



Comparative growth of an entire function and the integrated counting function of its zeros

Andrusyak I.V., Filevych P.V.

Let (ζ_n) be a sequence of complex numbers such that $\zeta_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $N(r)$ be the integrated counting function of this sequence, and let α be a positive continuous and increasing to $+\infty$ function on \mathbb{R} for which $\alpha(r) = o(\log(N(r)/\log r))$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. It is proved that for any set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ satisfying $\int_E r^{\alpha(r)} dr = +\infty$, there exists an entire function f whose zeros are precisely the ζ_n , with multiplicities taken into account, such that the relation

$$\liminf_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \log M(r)}{\log r \log(N(r)/\log r)} = 0$$

holds, where $M(r)$ is the maximum modulus of the function f . It is also shown that this relation is best possible in a certain sense.

Key words and phrases: entire function, maximum modulus, Nevanlinna characteristic, zero, counting function, integrated counting function.

Lviv Polytechnic National University, 5 Mytropolyt Andrei str., 79016, Lviv, Ukraine
E-mail: andrusyak.ivanna@gmail.com (Andrusyak I.V.), p.v.filevych@gmail.com (Filevych P.V.)

1 Introduction and results

Let \mathcal{Z} be the class of all complex sequences $\zeta = (\zeta_n)$ such that $0 < |\zeta_1| \leq |\zeta_2| \leq \dots$ and $\zeta_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any sequence ζ belonging to the class \mathcal{Z} , by $\mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ we denote the class of all entire functions whose zeros are precisely the ζ_n , where a complex number that occurs m times in the sequence ζ corresponds to a zero of multiplicity m . For every $r \geq 0$, let $n_\zeta(r)$ and $N_\zeta(r)$ be the counting function and the integrated counting function of this sequence, respectively, that is

$$n_\zeta(r) = \sum_{|\zeta_n| \leq r} 1, \quad N_\zeta(r) = \int_0^r \frac{n_\zeta(t)}{t} dt.$$

For an entire function f and every $r \geq 0$, we denote by $M_f(r)$ and $T_f(r)$ the maximum modulus and the Nevanlinna characteristic of the function f , respectively, i.e.

$$M_f(r) = \max \{ |f(z)| : |z| = r \}, \quad T_f(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

By L we denote the class of all positive continuous and increasing to $+\infty$ functions on \mathbb{R} .

Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$. It is well known that the growth of functions $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$, which is usually identified with the growth of $\log M_f(r)$ or $T_f(r)$, can be arbitrarily rapid compared to the

growth of the functions $n_\zeta(r)$ and $N_\zeta(r)$. Many authors (see, for example, [1–5, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16]) investigated the opposite, in a certain sense, and more interesting question: how slow can the growth of a function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ be with respect to $n_\zeta(r)$ or $N_\zeta(r)$? In particular, the following two theorems that generalize two results of W. Bergweiler [8] were proved in [4].

Theorem A ([4]). *Let $l \in L$. Then for any sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $n_\zeta(r) \geq l(r)$ for all large r and for every unbounded set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ such that*

$$\liminf_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \log M_f(r)}{\log n_\zeta(r) \log l^{-1}(n_\zeta(r))} = 0. \quad (1)$$

Theorem B ([4]). *Let $l \in L$, and let ψ be a positive function on \mathbb{R} satisfying*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi(\log[x])}{\log x} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Then there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $n_\zeta(r) \geq l(r)$ for all large r and $n_\zeta(r-0) = l(r)$ on an unbounded from above set of values of r and a set $F \subset (1, +\infty)$ of upper logarithmic density 1 such that for an arbitrary function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ we have

$$\psi(\log n_\zeta(r)) \log l^{-1}(n_\zeta(r)) = o(\log \log M_f(r))$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ through the set F .

It is clear that if (2) is not satisfied for some positive function ψ on \mathbb{R} , then $\log n_\zeta(r)$ in (1) can be replaced by $\psi(\log n_\zeta(r))$. According to Theorem B, the same replacement is impossible when (2) is satisfied. Therefore, Theorem B shows that relation (1) is best possible.

Note also that the proof of Theorem B given in [4] shows that the set F in this theorem can be expressed in the form $F = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (s_k, r_k)$, where

$$1 < s_1 < r_1 < s_2 < r_2 < \dots, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log r_k}{\log s_k} = +\infty. \quad (3)$$

In this article, we establish results of the type of Theorems A and B for the function $N_\zeta(r)$ instead of $n_\zeta(r)$.

