frac{\alpha(\ln \mu(\sigma, F))}{|\sigma|^{2\beta}(1/|\sigma|)} d\sigma + \text{const} < +\infty,$$

i.e. all  $F_j \in C_{\alpha, \beta}^0$ . The proof of Theorem 4 is complete. □

### 3 Pseudostarlikeness and pseudoconvexity

Here we consider Dirichlet series of the form

$$F(s) = e^{sh} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp\{s\lambda_n\}, \quad a_n > 0, \quad \lambda_1 > h > 0, \tag{18}$$

absolutely convergent in half-plane  $\Pi_0 = \{s : \text{Re } s < 0\}$ .

A conformal function (18) in  $\Pi_0$  is said [16] to be pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha \in [0, h)$  and the type  $\beta > 0$  if

$$\left| \frac{F'(s)}{F(s)} - h \right| < \beta \left| \frac{F'(s)}{F(s)} - (2\alpha - h) \right|, \quad s \in \Pi_0, \tag{19}$$

and is said to be pseudoconvex of the order  $\alpha \in [0, h)$  and the type  $\beta > 0$  if

$$\left| \frac{F''(s)}{F'(s)} - h \right| < \beta \left| \frac{F''(s)}{F'(s)} - (2\alpha - h) \right|, \quad s \in \Pi_0.$$

If  $\beta = 1$  we obtain the definition of the pseudostarlikeness and the pseudoconvexity of the order  $\alpha \in [0, h)$  and if  $\beta = 1$  and  $\alpha = 0$  we obtain the definition [7,17] of the pseudostarlikeness and the pseudoconvexity of function (18).

We need the following lemma.

**Lemma 7** ([16]). *Function (18) is pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha \in [0, h)$  and the type  $\beta > 0$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(1 + \beta)\lambda_n - 2\beta\alpha - h(1 - \beta)\}a_n \leq 2\beta(h - \alpha), \quad (20)$$

*and is pseudoconvex of the order  $\alpha \in [0, h)$  and the type  $\beta > 0$  if and only if*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \{(1 + \beta)\lambda_n - 2\beta\alpha - h(1 - \beta)\}a_n \leq 2h\beta(h - \alpha). \quad (21)$$

Let Dirichlet series

$$F_j(s) = e^{sh} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} \exp\{s\lambda_n\}, \quad a_{n,j} > 0 (1 \leq j \leq p), \quad \lambda_1 > h > 0, \quad (22)$$

be absolutely convergent in  $\Pi_0 = \{s : \operatorname{Re} s < 0\}$ . We say that function (18) is a positive Hadamard composition of genus  $m$  of Dirichlet series (22) if  $a_n = P(a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{n,p})$ , where  $P(x_1, \dots, x_p)$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m \geq 1$  with non-negative coefficients.

Using Lemma 7, we can find conditions under which the pseudostarlikeness (pseudoconvexity) of all functions  $F_j$  implies the pseudostarlikeness (pseudoconvexity) of the function  $F$ . We will have to distinguish between cases  $m = 1$  and  $m \geq 2$ . Remark that a homogeneous polynomial of the degree  $m = 1$  has the form  $P(x_1, \dots, x_p) = c_1x_1 + \dots + c_px_p$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let functions (22) are pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha_j \in [0, h)$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$  and function (18) is a positive Hadamard composition of genus  $m$  of functions (22). If either  $m = 1$  and  $0 < c_1 + \dots + c_p \leq 1$  or  $m \geq 2$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h/\lambda_n)^{m-1} \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \leq 1$ , then function (18) is pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha = \min_{1 \leq j \leq p} \alpha_j$ .*

*Proof.* If the function  $F_j$  is pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha_j \in [0, h)$  then by Lemma 7 we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_n - \alpha_j\}a_{n,j} \leq h - \alpha_j$  and, thus,

$$a_{n,j} \leq \frac{h - \alpha_j}{\lambda_n - \alpha_j} < 1, \quad j = 1, 2. \quad (23)$$

