



On the stability to perturbations of Stieltjes continued fractions with complex elements

Hladun V.R.^{1,✉}, Dmytryshyn M.V.²

This paper investigates the conditions for stability to perturbations of Stieltjes continued fractions with complex elements. An analytical approach is proposed that allows for the evaluation of the influence of perturbations of the fraction's coefficients and its complex variable on the value of its approximant. Recurrence relations for the relative errors of the approximants are established and presented in the form of a continued fraction. Sufficient conditions for stability to perturbations are obtained, as well as explicit estimates for the relative errors of the approximants. Sets of stability to perturbations are constructed, in particular, semi-circular and circular sets in the complex plane.

Key words and phrases: Stieltjes continued fraction, stability to perturbations, set of stability to perturbations, relative error, approximant of continued fraction.

¹ Lviv Polytechnic National University, 12 Bandera str., 79013, Lviv, Ukraine

² West Ukrainian National University, 11 Lvivska str., 46009, Ternopil, Ukraine

✉ Corresponding author

E-mail: volodymyr.r.hladun@lpnu.ua (Hladun V.R.), m.dmytryshyn@wunu.edu.ua (Dmytryshyn M.V.)

Introduction

Continued fractions [3, 12, 39, 41] and their multidimensional generalizations, particularly branched continued fractions [7, 27, 28], are an important tool for approximating functions in various fields of science, including the theory of special functions [1, 2, 16, 18, 22], economics [38], quantum mechanics [10, 44], computational mathematics [17, 31, 32], and computer science [5, 6, 11, 43, 45], and education [14]. One of the key advantages of continued fractions and their generalizations are their approximative properties, convergence, and speed of convergence, which in many cases make them more effective than classical approximation by power series (see, for example, [4, 20, 21] and also [24, 26, 29, 36]).

However, their effectiveness also depends on the stability of the algorithms used to find the values of the approximants. Even minor rounding errors that occur during implementation on a computer can lead to a significant accumulation of errors and, consequently, a loss of accuracy in the results [27, 31]. The backward recurrence algorithm deserves special attention in this context, as it often demonstrates stability in contrast to the forward recurrence algorithm [9, 13, 42]. The stability of algorithms for computing continued fractions has been the subject of research by W. Jones, W.J. Thron, W. Gautschi, and others [30, 40]. The stability of expansions of some hypergeometric functions has been considered in works [19, 34, 35].

At the same time, the study of the stability to perturbations of continued fractions and their multidimensional generalizations remains a relevant and less-studied area. The works

investigating this issue focus on numerical continued fractions [15, 33, 37]. However, the case of functional continued fractions with complex elements requires the development of new approaches to the investigation, particularly for Stieltjes continued fractions (S-fractions) and their generalizations [8, 23, 25, 27].

The purpose of this work is to establish the conditions for stability to perturbations of S-fractions and to construct sets of stability to perturbations, within which the boundedness of the approximant errors is guaranteed, and to establish explicit estimates for the approximant errors. For this, the analytical theory of continued fractions is used, in particular, the method of element sets and their corresponding value sets of the approximant tails.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the main concepts, definitions, and formulas for the relative errors of the S-fraction approximants. In Section 3, the main theoretical result is proven – sufficient conditions for the stability of S-fractions are obtained, and estimates for the relative errors of the approximants are established. In Section 4, sets of stability to perturbations are constructed. Finally, Section 5 formulates the conclusions and outlines prospects for further research.

1 Basis notations and definitions

Let us consider the continued fraction

$$\frac{a_0}{1 + \frac{\frac{a_1 z}{1 + \frac{a_2 z}{1 + \dots}}}}, \quad (1)$$

which is called an S-fraction, where $a_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $k \geq 0$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The n th approximant $f_n(z)$ of (1) is denoted as

$$f_n(z) = \frac{a_0}{1 + \frac{\frac{a_1 z}{1 + \frac{a_2 z}{1 + \dots + \frac{a_n z}{1}}}}}$$

The so-called tails of the n th approximant $f_n(z)$ are defined as follows

$$Q_k^{(n)}(z) = 1 + \frac{\frac{a_{k+1} z}{1 + \frac{a_{k+2} z}{1 + \dots + \frac{a_n z}{1}}}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

For the tails $Q_k^{(n)}(z)$, the following recurrence relations hold

$$Q_k^{(n)}(z) = 1 + \frac{a_{k+1} z}{Q_{k+1}^{(n)}(z)}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1,$$

with initial condition $Q_n^{(n)}(z) = 1$.