First of all, we note that, by Theorem B, the growth of each function f from the class \mathcal{E}_ζ can be arbitrarily rapid compared to the growth of the counting function $n_\zeta(r)$ along a large set of values r . In other words, for an arbitrary function $h \in L$ there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ and a set $F \subset (1, +\infty)$ of upper logarithmic density 1 such that for every function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ we have

$$h(n_\zeta(r)) = o(\log \log M_f(r))$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ through the set F .

A similar situation is impossible for the integrated counting function $N_\zeta(r)$. This follows from the following theorem of A.A. Gol'dberg.

Theorem C ([13]). *Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ be an arbitrary sequence. Then there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ such that*

$$\log \log M_f(r) = o(N_\zeta(r)) \quad (4)$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ outside an exceptional set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ of finite logarithmic measure.

This result is best possible in the following sense.

Theorem D ([13]). *Let $\psi \in L$ be an arbitrary function satisfying $\psi(x) = o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$. Then there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ and a set $F \subset (0, r)$ of upper linear density 1 such that for every function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ we have*

$$\psi(N_\zeta(r)) = o(\log \log M_f(r)) \quad (5)$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ through the set F .

The estimates for the sizes of the sets E and F in Theorems C and D can be sharpened.

Theorem E ([1]). *Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ be an arbitrary sequence. Then there exist a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ and a function $\alpha \in L$ such that (4) holds as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ outside an exceptional set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ satisfying*

$$\int_E r^{\alpha(r)} dr < +\infty. \quad (6)$$

Theorem F ([1]). *Let $\psi \in L$ be an arbitrary function such that*

$$\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{x} = 0. \quad (7)$$

Then there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ and sequences (s_k) and (r_k) satisfying (3) such that for any function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ we have (5) as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ through the set $F = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (s_k; r_k)$.

In connection with the above results, the following question arises: *is it possible to find a function $h \in L$ such that for an arbitrary sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ and every unbounded set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ such that*

$$\liminf_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \log M_f(r)}{h(N_\zeta(r))} = 0?$$

The answer to this question remains open, but it will be positive under additional (in some sense even minimal) assumptions about the size of the set E . This fact is confirmed by the following theorem, which directly follows from Theorem E.

Theorem G. *Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ be an arbitrary sequence. Then it is possible to find a function $\alpha \in L$ such that for an arbitrary set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ satisfying*

$$\int_E r^{\alpha(r)} dr = +\infty \quad (8)$$

there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ for which

$$\liminf_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \log M_f(r)}{N_\zeta(r)} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Let $\psi \in L$. If, for the function ψ , (7) is not satisfied, then Theorem G will remain correct when $N_\zeta(r)$ in (9) is replaced by $\psi(N_\zeta(r))$. It follows from Theorem F that such a replacement is impossible if (7) is satisfied. Therefore, (9) is best possible in the whole class \mathcal{Z} . We will show below that in wide subclasses of the class \mathcal{Z} this relation can be refined.

Denote by Ω the class of all non-negative, convex functions Φ on \mathbb{R} such that $\sigma = o(\Phi(\sigma))$ as $\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$. We remark that $N_\zeta(e^\sigma)$ is a function from the class Ω for each sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$.

Let $\Phi \in \Omega$. Then, as it is easy to see, the function $\varphi(\sigma) = \Phi(\sigma)/\sigma$ is continuous, increasing to $+\infty$ on $(\sigma_0, +\infty)$ for some $\sigma_0 > 0$.

Theorem 1. Let $\Phi \in \Omega$, and $\alpha \in L$. If

$$\alpha(r) = o(\log \varphi(\log r)), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (10)$$

then, for any sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $N_\zeta(r) \geq \Phi(\log r)$ for all sufficiently large r and for an arbitrary set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ satisfying (8), there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ such that

$$\liminf_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \log M_f(r)}{\Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r)) \log \varphi(\Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r)))} = 0. \quad (11)$$

If $x \rightarrow +\infty$, then, taking $\sigma = \Phi^{-1}(x)$, we get

$$\Phi^{-1}(x) \log \varphi(\Phi^{-1}(x)) = \sigma \log \varphi(\sigma) = \frac{\log \varphi(\sigma)}{\varphi(\sigma)} \Phi(\sigma) = \frac{\log \varphi(\sigma)}{\varphi(\sigma)} x = o(x).$$

Therefore, (11) is stronger than (9). Moreover, as the following theorem shows, (11) is exact in the sense that in it $\log \varphi(\Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r)))$ cannot be replaced by $\psi(\log \varphi(\Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r))))$, where $\psi \in L$ is an arbitrary function satisfying (2). It is clear that such a replacement is possible for every $\psi \in L$ that does not satisfy (2).

