



A characterization of F-spaces containing an isomorph of ℓ_0

Fotiy O.¹, Popov M.², Ukrainets O.¹

We prove that an F-space X contains an isomorph of ℓ_0 if and only if there exists a continuous at zero function $T: L_0 \rightarrow X$ with $T0 = 0$ possessing the following two properties.

(1) $(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall x \in L_0)(\forall a > 0)(\exists b > 0)(\|aTx\| \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \|T(bx)\| \geq \delta)$, which is a weak version of the positive homogeneity.

(2) For every $x, y, z \in L_0$ with $Tx \neq 0$, if x is a disjoint sum of y and z , then either $Ty \neq 0$ or $Tz \neq 0$.

We also provide examples showing that all assumptions on T are essential.

Key words and phrases: F-space, vector lattice, F-lattice, orthogonally additive operator.

¹ Yuriy Fedkovych Chernivtsi National University, 2 Kotsyubynskyi str., 58012, Chernivtsi, Ukraine

² Institute of Exact and Technical Sciences, Pomeranian University in Słupsk, 22d Arciszewskiego str., 76-200, Słupsk, Poland

E-mail: o.fotij@chnu.edu.ua (Fotiy O.), misham.popov@gmail.com (Popov M.),

o.ukrainets@chnu.edu.ua (Ukrainets O.)

Introduction and preliminaries

The F-spaces L_0 and ℓ_0 , which have “maximal” linear structure as a function space and, respectively, a sequence space, possess some extremal properties. For instance, L_0 is prime, that is, every complemented subspace of L_0 is isomorphic to L_0 [3, Theorem 6.2]. Another exotic property is that L_0 has a rigid subspace X , that is, an infinite dimensional subspace such that every continuous linear operator $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a multiple of the identity I_X of X (see [5, Theorem 3.6]). One more result asserts that every L_0 -valued vector measure is bounded (see [4, Theorem 1]).

There are nice characterizations of F-spaces containing a subspace isomorphic to one of these extremal spaces:

- 1) an F-space X contains a subspace isomorphic to L_0 if and only if there exists a nonzero continuous linear operator $T: L_0 \rightarrow X$ (a result by N.J. Kalton [3, Theorem 5.4]);
- 2) an F-space X contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_0 if and only if X contains arbitrary short lines (see below for definitions) (a result by C. Bessaga, A. Pełczyński and S. Rolewicz [2], [9, Proposition 4.2.7]).

Since ℓ_0 is isomorphic to a subspace of L_0 (for instance, any subspace of L_0 spanned by a disjoint sequence is isomorphic to ℓ_0), a characterization of spaces containing an isomorph of ℓ_0 in terms of mappings from L_0 to X must involve a wide class of mappings than continuous linear ones. Below we describe such a class of mappings in our main result.

YΔK 517984

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 46A16; Secondary 46E30, 47H30.

In this note, we consider real vector spaces only. By an F-space we mean a complete metric linear space X with an invariant metric ρ [9]. In particular, every Banach space and more general, every p -Banach space with $0 < p \leq 1$ is an F-space. By an F-norm on X we mean the function $\|x\| = \rho(x, 0)$. Typical examples of F-spaces, which are not isomorphic to every p -Banach space with $0 < p \leq 1$, are L_0 and ℓ_0 . L_0 is the F-space of all equivalence classes of measurable functions $x: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the F-norm

$$\|x\| = \int_{[0,1]} \frac{|x|}{1+|x|} d\mu,$$

and ℓ_0 is the F-space of all sequences $x = (\xi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ with the F-norm

$$\|x\| = \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} \frac{|\xi_n|}{1+|\xi_n|}.$$

An F-space X is said to contain *arbitrary short lines* provided for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\|tx\| < \varepsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. For instance, the F-spaces ℓ_0 and L_0 contain arbitrary short lines. It is well known and easily seen that the convergence of sequences in L_0 is equivalent to their convergence in measure.

An F-space, which is a vector lattice with respect to some partial order \leq such that for every $x, y \in X$ the inequality $x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq \|y\|$, is called an F-lattice. Both F-spaces L_0 and ℓ_0 are F-lattices with respect to the natural orders: $x \leq y$ if and only if $x(t) \leq y(t)$ for almost all $t \in [0, 1]$ for L_0 , and $x \leq y$ if and only if $\xi_n \leq \eta_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $x = (\xi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ and $y = (\eta_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ for ℓ_0 .

For standard definitions and notation concerning vector lattices (= Riesz spaces) we refer the reader to [1]. Now we provide some much less known terminology and notation. The equality $x = y \sqcup z$ for elements of a vector lattice means that $x = y + z$ and $y \perp z$.