Let \hat{a}_k , \hat{z} be the perturbed values of the coefficients a_k and the variable z of the S-fraction (1), respectively. Then we obtain the perturbed S-fraction

$$\frac{\hat{a}_0}{1 + \frac{\frac{\hat{a}_1 \hat{z}}{1 + \frac{\hat{a}_2 \hat{z}}{1 + \dots}}}}, \quad (2)$$

to the S-fraction (1).

Definition 1. The S -fraction (1) is called *stable to perturbations at the point* $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $\hat{a}_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $k \geq 0$, such that $|\hat{a}_k - a_k|/|a_k| < \delta_\varepsilon$, and every $\hat{z}_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $|\hat{z}_0 - z_0|/|z_0| < \delta_\varepsilon$, the inequalities $|\hat{f}_n(\hat{z}_0) - f_n(z_0)|/|f_n(z_0)| < \varepsilon$ hold for all $n \geq 1$, where

$$f_n(z_0) = \frac{a_0}{1 + \frac{a_1 z_0}{1 + \frac{a_2 z_0}{\ddots + \frac{a_n z_0}{1}}}}, \quad \hat{f}_n(\hat{z}_0) = \frac{\hat{a}_0}{1 + \frac{\hat{a}_1 \hat{z}_0}{1 + \frac{\hat{a}_2 \hat{z}_0}{\ddots + \frac{\hat{a}_n \hat{z}_0}{1}}}.$$

Let $\varepsilon_k^{(a)}$, $k \geq 0$, $\varepsilon^{(z)}$, $\varepsilon_n^{(f)}$, $n \geq 0$, $\varepsilon_{k,n}^{(G)}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, be the relative errors of the coefficients a_k , the variable z , the approximant $f_n(z)$, and the quantities

$$G_0^{(n)}(z) = \frac{a_0}{Q_0^{(n)}(z)}, \quad G_k^{(n)}(z) = \frac{a_k z}{Q_k^{(n)}(z)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

respectively, i.e. the following relations hold

$$\hat{a}_k = a_k(1 + \varepsilon_k^{(a)}), \quad k \geq 0, \quad \hat{z} = z(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)}),$$

$$\hat{f}_n(\hat{z}) = f_n(z)(1 + \varepsilon_n^{(f)}), \quad n \geq 0, \quad \hat{G}_k^{(n)}(\hat{z}) = G_k^{(n)}(z)(1 + \varepsilon_{k,n}^{(G)}), \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

where

$$\hat{G}_0^{(n)}(\hat{z}) = \frac{\hat{a}_0}{\hat{Q}_0^{(n)}(\hat{z})}, \quad \hat{G}_k^{(n)}(\hat{z}) = \frac{\hat{a}_k \hat{z}}{\hat{Q}_k^{(n)}(\hat{z})}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n,$$

and

$$\hat{Q}_k^{(n)}(z) = 1 + \frac{\hat{a}_{k+1} \hat{z}}{1 + \frac{\hat{a}_{k+2} \hat{z}}{\ddots + \frac{\hat{a}_n \hat{z}}{1}}}$$

are the tails of the perturbed approximant $\hat{f}_n(\hat{z})$.

We will prove that the following recurrence formulas hold for the relative errors $\varepsilon_{k,n}^{(G)}$

$$\varepsilon_{0,n}^{(G)} = -1 + \frac{1 + \varepsilon_0^{(a)}}{1 + g_1^{(n)}(z)\varepsilon_{1,n}^{(G)}}, \quad (3)$$

$$\varepsilon_{k,n}^{(G)} = -1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_k^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1 + g_{k+1}^{(n)}(z)\varepsilon_{k+1,n}^{(G)}}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad (4)$$

$$\varepsilon_{n,n}^{(G)} = \varepsilon_n^{(a)} + \varepsilon^{(z)} + \varepsilon_n^{(a)}\varepsilon^{(z)}, \quad (5)$$

where the quantities $g_k^{(n)}$ are given by the formula

$$g_k^{(n)}(z) = \frac{G_k^{(n)}(z)}{Q_{k-1}^{(n)}(z)}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (6)$$