Theorem 2. Let $\Phi \in \Omega$, and let $\psi \in L$ be an arbitrary function satisfying (7). Then there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $N_\zeta(r) \geq \Phi(\log r)$ for all sufficiently large r and $N_\zeta(r) = \Phi(\log r)$ on an unbounded from above set of values of r and sequences (s_k) and (r_k) satisfying (3) such that for any function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ we have

$$\Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r)) \psi(\log \varphi(\Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r)))) = o(\log T_f(r)) \quad (12)$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ through the set $F = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (s_k; r_k)$.

Theorems 1 and 2 are easy to obtain from the following two theorems.

Theorem 3. Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$, and let $\alpha \in L$. If

$$\alpha(r) = o(\log(N_\zeta(r)/\log r)), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty, \quad (13)$$

then for every set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ satisfying (8) there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ such that

$$\liminf_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \log M_f(r)}{\log r \log(N_\zeta(r)/\log r)} = 0. \quad (14)$$

Theorem 4. Let $\Phi \in \Omega$, and let $\psi \in L$ be an arbitrary function satisfying (7). Then there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $N_\zeta(r) \geq \Phi(\log r)$ for all sufficiently large r and sequences (s_k) and (r_k) satisfying (3) such that $N_\zeta(r_k) = \Phi(\log r_k)$ for all integers $k \geq 1$ and for any function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ we have

$$\log r_k \psi(\log(N_\zeta(r_k)/\log r_k)) = o(\log T_f(s_k)), \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (15)$$

Note that we can rewrite (15) in the form

$$\Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r_k)) \psi(\log \varphi(\Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r_k)))) = o(\log T_f(s_k)), \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows that (12) holds as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ through the set $F = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} (s_k; r_k)$. Therefore, Theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.

To prove Theorems 1, 3 and 4 we will need some auxiliary results, which are given in the next section.

2 Auxiliary results

Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and let $p \geq 0$ be an integer. By $E(z, p)$ we denote the usual Weierstrass primary factor, i.e.

$$E(z, p) = \begin{cases} 1 - z, & \text{if } p = 0, \\ (1 - z) \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^p \frac{z^n}{n}\right), & \text{if } p \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 1 ([2]). *Let $\zeta = (\zeta_n)$ be a sequence from the class \mathcal{Z} . Then there exists a non-negative sequence (λ_n) with the following properties:*

- (i) $\lambda_n \sim \log n / \log |\zeta_n|$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (ii) for every sequence (p_n) of non-negative integers such that $p_n \geq [\lambda_n]$ for all sufficiently large n , the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{|\zeta_n|}\right)^{p_n+1} \quad (16)$$

converges for every $r \geq 0$, and the product

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E\left(\frac{z}{\zeta_n}, p_n\right) \quad (17)$$

converges uniformly and absolutely to an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\zeta}$ on any compact subset of \mathbb{C} , and for all $r \geq 0$ we have $\log M_f(r) \leq G(r)$, where $G(r)$ is the sum of series (16).

Let f be an entire function, $r > 0$, and let $c_p(r)$ be the p th Fourier coefficient of the function $\log |f(re^{i\theta})|$, that is

$$c_p(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-ip\theta} \log |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If $f(0) \neq 0$, then in a neighborhood \mathbb{D} of the point $z = 0$ the function f has no zeros, and therefore there exists an analytic function

$$g(z) = \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} a_p z^p \quad (18)$$

in \mathbb{D} such that $f(z) = e^{g(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then, for every integer $p \geq 1$ and all $r > 0$, by the Poisson-Jensen formula (see, for example, [14, p. 16–17]) we have

$$c_p(r) = \frac{1}{2} a_p r^p + \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{|\zeta_n| < r} \left(\left(\frac{r}{\zeta_n}\right)^p - \left(\frac{\overline{\zeta_n}}{r}\right)^p \right), \quad (19)$$

where ζ_n are the zeros of the function f . In addition, the following statement is well known (see, for example, [14, p. 62]).

Lemma 2. *Let f be an entire function. Then for every integer p and all $r > 0$ the inequality $|c_p(r)| \leq 2T_f(r)$ holds.*

3 Proof of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $\zeta = (\zeta_n)$ be a sequence from the class \mathcal{Z} , and let $\alpha \in L$ be a function that satisfies (13). We suppose that $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ is a set satisfying (8) and prove that there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ such that (14) holds.