Therefore, if  $F$  is the positive Hadamard composition of genus  $m = 1$  of the functions  $F_j$ , that is  $a_n = c_1a_{n,1} + \dots + c_pa_{n,p}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_n - \alpha\}a_n &= c_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_n - \alpha\}a_{n,1} + \dots + c_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_n - \alpha\}a_{n,p} \\ &= c_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \alpha}{\lambda_n - \alpha_1} (\lambda_n - \alpha_1)a_{n,1} + \dots + c_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n - \alpha}{\lambda_n - \alpha_p} (\lambda_n - \alpha_p)a_{n,p} \\ &\leq c_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h - \alpha}{h - \alpha_1} (\lambda_n - \alpha_1)a_{n,1} + \dots + c_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{h - \alpha}{h - \alpha_p} (\lambda_n - \alpha_p)a_{n,p} \\ &= c_1 \frac{h - \alpha}{h - \alpha_1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_n - \alpha_1)a_{n,1} + \dots + c_p \frac{h - \alpha}{h - \alpha_p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_n - \alpha_p)a_{n,p} \\ &\leq c_1(h - \alpha) + \dots + c_p(h - \alpha) = (c_1 + \dots + c_p)(h - \alpha) \leq h - \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the function  $F$  is pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha = \min_{1 \leq j \leq p} \alpha_j$ .

Now let  $m \geq 2$ . Then  $a_n = \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} a_{n,1}^{k_1} \dots a_{n,p}^{k_p}$  and in view of (23) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_n - \alpha\} a_n &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_n - \alpha\} \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \left(\frac{h - \alpha_1}{\lambda_n - \alpha_1}\right)^{k_1} \dots \left(\frac{h - \alpha_p}{\lambda_n - \alpha_p}\right)^{k_p} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_n - \alpha\} \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \left(\frac{h - \alpha}{\lambda_n - \alpha}\right)^{k_1} \dots \left(\frac{h - \alpha}{\lambda_n - \alpha}\right)^{k_p} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{\lambda_n - \alpha\} \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \left(\frac{h - \alpha}{\lambda_n - \alpha}\right)^m \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h - \alpha}{\lambda_n - \alpha}\right)^{m-1} (h - \alpha) \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{h}{\lambda_n}\right)^{m-1} (h - \alpha) \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \leq h - \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the function  $F$  is pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha = \min_{1 \leq j \leq p} \alpha_j$ . Theorem 5 is proved. □

Using (21) and repeating the proof of Theorem 5, it is easy to prove the following assertion.

**Proposition 1.** *Let functions (22) are pseudoconvex of the order  $\alpha_j \in [0, h)$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$ , and function (18) is a positive Hadamard composition of genus  $m$  of functions (22). If either  $m = 1$  and  $0 < c_1 + \dots + c_p \leq 1$  or  $m \geq 2$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (h/\lambda_n)^{2(m-1)} \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \leq 1$ , then function (18) is pseudoconvex of the order  $\alpha = \min_{1 \leq j \leq p} \alpha_j$ .*

For pseudostarlike functions of the order  $\alpha \in [0, h)$  and the type  $\beta \geq 0$  the following theorem is true.

**Theorem 6.** *Let functions (22) are pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha \in [0, h)$  and the type  $\beta_j \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$ , and function (18) is a positive Hadamard composition of genus  $m$  of functions (22). If either  $m = 1$  and  $0 < c_1 + \dots + c_p \leq 1$  or  $m \geq 2$  and*

$$\left( \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2h}{\lambda_n - h}\right)^{m-1} \leq 1$$

*then function (18) is pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha$  and the type  $\beta = \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} \beta_j$ .*