Since $Q_n^{(n)}(z) = \hat{Q}_n^{(n)}(\hat{z}) = 1$, then $G_n^{(n)}(z) = a_n z$, $\hat{G}_n^{(n)}(\hat{z}) = \hat{a}_n \hat{z}$ and $\varepsilon_{n,n}^{(G)} = \varepsilon_n^{(a)} + \varepsilon^{(z)} + \varepsilon_n^{(a)} \varepsilon^{(z)}$. For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{k,n}^{(G)} &= \frac{\hat{G}_k^{(n)}(\hat{z}) - G_k^{(n)}(z)}{G_k^{(n)}(z)} = -1 + \frac{\hat{a}_k \hat{z} Q_k^{(n)}(z)}{a_k z \hat{Q}_k^{(n)}(\hat{z})} = -1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_k^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)}) Q_k^{(n)}(z)}{1 + \hat{G}_{k+1}^{(n)}(\hat{z})} \\ &= -1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_k^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1/Q_k^{(n)}(z) + G_{k+1}^{(n)}(z)/Q_k^{(n)}(z)(1 + \varepsilon_{k+1,n}^{(G)})} \\ &= -1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_k^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1 - g_{k+1}^{(n)}(z) + g_{k+1}^{(n)}(z)(1 + \varepsilon_{k+1,n}^{(G)})} = -1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_k^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1 + g_{k+1}^{(n)}(z)\varepsilon_{k+1,n}^{(G)}}. \end{aligned}$$

For $k = 0$ we have

$$\varepsilon_{0,n}^{(G)} = \frac{\hat{G}_0^{(n)}(\hat{z}) - G_0^{(n)}(z)}{G_0^{(n)}(z)} = -1 + \frac{\hat{a}_0 Q_0^{(n)}(z)}{a_0 \hat{Q}_0^{(n)}(\hat{z})} = -1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_0^{(a)})}{1 + g_1^{(n)}(z)\varepsilon_{1,n}^{(G)}}.$$

From formulas (3)–(5), we obtain the formula for the relative error of the quantity $G_k^{(n)}(z)$ in the form of a continued fraction

$$\varepsilon_{k,n}^{(G)} = -1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_k^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1 - g_{k+1}^{(n)} + \frac{g_{k+1}^{(n)}(1 + \varepsilon_{k+1}^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1 - g_{k+2}^{(n)} + \frac{g_{k+2}^{(n)}(1 + \varepsilon_{k+2}^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1 - g_{k+3}^{(n)} + \dots + \frac{g_n^{(n)}(1 + \varepsilon_n^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1}}}}, \quad (7)$$

where $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Since $f_n(z) = G_0^{(n)}(z)$, $\hat{f}_n(\hat{z}) = \hat{G}_0^{(n)}(\hat{z})$, then

$$\varepsilon_n^{(f)} = \varepsilon_{0,n}^{(G)} = -1 + \frac{1 + \varepsilon_0^{(a)}}{1 + g_1^{(n)}(z)\varepsilon_{1,n}^{(G)}},$$

and, by setting $k = 1$ in formula (7), we obtain the formula for the relative error of the n th approximant of the S -fraction (1)

$$\varepsilon_n^{(f)} = -1 + \frac{1 + \varepsilon_0^{(a)}}{1 - g_1^{(n)} + \frac{g_1^{(n)}(1 + \varepsilon_1^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1 - g_2^{(n)} + \frac{g_2^{(n)}(1 + \varepsilon_2^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1 - g_3^{(n)} + \dots + \frac{g_n^{(n)}(1 + \varepsilon_n^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1}}}}}. \quad (8)$$

2 Sufficient conditions for stability to perturbations of S -fractions

In the study of stability to perturbations of the S -fraction, we will use the following statements.

Lemma 1 ([34]). Let p, q be positive real constants. The modified approximant

$$h_n(\omega) = q - \frac{p}{q - \frac{p}{q - \frac{p}{q - \dots - \frac{p}{q + \omega}}}} \Bigg\}_n \quad (9)$$

takes a positive value if

$$4p \leq q^2 \quad (10)$$

and one of the following conditions is met

$$\omega > -\frac{q - \sqrt{q^2 - 4p}}{2} \quad (11)$$

or $\omega < -q$.