We set $\gamma(r) = N_\zeta(r) / \log r$ for all $r > 1$ and note that

$$\log N_\zeta(r) = \log \gamma(r) + \log \log r = o(\log r \log \gamma(r)) = o(\log r \log (N_\zeta(r) / \log r)) \quad (20)$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$.

Let $r_0 > |\zeta_1|$ be a fixed number. Consider the set $E_1 = \{r > r_0 : n_\zeta(r) > r^{\alpha(r)+1} N_\zeta^2(r)\}$. Since $n_\zeta(r) = r(N_\zeta(r))'_+$ for all $r \geq 0$, setting $y_0 = N_\zeta(r_0)$, we have

$$\int_{E_1} r^{\alpha(r)} dr \leq \int_{E_1} \frac{n_\zeta(r)}{r N_\zeta^2(r)} dr \leq \int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \frac{n_\zeta(r)}{r N_\zeta^2(r)} dr = \int_{r_0}^{+\infty} \frac{dN_\zeta(r)}{N_\zeta^2(r)} = \int_{y_0}^{+\infty} \frac{dy}{y^2} < +\infty.$$

Thus, (8) implies that the set $E_2 = E \setminus E_1$ is unbounded. In addition, if $r > r_0$ and $r \in E_2$, then $\log n_\zeta(r) \leq (\alpha(r) + 1) \log r + 2 \log N_\zeta(r)$, and therefore, using (13) and (20), we obtain

$$\log n_\zeta(r) = o(\log r \log (N_\zeta(r) / \log r)) \quad (21)$$

as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ through the set E_2 .

For the sequence ζ , let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a sequence whose existence is asserted by Lemma 1. For every integer $n \geq 1$, we put $q_n = \left\lfloor \frac{2 \log n}{\log |\zeta_n|} \right\rfloor$. Note that $q_n \geq [\lambda_n]$ for all sufficiently large n .

Consider the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{q_{n+1}},$$

that converges for all $r \geq 0$. For every $r \geq 0$, we put

$$m_\zeta(r) = \min \left\{ m \geq n_\zeta(r) + 2 : \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \left(\frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{q_{n+1}} \leq 1 \right\}, \quad (22)$$

and let $p_\zeta(r)$ be the smallest integer such that $p_\zeta(r) \geq q_n$ for all integers $n \in (n_\zeta(r), m_\zeta(r))$ and, in addition,

$$\sum_{n_\zeta(r) < n < m_\zeta(r)} \left(\frac{r}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_\zeta(r)+1} \leq 1. \quad (23)$$

We note further that for any polynomial $Q(r) = \sum_{n=1}^m b_n r^{x_n}$, where b_1, \dots, b_m and x_1, \dots, x_m are positive real numbers, the relation $\log Q(r) = O(\log r)$ holds as $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Thus, since the set E_2 is unbounded, in this set we can choose a sequence (r_k) increasing to $+\infty$ such that for all integers $k \geq 2$ we have simultaneously

$$\log \sum_{n \leq m_\zeta(r_{k-1})} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{q_{n+1}} \leq \frac{\log r_k}{k} \log \frac{N_\zeta(r_k)}{\log r_k}, \quad (24)$$

$$\log \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{n_\zeta(r_j) < n < m_\zeta(r_j)} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_\zeta(r_j)+1} \leq \frac{\log r_k}{k} \log \frac{N_\zeta(r_k)}{\log r_k}, \quad (25)$$

$$n_\zeta(\sqrt{r_k}) \geq m_\zeta(r_{k-1}) + 2.$$

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Put $l_k = n_\zeta(\sqrt{r_k})$, $n_k = n_\zeta(r_k)$ and $m_k = m_\zeta(r_k)$. Note that $l_k \leq n_k < m_k \leq l_{k+1} - 2$.

For any integer $n \geq 1$, we set $p_n = p_\zeta(r_k)$ in the case when $n \in (n_k, m_k)$ for some integer $k \geq 1$, and let $p_n = q_n$ in the opposite case. Note that $p_n \geq q_n$ for every integer $n \geq 1$, and therefore series (16) converges for all $r \geq 0$. Then, by Lemma 1, product (17) converges uniformly and absolutely to an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ on any compact subset of \mathbb{C} , and for all $r \geq 0$ we have $\log M_f(r) \leq G(r)$, where $G(r)$ is the sum of series (16). Let us prove that for this function (14) holds.