*Proof.* Let the functions  $F_j$  are pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha_j \in [0, h)$  and the type  $\beta_j \geq 0$ . If  $F$  is a positive Hadamard composition of genus  $m = 1$  of the functions  $F_j$ , then in view of (20) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(1 + \beta)\lambda_n - 2\beta\alpha - h(1 - \beta)\} a_n \\ &= c_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(1 + \beta)\lambda_n - 2\beta\alpha - h(1 - \beta)\} a_{n,1} + \dots + c_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(1 + \beta)\lambda_n - 2\beta\alpha - h(1 - \beta)\} a_{n,p} \\ &= c_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \beta)\lambda_n - 2\beta\alpha - h(1 - \beta)}{(1 + \beta_1)\lambda_n - 2\beta_1\alpha - h(1 - \beta_1)} \{(1 + \beta_1)\lambda_n - 2\beta_1\alpha - h(1 - \beta_1)\} a_{n,1} + \dots \\ &\quad + c_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \beta)\lambda_n - 2\beta\alpha - h(1 - \beta)}{(1 + \beta_p)\lambda_n - 2\beta_p\alpha - h(1 - \beta_p)} \{(1 + \beta_p)\lambda_n - 2\beta_p\alpha - h(1 - \beta_p)\} a_{n,p} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c_1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta}{\beta_1} \{(1 + \beta_1)\lambda_n - 2\beta_1\alpha - h(1 - \beta_1)\} a_{n,1} + \dots \\ &\quad + c_p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta}{\beta_p} \{(1 + \beta_p)\lambda_n - 2\beta_p\alpha - h(1 - \beta_p)\} a_{n,p} \\ &\leq c_1 \frac{\beta}{\beta_1} 2\beta_1(h - \alpha) + \dots + c_p \frac{\beta}{\beta_p} 2\beta_p(h - \alpha) = 2(c_1 + \dots + c_p)\beta(h - \alpha) \leq 2\beta(h - \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the function  $F$  is pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha$  and the type  $\beta = \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} \beta_j$ .

If  $F_j$  is the positive Hadamard composition of genus  $m \geq 2$  of the functions  $F_j$ , then in view of (20) we get  $a_{n,j} \leq \frac{2\beta_j(h-\alpha)}{(1+\beta_j)\lambda_n-2\beta_j\alpha-h(1-\beta_j)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq p$ , and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &\leq \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \left( \frac{2\beta_1(h-\alpha)}{(1+\beta_1)\lambda_n-2\beta_1\alpha-h(1-\beta_1)} \right)^{k_1} \dots \left( \frac{2\beta_p(h-\alpha)}{(1+\beta_p)\lambda_n-2\beta_p\alpha-h(1-\beta_p)} \right)^{k_p} \\ &\leq \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \left( \frac{2\beta(h-\alpha)}{(1+\beta)\lambda_n-2\beta\alpha-h(1-\beta)} \right)^{k_1} \dots \left( \frac{2\beta(h-\alpha)}{(1+\beta)\lambda_n-2\beta\alpha-h(1-\beta)} \right)^{k_p} \\ &= \left( \frac{2\beta(h-\alpha)}{(1+\beta)\lambda_n-2\beta\alpha-h(1-\beta)} \right)^m \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \{(1 + \beta)\lambda_n - 2\beta\alpha - h(1 - \beta)\} a_n \\ &\leq 2\beta(h - \alpha) \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2\beta(h - \alpha)}{(1 + \beta)\lambda_n - 2\beta\alpha - h(1 - \beta)} \right)^{m-1} \\ &\leq 2\beta(h - \alpha) \left( \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2h}{\lambda_n - h} \right)^{m-1} \leq 2\beta(h - \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. the function  $F$  is pseudostarlike of the order  $\alpha$  and the type  $\beta = \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} \beta_j$ . Theorem 6 is proved. □

Using (21) and repeating the proof of Theorem 6, it is easy to prove the following assertion.

**Proposition 2.** *Let functions (22) are pseudoconvex of the order  $\alpha \in [0, h)$  and the type  $\beta_j \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq p$  and function (18) is a positive Hadamard composition of genus  $m$  of functions (22). If either  $m = 1$  and  $0 < c_1 + \dots + c_p \leq 1$  or  $m \geq 2$  and*

$$\left( \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2h}{\lambda_n - h} \right)^{2(m-1)} \leq 1$$

*then function (18) is pseudoconvex of the order  $\alpha$  and the type  $\beta = \max_{1 \leq j \leq p} \beta_j$ .*