Lemma 2 ([34]). The sequence of modified approximants $\{h'_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where

$$h'_n(\omega) = -\frac{p}{q - \frac{p}{q - \frac{p}{q - \dots - \frac{p}{q + \omega}}}} \Bigg\}_n \quad (12)$$

whose elements satisfy inequality (10), converges to one of the values:

$$\begin{aligned} & -(q - \sqrt{q^2 - 4p})/2, \text{ if } \omega \neq -(q + \sqrt{q^2 - 4p})/2 \text{ and } 4p < q^2, \\ & -(q + \sqrt{q^2 - 4p})/2, \text{ if } \omega = -(q + \sqrt{q^2 - 4p})/2 \text{ and } 4p < q^2, \\ & -q/2, \text{ if } 4p = q^2. \end{aligned}$$

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 1. The S -fraction (1) is stable to perturbations if there exist constants $\alpha, \beta, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \alpha + \beta \neq 0$, such that the relative errors of the coefficients a_k and the variable z of the S -fraction (1) satisfy the conditions

$$|\varepsilon_k^{(a)}| \leq \alpha, \quad k \geq 0, \quad |\varepsilon^{(z)}| \leq \beta, \quad (13)$$

there exists a positive constant $\eta, 0 < \eta < 1$, such that

$$|g_k^{(n)}| \leq \eta, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (14)$$

where the quantities $g_k^{(n)}$ are determined according to (6), and

$$4\eta\gamma \leq (1 + \eta)^2, \quad (15)$$

where $\gamma = (1 + \alpha)(1 + \beta)$. In this case, for the relative errors of the approximants of the S -fraction (1), the following estimate holds

$$|\varepsilon^{(n)}| \leq \frac{1 - \eta - 2\eta\beta - \sqrt{(1 + \eta)^2 - 4\eta\gamma}}{2\eta(1 + \beta)}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (16)$$

if $4\eta\gamma < (1 + \eta)^2$, and

$$|\varepsilon^{(n)}| \leq \frac{1 - (2\gamma - 1 - 2\sqrt{\gamma(\gamma - 1)})(1 + 2\beta)}{2(2\gamma - 1 - 2\sqrt{\gamma(\gamma - 1)})(1 + \beta)}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (17)$$

if $4\eta\gamma = (1 + \eta)^2$.

Proof. Let n be a fixed natural number. We will estimate the relative errors $\varepsilon_{k,n}^{(G)}$ of the quantities $G_k^{(n)}$.

From formula (5), the estimate $|\varepsilon_{n,n}^{(G)}| \leq \alpha + \beta + \alpha\beta$ follows. Using the method of mathematical induction on k , for $k = n-1, k-2, \dots, 1$, we will show that

$$|\varepsilon_{k,n}^{(G)}| \leq -1 + \frac{\gamma}{h_{n-k}(-\eta)}, \quad (18)$$

for $k = n-1, k-2, \dots, 1$, where the modified approximant $h_{n-k}(-\eta)$ is determined according to (9), and its elements p, q are given by the formulas

$$p = \eta\gamma, \quad q = 1 + \eta. \quad (19)$$

From inequality (15), it follows that $\eta\gamma < (1 + \eta)$. Then for $k = n-1$, considering (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_{n-1,n}^{(G)}| &= \left| -1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1 + g_n^{(n)} \varepsilon_{n,n}^{(G)}} \right| = \left| \frac{\varepsilon_{n-1}^{(a)} + \varepsilon^{(z)} + \varepsilon_{n-1}^{(a)} \varepsilon^{(z)} - g_n^{(n)} \varepsilon_{n,n}^{(G)}}{1 + g_n^{(n)} \varepsilon_{n,n}^{(G)}} \right| \\ &\leq -1 + \frac{(1 + \alpha)(1 + \beta)}{1 - \eta(\alpha + \beta + \alpha\beta)} \leq -1 + \frac{\gamma}{1 + \eta - \eta\gamma} = -1 + \frac{\gamma}{h_1(-\eta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming that the estimates (18) hold for some $k = m+1, 1 \leq m \leq n-2$,