Assume that $k \geq 2$ is an integer, and put

$$A_k = \sum_{n \leq m_{k-1}} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}}, \quad B_k = \sum_{m_{k-1} < n < l_k} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}}, \quad C_k = \sum_{l_k \leq n \leq n_k} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}}, \quad D_k = \sum_{n > n_k} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}}.$$

We next estimate each of these sums.

From (24) and (25) it follows that

$$\log A_k \leq \frac{\log r_k}{k} \log \frac{N_\zeta(r_k)}{\log r_k} + \log 2, \quad (26)$$

and by (23) and (22) we have

$$D_k \leq \sum_{n_k < n < m_k} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_\zeta(r_k)+1} + \sum_{n \geq m_k} \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{q_{n+1}} \leq 2. \quad (27)$$

Now we put

$$\mu_k = \max \left\{ r_k^{p_{n+1}} : m_{k-1} < n < l_k \right\}.$$

Recalling that for every integer $n \in (m_{k-1}, l_k)$ the equality $p_n = q_n$ holds, we obtain

$$\log \mu_k \leq \left(\frac{2 \log n_\zeta(\sqrt{r_k})}{\log |\zeta_{m_{k-1}}|} + 1 \right) \log r_k.$$

Since $B_k \leq \mu_k G(1)$, and

$$N_\zeta(r) \geq N_\zeta(r) - N_\zeta(\sqrt{r}) = \int_{\sqrt{r}}^r \frac{n_\zeta(t)}{t} dt \geq n_\zeta(\sqrt{r}) \log \sqrt{r}$$

for each $r > 0$, we have

$$\log B_k \leq \log \mu_k + \log G(1) \leq \frac{2 \log r_k}{\log |\zeta_{m_{k-1}}|} \log \frac{2N_\zeta(r_k)}{\log r_k} + \log r_k + \log G(1). \quad (28)$$

Next, we put

$$v_k = \max \left\{ \left(\frac{r_k}{|\zeta_n|} \right)^{p_{n+1}} : l_k \leq n \leq n_k \right\}.$$

Since, for every integer $n \in [l_k, n_k]$, the equality $p_n = q_n$ and the inequality $|\zeta_n| \geq \sqrt{r_k}$ hold, we obtain

$$\log v_k \leq \left(\frac{2 \log n_\zeta(r_k)}{\log |\zeta_{l_k}|} + 1 \right) \log \sqrt{r_k} \leq 2 \log n_\zeta(r_k) + \frac{1}{2} \log r_k.$$

Noting that $C_k \leq \nu_k n_k = \nu_k n_\zeta(r_k)$, we have

$$\log C_k \leq \log \nu_k + \log n_\zeta(r_k) \leq 3 \log n_\zeta(r_k) + \log r_k. \quad (29)$$

Since $\log M_f(r_k) \leq G(r_k) = A_k + B_k + C_k + D_k$, from (26), (27), (28), (29), and (21) we see that

$$\log \log M(r_k) = o\left(\log r_k \log\left(N_\zeta(r_k)/\log r_k\right)\right)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This implies (14), because (r_k) is a sequence of points in the set E . Theorem 3 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. First of all, we note that if a , b , and c are positive numbers such that $a < b \leq c/e$, then the inequality $a \log(c/a) < b \log(c/b)$ holds. In fact, considering the function $y = x \log(c/x)$ for a fixed $c > 0$, we see that this function is increasing on $(0, c/e]$. This implies the required inequality.

Now let $\Phi \in \Omega$, $\alpha \in L$ be a function satisfying (10), $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ be a sequence such that $N_\zeta(r) \geq \Phi(\log r)$ for all $r \geq r_0$, and let $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ be a set for which (8) holds. Clearly we can assume that $r_0 > 1$ and $\varphi(\log r_0) \geq e$.

Since $N_\zeta(r)/\log r \geq \varphi(\log r)$ for all $r \geq r_0$, (10) implies (13). According to Theorem 3, there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ such that (14) holds.