Let E be a vector lattice and X a vector space. A mapping $T: E \rightarrow X$ is called an orthogonally additive operator, if for every $x, y \in E$ with $x \perp y$ one has $T(x + y) = Tx + Ty$.

Every vector lattice (X, \leq) is endowed with another non-strict partial order, named the lateral order: $x \sqsubseteq y$ provided $x \perp (y - x)$ (in this case x is called a fragment of y). Obviously, if $e = x + y$ then the following three conditions are equivalent: $x \sqsubseteq e$, $y \sqsubseteq e$ and $x \perp y$. Hence, if $e = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^m x_k$, then $(x_k)_{k=1}^m$ are pairwise disjoint fragments of e . Observe that every subset of E is laterally bounded from below by zero. The infimum with respect to the lateral order of a two-point subset $\{x, y\}$ of E (if exists) is denoted by $x \cap y$ (equivalently, $x \cap y$ is the maximal common fragment of x and y). If E has the principal projection property then every two-point subset of E has a lateral infimum, and moreover, for every fixed $e \in E$ the mapping $E \ni x \mapsto x \cap e$ is an orthogonally additive operator. One can see for an explicit formula describing $x \cap y$ in terms of lattice operations in [7, Theorem 3.2]. We refer the reader to [6] and references therein for more information on the lateral order.

1 Main result

By an operator we mean any function $T: X \rightarrow Y$ between vector spaces X and Y such that $T0 = 0$.

Definition 1. Let X, Y be F-spaces. A nonzero operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *homogeneously non-vanishing* provided for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$(\forall x \in X)(\forall a > 0)(\exists b > 0) (\|aTx\| \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \|T(bx)\| \geq \delta).$$

To see that the homogeneously non-vanishing assumption on an operator is not too much restrictive, observe that every positively p -homogeneous operator with $p > 0$ (that is, $T(\lambda x) = \lambda^p Tx$ for all $x \in X$ and $\lambda > 0$) is homogeneously non-vanishing. Example 3 below shows that a homogeneously non-vanishing operator need not be positively p -homogeneous for any $p > 0$.

Definition 2. Let X be a linear space. A nonzero operator $f: L_0 \rightarrow X$ is said to be *horizontally non-vanishing* provided for every $x \in L_0$ with $Tx \neq 0$ and every decomposition $x = y \sqcup z$ one has either $Ty \neq 0$ or $Tz \neq 0$.

Obviously, every nonzero orthogonally additive operator is horizontally non-vanishing.

Theorem 1. For an F -space X the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i) there exists a nonzero continuous at zero operator $T: L_0 \rightarrow X$, which is both homogeneously non-vanishing and horizontally non-vanishing;
- (ii) X contains an isomorph of ℓ_0 .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). We show that X contains arbitrary short lines. Let $e \in L_0$ be such that $Te \neq 0$. Assume, on the contrary, that X does not contain arbitrary short lines. Choose $\varepsilon > 0$ so that for every $x \in X \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $t(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|t(x)x\| \geq \varepsilon$. Then, using that T is homogeneously non-vanishing, choose $\delta > 0$ so that

$$(\forall x \in L_0)(\forall a > 0)(\exists b > 0) (\|aTx\| \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \|T(bx)\| \geq \delta). \quad (1)$$

Using that T is horizontally non-vanishing, define recursively a sequence $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of fragments $e_{n+1} \sqsubseteq e_n \sqsubseteq e$ of e with the following properties for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

- 1) $\mu(\text{supp } e_n) = 2^{1-n} \mu(\text{supp } e)$;
- 2) $Te_n \neq 0$.

Indeed, set $e_1 = e$. Suppose e_n have been constructed for $n = 1, \dots, k$ to satisfy 1) and 2). Using the atomlessness of μ and standard arguments, decompose the Σ -measurable set $A := \text{supp } e_k$ into a disjoint union of Σ -measurable subsets $A = B \sqcup C$ of measure $\mu(B) = \mu(C) = \mu(A)/2$ and set $y := e_k \cdot \mathbf{1}_B$ and $z := e_k \cdot \mathbf{1}_C$. Then $y, z \in L_0$ and $e_k = y \sqcup z$. Since T is horizontally non-vanishing, by 2) for $n = k$ either $Ty \neq 0$ or $Tz \neq 0$. So we set e_{k+1} to be either y or z respectively. So the desired sequence is constructed.