$$|\varepsilon_{m+1,n}^{(G)}| \leq -1 + \frac{\gamma}{h_{n-m-1}(-\eta)},$$

we will prove them for $k = m$. From (15), it follows that the elements of the modified approximant $h_{n-m}(-\eta)$ satisfy conditions (1) and (10). Then, according to Lemma 1, $h_{n-m}(-\eta) > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_{m,n}^{(G)}| &= \left| -1 + \frac{(1 + \varepsilon_m^{(a)})(1 + \varepsilon^{(z)})}{1 + g_{m+1}^{(n)} \varepsilon_{m+1,n}^{(G)}} \right| = \left| \frac{\varepsilon_m^{(a)} + \varepsilon^{(z)} + \varepsilon_m^{(a)} \varepsilon^{(z)} - g_{m+1}^{(n)} \varepsilon_{m+1,n}^{(G)}}{1 + g_{m+1}^{(n)} \varepsilon_{m+1,n}^{(G)}} \right| \\ &\leq -1 + \frac{(1 + \alpha)(1 + \beta)}{1 - \eta(-1 + \gamma/h_{n-m-1}(-\eta))} = -1 + \frac{\gamma}{1 + \eta - \eta\gamma/h_{n-m-1}(-\eta)} \\ &= -1 + \frac{\gamma}{h_{n-m}(-\eta)}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the estimates (18).

From formula (3), the estimate follows

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_n^{(f)}| = |\varepsilon_{0,n}^{(G)}| &= -1 + \frac{1 + \varepsilon_k^{(a)}}{1 + g_1^{(n)}(z) \varepsilon_{1,n}^{(G)}} = \frac{\varepsilon_k^{(a)} - g_1^{(n)}(z) \varepsilon_{1,n}^{(G)}}{1 + g_1^{(n)}(z) \varepsilon_{1,n}^{(G)}} \\ &\leq -1 + \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 + \eta - \gamma/h_{n-1}(-\eta)} = -1 + \frac{1 + \alpha}{h_n(-\eta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the continued fraction

$$-1 + \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 + \eta - \frac{\eta\gamma}{1 + \eta - \frac{\eta\gamma}{1 + \eta - \dots}}} \quad (20)$$

and the sequence of its modified approximants $\{h_n''(-\eta)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, where

$$h_n''(-\eta) = -1 - \frac{h_n'(-\eta)}{\eta(1+\beta)},$$

and $h_n'(-\eta)$ are determined according to (12), with elements p, q given by (19).

For the difference between the modified approximants $h_{n+1}''(-\eta)$ and $h_n''(-\eta)$ of the continued fraction (20), the following formula holds

$$h_{n+1}''(-\eta) - h_n''(-\eta) = \frac{h_n'(-\eta) - h_{n+1}'(-\eta)}{\eta(1+\beta)} = \frac{1}{\eta(1+\beta)} \frac{\eta^{n+1}\gamma^n(\gamma-1)}{h_n(-\eta) \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} h_m^2(-\eta)}.$$

Since $\eta > 0$, $\gamma > 1$ and, according to Lemma 1, for all $n \geq 1$, $h_n(-\eta) > 0$, then $\{h_n''(-\eta)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an increasing sequence and

$$|\varepsilon_n^{(f)}| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_n''(-\eta).$$

Assume that $4\eta\gamma < (1+\eta)^2$. Since $-\eta \neq -(1+\eta + \sqrt{(1+\eta)^2 - 4\eta\gamma})/2$, then according to Lemma 2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_n''(-\eta) &= -1 - \frac{1}{\eta(1+\beta)} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_n'(-\eta) \\ &= -1 + \frac{1+\eta - \sqrt{(1+\eta)^2 - 4\eta\gamma}}{2\eta(1+\beta)} = \frac{1-\eta-2\eta\beta - \sqrt{(1+\eta)^2 - 4\eta\gamma}}{2\eta(1+\beta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for the relative errors of the approximants of the S -fraction (1), the estimate (16) holds.

Let us consider the function

$$\varphi(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{1-\eta-2\eta\beta - \sqrt{(1+\eta)^2 - 4\eta(1+\alpha)(1+\beta)}}{2\eta(1+\beta)},$$

continuous at the point $(0,0)$. Since

$$\lim_{(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (0,0)} \varphi(\alpha, \beta) = 0,$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for all $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ and $\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} < \delta$, the inequality $\varphi(\alpha, \beta) < \varepsilon$ holds. If $\alpha < \delta/\sqrt{2}$, $\beta < \delta/\sqrt{2}$, then for every $\hat{a}_k \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $k \geq 0$, and every $\hat{z} \in \mathbb{C}$, such that $|(\hat{a}_k - a_k)/a_k| \leq \alpha < \delta/\sqrt{2}$, $|(\hat{z} - z)/z| \leq \beta < \delta/\sqrt{2}$, the inequalities $|\varepsilon_n^{(f)}| \leq \varphi(\alpha, \beta) < \varepsilon$ hold for the relative errors of all approximants of the S -fraction (1). Thus, according to Definition 1, the S -fraction (1) is stable to perturbations, and the estimate (16) holds for its relative errors.