Suppose that $r \geq r_0$ is a fixed number, and set $s = \exp(\Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r)))$. Then

$$\log r \leq \Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r)) = \log s \leq \log s \frac{\varphi(\log s)}{e} \leq \frac{N_\zeta(r)}{e}.$$

Recalling the remark formulated at the beginning of the proof, we get

$$\log r \log \frac{N_\zeta(r)}{\log r} \leq \log s \log \frac{N_\zeta(r)}{\log s} = \log s \log \varphi(\log s) = \Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r)) \log \varphi(\Phi^{-1}(N_\zeta(r))).$$

Therefore, (11) follows from (14). Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 4. Let $\Phi \in \Omega$, and let $\psi \in L$ be an arbitrary function satisfying (7). We prove that there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $N_\zeta(r) \geq \Phi(\log r)$ for all sufficiently large r and sequences (s_k) and (r_k) satisfying (3) such that $N_\zeta(r_k) = \Phi(\log r_k)$ for all integers $k \geq 1$ and for any function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ we have (12).

We may suppose without loss of generality that there exists a number $\sigma_0 > 1$ such that $\Phi(\sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma \leq \sigma_0$. Note also that for a fixed number $a \in \mathbb{R}$ the function

$$h(\sigma) = \frac{\Phi(\sigma) - \Phi(a)}{\sigma - a}$$

is continuous, increasing to $+\infty$ on the interval $(a, +\infty)$. Furthermore, according to (7), there exists a function $\gamma \in L$ such that the set S of all positive integers n satisfying the inequality

$$\gamma(\log n)\psi(\log n) \leq \log n \quad (30)$$

is infinite. From what has been said it follows that there exists a positive, increasing to $+\infty$ sequence (r_k) with $r_1 = \exp(\sigma_0)$ such that it together with the sequence

$$n_k = \frac{\Phi(\log r_{k+1}) - \Phi(\log r_k)}{\log r_{k+1} - \log r_k}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

have the following properties:

- (i) $n_k \in S$ for all integers $k \geq 1$, and $n_k = o(n_{k+1})$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$;
- (ii) $\log^3 r_k < \log r_{k+1}$ for all integers $k \geq 1$;
- (iii) $\log^2 r_k = o(\gamma(\log n_k))$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Put $s_1 = e$ and let $s_{k+1} = \exp(\log r_{k+1} / \log r_k)$ for an arbitrary integer $k \geq 1$. It is clear that the sequences (s_k) and (r_k) satisfy (3) according to (ii).

Let $m_1 = n_1$, and let $m_k = n_k - n_{k-1}$ for each integer $k \geq 2$. Note that $\sum_{j=1}^k m_j = n_k$ for any integer $k \geq 1$.

We form the required sequence $\zeta = (\zeta_n)$ as follows

$$\underbrace{r_1, \dots, r_1}_{m_1 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{r_2, \dots, r_2}_{m_2 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{r_k, \dots, r_k}_{m_k \text{ times}}, \dots,$$

that is, we set $\zeta_n = r_k$ for all integers $n \in (n_k - m_k, n_k]$ and $k \geq 1$. Then $n_\zeta(r) = 0$ if $r \in [0, r_1)$, and $n_\zeta(r) = n_k$ if $r \in [r_k, r_{k+1})$ for some integer $k \geq 1$.

Since $N_\zeta(r) = \Phi(\log r) = 0$ for all $r \in (0, r_1]$, and, for every integer $k \geq 1$,

$$N_\zeta(r_{k+1}) - N_\zeta(r_k) = \int_{r_k}^{r_{k+1}} \frac{n_k}{t} dt = n_k (\log r_{k+1} - \log r_k) = \Phi(\log r_{k+1}) - \Phi(\log r_k),$$

we see that $N_\zeta(r_k) = \Phi(\log r_k)$ for all integers $k \geq 1$. In addition, since $N_\zeta(r)$ is a linear function of $\log r$ and $\Phi(\log r)$ is a convex function of $\log r$ on each of the segments $[r_k, r_{k+1}]$, we have $N_\zeta(r) \geq \Phi(\log r)$ for all $r > 0$.

Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ is an arbitrary function. We prove that the function f satisfies (15).

For every $r > 0$, let $c_p(r)$ be the p th Fourier coefficient of the function $\log |f(re^{i\theta})|$. The function f has no zeros in the circle $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r_1\}$, and therefore for every integer $p \geq 1$ and all $r > 0$ we have (19), where the numbers a_p are Maclaurin coefficients of an analytic function in \mathbb{D} of the form (18). Since $r_1 > e$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $|a_p| \leq 2C$ for every integer $p \geq 1$. Therefore, according to (19),

$$|c_p(r)| \geq \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{|\zeta_n| < r} \left(\left(\frac{r}{\zeta_n} \right)^p - \left(\frac{\zeta_n}{r} \right)^p \right) - Cr^p. \quad (31)$$