Now fix any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By the choice of ε and 2), we have $\|t(Te_n)Te_n\| \geq \varepsilon$. Then choose by (1) for $a = t(Te_n)$ a number $b_n > 0$ so that $\|T(b_n e_n)\| \geq \delta$. Observe that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|b_n e_n\|_{L_0} = 0$. By the continuity of T at zero, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T(b_n e_n)\| = 0$, which contradicts the choice of b_n 's.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). For simplicity of the notation, we assume that $X = \ell_0$. Given any $x \in L_0$, by $x^* \in L_0$ we denote the nonincreasing rearrangement of $|x|$ given by

$$x^*(t) := \inf \{u \geq 0 : \mu\{s \in [0, 1] : |x(s)| > u\} < t\}, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

It is well known that the functions $|x|$ and x^* are equimeasurable (= have the same distribution). Although x^* is an element of L_0 , the values $x^*(t)$ are well defined at all points $t \in [0, 1]$.

Now we define an operator $T: L_0 \rightarrow \ell_0$ by setting

$$Tx = (x^*(2^{1-n}))_{n=1}^\infty. \quad (2)$$

Obviously, $T0 = 0$, that is, T is an operator. To show that T is homogeneously non-vanishing, we prove that, moreover, T is positively homogeneous.

Claim 1. *Let $x \in L_0$ and $a > 0$. Then $T(ax) = aTx$.*

Proof. Observe that $(ax)^* = ax^*$. Then

$$T(ax) = ((ax)^*(2^{1-n}))_{n=1}^\infty = (ax^*(2^{1-n}))_{n=1}^\infty = aTx.$$

□

As a consequence of Claim 1, T is homogeneously non-vanishing.

The continuity of T at zero is a direct consequence of the next claim.

Claim 2. *For every $x \in L_0$ one has $\|Tx\| \leq \|x\|$.*

Proof. Define a countable-valued simple function $x^{**}: (0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting $x^{**}(t) = x^*(2^{1-n})$ for all $t \in (2^{-n}, 2^{1-n}]$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $x^* \geq x^{**}$. Hence, taking into account that the function $g(t) = \frac{t}{1+t}$ is increasing on $[0, +\infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\| = \|x^*\| &= \int_{[0,1]} \frac{x^*}{1+x^*} d\mu \geq \int_{[0,1]} \frac{x^{**}}{1+x^{**}} d\mu \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{(2^{-n}, 2^{1-n}]} \frac{x^{**}}{1+x^{**}} d\mu = \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} \frac{x^*(2^{1-n})}{1+x^*(2^{1-n})} = \|Tx\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

T is horizontally non-vanishing, because $Tx = 0$ easily implies $x = 0$. So, Theorem 1 is proved. □

2 Essentiality of the assumptions on operators in the main result

In this section, we provide examples showing that all assumptions on an operator in Theorem 1 are essential. We take the range space $X = \mathbb{R}$ in all examples for simplicity, which obviously contains no copy of ℓ_0 .

Example 1. *There exists a nonzero continuous at zero operator $T_1: L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is positively homogeneous (and hence, homogeneously non-vanishing).*

Proof. Define an operator $T_1: L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$T_1x = x^* \left(\frac{1}{2} \right), \quad x \in L_0,$$

where x^* is the nonincreasing rearrangement of $|x|$. The positive homogeneity and continuity of T_1 at zero can be proved using definitions. □

Although the fact that T_1 is not horizontally non-vanishing follows from Theorem 1, we show it directly as follows.

Set $x := \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{2}]}$, $y := \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{4}]}$ and $z := \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]}$. Then

$$x = y \sqcup z, \quad T_1 x = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad T_1 y = T_1 z = 0.$$

Example 2. *There exists a nonzero continuous at zero operator $T_2: L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is horizontally non-vanishing.*

We provide two completely different examples. The first operator $T_{2,1}$ is somewhat more involved, however it is easy to see that $T_{2,1}$ fails to be homogeneously non-vanishing. The second operator $T_{2,2}$ is an easy adjustment of the above construction from the proof of Theorem 1, however it is difficult to show directly that $T_{2,2}$ is not homogeneously non-vanishing.

Proof. First example. Put $\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}$ and define an operator $T_{2,1}: L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$T_{2,1}x = \int_{[0,1]} (x \cap \mathbf{1}) d\mu = \mu(\text{supp}(x \cap \mathbf{1})), \quad x \in L_0.$$

Prove that $T_{2,1}$ is continuous at zero. Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be any tending to zero sequence in L_0 . Hence, $x_n \rightarrow 0$ in measure. Given any $\varepsilon > 0$, we choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for every $n \geq n_0$ one has

$$\mu(A_n) < \varepsilon, \quad \text{where } A_n = \left\{ t \in [0, 1] : |x_n(t)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Fix any $n \geq n_0$. Since $\text{supp}(x_n \cap \mathbf{1}) \subseteq A_n$, we obtain

$$0 \leq T_{2,1}(x_n) = \mu(\text{supp}(x_n \cap \mathbf{1})) \leq \mu(A_n) < \varepsilon.$$

So $T_{2,1}$ is continuous at zero.