## References

- [1] Bieberbach L. Analytische Fortsetzung. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1955. doi:10.1002/zamm19550350918
- [2] Calys E.G. *A note on the order and type of integral functions*. Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.) 1964, **5**, 133–137.
- [3] Gal' Yu.M., Sheremeta M.M. *On the growth of analytic functions in a half-plane given by Dirichlet series*. Dokl. AN USSR, ser. A. 1978, **12**, 1964–1067. (in Russian)
- [4] Gal' Yu.M. *On the growth of analytic functions given by Dirichlet series absolutely convergent in a half-plane*. Drohobych, 1980. Manuscript deposited at VINITI, **4080-80** Dep. (in Russian)
- [5] Hadamard J. *Théorème sur le séries entières*. Acta Math. 1899, **22**, 55–63. doi:10.1007/BF02417870
- [6] Hadamard J. *La série de Taylor et son prolongement analytique par Jaques Hadamard*. Monatsh. Math. 1902, **13** (A44), 43–62. doi:10.1007/BF01703378
- [7] Holovata O.M., Mulyava O.M., Sheremeta M.M. *Pseudostarlike, pseudoconvex, and close-to-pseudoconvex Dirichlet series satisfying differential equations with exponential coefficients*. J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) 2020, **249** (3), 369–388. doi:10.1007/s10958-020-04948-1 (translation of Mat. Metody Fiz.-Mekh. Polya 2018, **61** (1), 57–70. (in Ukrainian))
- [8] Kulyavets' L.V., Mulyava O.M. *On the growth of a class of entire Dirichlet series*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2014, **6** (2), 300–309. doi:10.15330/cmp.6.2.300-309 (in Ukrainian)
- [9] Kulyavets' L.V., Mulyava O.M. *On the growth of a class of Dirichlet series absolutely convergent in half-plane*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2017, **9** (1), 63–71. doi:10.15330/cmp.9.1.63-71
- [10] Leont'ev A.F. Series of exponents. Nauka, Moscow, 1976. (in Russian)
- [11] Mulyava O.M. *Convergence classes in the theory of Dirichlet series*. Dopov. Nats. Akad. Nauk. Ukr. 1999, **3**, 35–39. (in Ukrainian)
- [12] Mulyava O.M., Sheremeta M.M. *Compositions of Dirichlet series similar to the Hadamard compositions, and convergence classes*. Mat. Stud. 2019, **51** (1), 25–34. doi: 10.15330/ms.51.1.25-34
- [13] Mulyava O.M. *On convergence classes of Dirichlet series*. Ukr. Math. J. 1999, **51** (1), 1485–1494. (in Ukrainian)
- [14] Sheremeta M.M. *Entire Dirichlet series*. ISDO 1993. (in Ukrainian).
- [15] Sheremeta M.M. *On two classes of positive functions and belonging to them of main characteristics of entire functions*. Mat. Stud. 2003, **19** (1), 75–82.
- [16] Sheremeta M.M. *Pseudostarlike and pseudoconvex Dirichlet series of the order  $\alpha$  and the type  $\beta$* . Mat. Stud. 2020, **54** (1), 23–31. doi: 10.15330/ms.54.1.23-31
- [17] Sheremeta M.M. Geometric properties of analytic solutions of differential equations. Publ. I.E. Chyzykov, Lviv, 2019.

Received 25.09.2022

Бандура А.І., Мулява О.М., Шеремета М.М. *Про ряди Діріхле, подібні до композицій Адамара у півплощині* // Карпатські матем. публ. — 2023. — Т.15, №1. — С. 180–195.

Нехай  $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp\{s\lambda_n\}$  і  $F_j(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} \exp\{s\lambda_n\}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, p}$ , — ряди Діріхле з показниками  $0 \leq \lambda_n \uparrow +\infty$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , і абсцисами абсолютної збіжності рівними 0. Функція  $F$  називається адамаровою композицією роду  $m \geq 1$  функцій  $F_j$ , якщо  $a_n = P(a_{n,1}, \dots, a_{n,p})$ , де  $P(x_1, \dots, x_p) = \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_p=m} c_{k_1\dots k_p} x_1^{k_1} \dots x_p^{k_p}$  — однорідний поліном степеня  $m$ . У термінах узагальненого порядку, узагальнених типів і узагальнених класів збіжності досліджено зв'язок між зростанням функцій  $F_j$  і зростанням їхньої адамарової композиції роду  $m \geq 1$ . Вивчено псевдозірковість і псевдоопуклість адамарової композиції роду  $m \geq 1$ .

*Ключові слова і фрази:* ряд Діріхле, композиція Адамара, узагальнений порядок, узагальнений тип, узагальнений клас збіжності, псевдозірковість, псевдоопуклість.