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. We put $p_k = \left\lceil \frac{\log n_k}{2 \log r_k} \right\rceil$. Note that by (iii) and by (30) with $n = n_k$ we have $p_k \rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since by (i) we have $m_k \sim n_k$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$, we get

$$\log \left(\frac{m_k}{p_k} \left(\frac{s_{k+1}}{r_k} \right)^{p_k} \right) = p_k \log s_{k+1} + \log m_k - p_k \log r_k - \log p_k \geq p_k \log s_{k+1} + \frac{1}{3} \log n_k, \quad (32)$$

if $k \geq k_1$. Therefore, using (31) with $r = s_{k+1}$ and $p = p_k$, and taking into account that by (ii) we have $\log r_k = o(\log s_{k+1})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$|c_{p_k}(s_{k+1})| \geq \frac{m_k}{2p_k} \left(\left(\frac{s_{k+1}}{r_k} \right)^{p_k} - \left(\frac{r_k}{s_{k+1}} \right)^{p_k} \right) - Cs_{k+1}^{p_k} \geq \frac{m_k}{3p_k} \left(\frac{s_{k+1}}{r_k} \right)^{p_k} - Cs_{k+1}^{p_k} \geq \frac{m_k}{4p_k} \left(\frac{s_{k+1}}{r_k} \right)^{p_k},$$

if $k \geq k_2$. Therefore, according to Lemma 2 and (32),

$$\log T_f(s_{k+1}) \geq \log |c_{p_k}(s_{k+1})| - \log 2 \geq p_k \log s_{k+1}, \quad k \geq k_3.$$

Further, taking into account that for each integer $k \geq 1$ we have

$$N_\zeta(r_{k+1}) = \int_{r_1}^{r_{k+1}} \frac{n_\zeta(t)}{t} dt \leq \int_{r_1}^{r_{k+1}} \frac{n_k}{t} dt \leq n_k \log r_{k+1},$$

and using (30) with $n = n_k$, for all integers $k \geq k_4$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\log T_f(s_{k+1})}{\log r_{k+1} \psi(\log(N_\zeta(r_{k+1})/\log r_{k+1}))} &\geq \frac{p_k \log s_{k+1}}{\log r_{k+1} \psi(\log n_k)} = \frac{p_k}{\log r_k} \frac{1}{\psi(\log n_k)} \\ &\geq \frac{\log n_k}{3 \log^2 r_k} \frac{\gamma(\log n_k)}{\log n_k} = \frac{\gamma(\log n_k)}{3 \log^2 r_k}. \end{aligned}$$

This and (iii) imply (15). Theorem 4 is proved. \square

4 Some open problems

In connection with Theorems E and G, the following two problems arise.

Problem 1. *Is it possible to find a function $\alpha \in L$ such that for every sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ there exist a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ for which (4) holds as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ outside an exceptional set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ satisfying (6)?*

Problem 2. *Is it possible to find a function $\alpha \in L$ such that for every sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ and an arbitrary set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ satisfying (8) there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$ for which (9) holds?*

In other words, does α in Theorem E (in Theorem G) necessarily depend on ζ ?

It is clear that if the answer to Problem 1 is positive, then the answer to Problem 2 is also positive. A negative answer to Problem 1 will mean that the estimate given in Theorem E for the size of the exceptional set E is best possible. In this regard, we note that questions about the sizes of exceptional sets in various asymptotic relations between characteristics of entire functions were investigated, for example, in [6, 9–12, 17–19].

The following problem arises in connection with Theorem 1.

Problem 3. *Let $\Phi \in \Omega$, and let $\alpha \in L$ be a function such that (10) is not satisfied. Is it true that there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that $N_\zeta(r) \geq \Phi(\ln r)$ for all sufficiently large r and a set $E \subset (1, +\infty)$ satisfying (8) such that (11) is false for every function $f \in \mathcal{E}_\zeta$?*

References

- [1] Andrusyak I.V., Filevych P.V. *The growth of an entire function with a given sequence of zeros*. Mat. Stud. 2008, **30** (2), 115–124.
- [2] Andrusyak I.V., Filevych P.V. *The minimal growth of entire function with given zeros*. Nauk. Visn. Chernivets'kogo Univ. Mat. 2008, **421**, 13–19. (in Ukrainian)
- [3] Andrusyak I.V., Filevych P.V. *The growth of entire function with zero sets having integer-valued exponent of convergence*. Mat. Stud. 2009, **32** (1), 12–20. (in Ukrainian)
- [4] Andrusyak I.V., Filevych P.V. *The minimal growth of entire functions with given zeros along unbounded sets*. Mat. Stud. 2020, **54** (2), 146–153. doi:10.30970/ms.54.2.146-153