Show that $T_{2,1}$ is an orthogonally additive operator and hence, is horizontally non-vanishing. Let $x, y, z \in L_0$ with $x = y \sqcup z$ and $T_{2,1}x \neq 0$. By [8, Theorem 1.6], we get

$$x \cap \mathbf{1} = (y \cap \mathbf{1}) + (z \cap \mathbf{1})$$

and hence $T_{2,1}x = T_{2,1}y + T_{2,1}z$. So, $T_{2,1}$ is an orthogonally additive operator.

Second example. Let $T: L_0 \rightarrow \ell_0$ be the operator defined by (2). Then define an operator $T_{2,2}: L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$T_{2,2}x = \|Tx\|, \quad x \in L_0.$$

By Claim 2, $T_{2,2}$ is continuous at zero. Since T is horizontally non-vanishing, so is $T_{2,2}$. \square

By Theorem 1, both operators $T_{2,1}$ and $T_{2,2}$ are not homogeneously non-vanishing. The following simple arguments demonstrate that $T_{2,2}$ is not homogeneously non-vanishing.

Assume on the contrary that $T_{2,2}$ is homogeneously non-vanishing. Choose $\delta > 0$ to satisfy the condition for $\varepsilon = 1$. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ take $x_n = \mathbf{1}_{[0, \frac{1}{n}]}$ and $a_n = n$, and choose $b_n > 0$ so that $\|T_{2,2}(b_n x_n)\| \geq \delta$. However $\|a_n T_{2,2} x_n\| = 1 = \varepsilon$ and $\|T_{2,2}(b_n x_n)\| = \frac{1}{n}$, a contradiction.

Example 3. *There exists a nonzero operator $T_3: L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, which is homogeneously non-vanishing and horizontally non-vanishing.*

Proof. Let $T: L_0 \rightarrow \ell_0$ be the operator defined by (2). Then define an operator $T_3: L_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$T_3x = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in L_0 \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

All the claimed properties of T_3 are obvious. \square

References

- [1] Aliprantis C.D., Burkinshaw O. Positive operators. Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [2] Bessaga C., Pełczyński A., Rolewicz S. *Some properties of the norm in F-spaces*. Stud. Math. 1957, **16** (2), 183–192. doi:10.4064/sm-16-2-183-192
- [3] Kalton N.J. *The endomorphisms of L_p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$)*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 1978, **27** (3), 353–381. doi:10.1512/iumj.1978.27.27027
- [4] Kalton N.J., Peck N.T., Roberts J.W. *L_0 -valued vector measures are bounded*. Proc. Amer. Math. J. 1982 **85** (4), 575–582. doi:10.2307/2044069
- [5] Kalton N.J., Roberts J.W. *A rigid subspace of L_0* . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 1981, **266** (2), 645–654. doi:10.2307/1998446
- [6] Mykhaylyuk V., Pliev M., Popov M. *The lateral order on Riesz spaces and orthogonally additive operators. II*. Positivity 2024, **28**, article number 8. doi:10.1007/s11117-023-01025-0
- [7] Mykhaylyuk V., Popov M. *ε -Shading Operator on Riesz Spaces and Order Continuity of Orthogonally Additive Operators*. Results in Math. 2022, **77**, article number 209. doi:10.1007/s00025-022-01742-0
- [8] Popov M. *Banach lattices of orthogonally additive operators*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2022, **514** (1), paper no. 126279. doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2022.126279
- [9] Rolewicz S. Metric linear spaces. PWN, Warszawa, 1985.

Received 10.10.2024

Фотій О., Попов М., Українець О. *Характеризація F-просторів, що містять ізоморфну копію ℓ_0* // Карпатські матем. публ. — 2025. — Т.17, №1. — С. 146–151.

Доведено, що F-простір X містить ізоморфну копію ℓ_0 тоді і лише тоді, коли існує неперервна в нулі функція $T: L_0 \rightarrow X$ з умовою $T0 = 0$, яка володіє такими двома властивостями.

(1) $(\forall \varepsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0)(\forall x \in L_0)(\forall a > 0)(\exists b > 0)(\|aTx\| \geq \varepsilon \Rightarrow \|T(bx)\| \geq \delta)$, що є слабкою версією додатної однорідності.

(2) Для довільних $x, y, z \in L_0$ з умовою $Tx \neq 0$ з того, що x є диз'юнктною сумою y та z , випливає, що або $Ty \neq 0$, або $Tz \neq 0$.

Також наведено приклади, які показують, що всі умови на функцію T є істотними.

Ключові слова і фрази: F-простір, векторна ґратка, F-ґратка, ортогонально адитивний оператор.