- [5] Andrusyak I.V., Filevych P.V., Oryshchyn O.H. *Minimal growth of entire functions with prescribed zeros outside exceptional sets*. Mat. Stud. 2022, **58** (1), 51–57. doi:10.30970/ms.58.1.51-57
- [6] Bergweiler W. *On meromorphic functions that share three values and on the exceptional set in Wiman-Valiron theory*. Kodai Math. J. 1990, **13** (1), 1–9. doi:10.2996/kmj/1138039154
- [7] Bergweiler W. *Canonical products of infinite order*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 1992, **430**, 85–107. doi:10.1515/crll.1992.430.85
- [8] Bergweiler W. *A question of Gol'dberg concerning entire functions with prescribed zeros*. J. Anal. Math. 1994, **63** (1), 121–129. doi:10.1007/BF03008421
- [9] Filevych P.V. *On the London theorem concerning the Borel relation for entire functions*. Ukrainian Math. J. 1998, **50** (11), 1801–1804. doi:10.1007/BF02524490
- [10] Filevych P.V. *On an estimate of the size of the exceptional set in the lemma on the logarithmic derivative*. Math. Notes 2000, **67** (4), 512–515. doi:10.1007/BF02676408
- [11] Filevych P.V. *An exact estimate for the measure of the exceptional set in the Borel relation for entire functions*. Ukrainian Math. J. 2001, **53** (2), 328–332. doi:10.1023/A:1010489609188
- [12] Filevych P.V. *Asymptotic relations between maximums of absolute values and maximums of real parts of entire functions*. Math. Notes 2004, **75** (3-4), 410–417. doi:10.1023/B:MATN.0000023320.27440.57
- [13] Gol'dberg A.A. *The representation of a meromorphic function in the form of a quotient of entire functions*. Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat., 1972, (10), 13–17. (in Russian)
- [14] Gol'dberg A.A., Ostrovskii I.V. *Value Distribution of Meromorphic Functions*. In: Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 236. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.
- [15] Miles J. *On the growth of entire functions with zero sets having infinite exponent of convergence*. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 2002, **27**, 69–90.
- [16] Sheremeta M.M. *A remark to the construction of canonical products of minimal growth*. Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom., 2004, **11** (2), 243–248.
- [17] Skaskiv O.B., Filevych P.V. *On the size of an exceptional set in the Wiman theorem*. Mat. Stud. 1999, **12** (1), 31–36.
- [18] Skaskiv O.B., Salo T.M. *Entire Dirichlet series of rapid growth and new estimates for the measure of exceptional sets in theorems of the Wiman-Valiron type*. Ukrainian Math. J., 2001, **53** (6), 978–991. doi:10.1023/A:1013308103502
- [19] Skaskiv O.B., Salo T.M. *Minimum modulus of lacunary power series and h -measure of exceptional sets*. Ufa Math. J. 2017, **9** (4), 135–144. doi:10.13108/2017-9-4-135

Received 17.06.2023

Андрусяк І.В., Філевич П.В. *Відносне зростання цілої функції та інтегральної лічильної функції її нулів* // Карпатські матем. публ. — 2024. — Т.16, №1. — С. 5–15.

Нехай (ζ_n) — комплексна послідовність така, що $0 < |\zeta_1| \leq |\zeta_2| \leq \dots$ і $\zeta_n \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$, $N(r)$ — усереднена лічильна функція цієї послідовності, а α — додатна, неперервна, зростаюча до $+\infty$ на \mathbb{R} функція, для якої $\alpha(r) = o(\ln(N(r)/\ln r))$, $r \rightarrow +\infty$. Доведено, що для кожної множини $E \subset (1, +\infty)$, яка задовольняє оцінку $\int_E r^{\alpha(r)} dr = +\infty$, існує ціла функція f з нулями в точках ζ_n і лише в них (з урахуванням кратності), для якої правильне співвідношення

$$\lim_{r \in E, r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M(r)}{\ln r \ln(N(r)/\ln r)} = 0,$$

де $M(r)$ — максимум модуля функції f . Показано також, що наведене співвідношення є в певному сенсі остаточною.

Ключові слова і фрази: ціла функція, максимум модуля, характеристика Неванліни, нуль, лічильна функція, усереднена лічильна функція.