Assume that $4\eta\gamma = (1+\eta)^2$. Then according to Lemma 2, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} h_n''(-\eta) = \frac{1-\eta-2\eta\beta}{2\eta(1+\beta)},$$

where $\eta = 2\gamma - 1 - 2\sqrt{\gamma(\gamma-1)}$. Thus, for the relative errors of the approximants of the S -fraction (1), the estimate (17) holds, from which, as in the previous case, its stability to perturbations follows. \square

3 Sets of stability to perturbations of S-fractions

Let us consider the continued fraction

$$\frac{c_0}{d_0 + \frac{c_1}{d_1 + \frac{c_2}{d_2 + \dots}}} \quad (21)$$

Let $\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$, $\emptyset \neq P_k \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, $k \geq 0$, be a sequence of element sets of (21), i.e. $(d_k, c_{k+1}) \in P_k$, $k \geq 0$.

Definition 2. A sequence $\{W_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$, $\emptyset \neq W_k \subset \mathbb{C}$, $k \geq 0$, is called a sequence of value sets for the tails $Q_k^{(n)}$ of the approximants of the continued fraction (21), corresponding to the sequence of element sets $\{P_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$, if

$$d_k \in W_k \quad (22)$$

for every $(d_k, c_{k+1}) \in P_k$, $k \geq 0$, and

$$d_k + \frac{c_{k+1}}{w} \in W_k \quad (23)$$

for every $w \in W_{k+1}$ and every $(d_k, c_{k+1}) \in P_k$, $k \geq 0$.

The following theorem holds.

Theorem 2. The set

$$\Omega_M = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| \leq \pi/2, |z| \leq M\}, \quad M > 0, \quad (24)$$

is a set of stability to perturbations of the S-fraction (1), if there exist constants α, β , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, such that for the relative errors of the coefficients a_k and the variable z , the inequalities (13) hold; there exists a constant A , $A > 0$, such that

$$a_k \leq A, \quad k \geq 0, \quad (25)$$

and the inequality (15) holds, where $\eta = MA / \sqrt{1 + (MA)^2}$. In this case, for the relative errors of the approximants of the S-fraction (1), the estimate (16) holds if $4\eta\gamma < (1 + \eta)^2$, and the estimate (17) holds if $4\eta\gamma = (1 + \eta)^2$.

Proof. Let us consider the continued fraction

$$\frac{r_0 r_1 a_0}{r_1 + \frac{r_1^2 a_1 z}{r_1 + \frac{r_1^2 a_2 z}{r_1 + \dots}}} \quad (26)$$

where $r_0 \neq 0$, $r_1 \neq 0$. Let us denote by

$$f'_n(z) = \frac{r_0 r_1 a_0}{r_1 + \frac{r_1^2 a_1 z}{r_1 + \frac{r_1^2 a_2 z}{r_1 + \dots + \frac{r_1^2 a_n z}{r_1}}}}$$

the n th approximant of the continued fraction (26), and by

$$Q_k^{(n)}(z) = r_1 + \frac{r_1^2 a_{k+1} z}{r_1 + \frac{r_1^2 a_{k+2} z}{r_1 + \dots + \frac{r_1^2 a_n z}{r_1}}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

the tails of the approximant $f_n'(z)$.

In [12, Theorem 4.1], it was proven that $g_k^{(n)}(z) = g_k^{(n)}(z)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, where $g_k^{(n)}(z) = G_k^{(n)}(z)/Q_{k-1}^{(n)}(z)$, $G_k^{(n)}(z) = r_1^2 a_k z / Q_k^{(n)}(z)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and the quantities $g_k^{(n)}$ are determined by formula (6).

Let $r_0 = \sqrt{z}$, $r_1 = 1/\sqrt{z}$. Then the continued fraction (26) will have the form

$$\frac{a_0}{1/\sqrt{z} + \frac{a_1}{1/\sqrt{z} + \frac{a_2}{1/\sqrt{z} + \dots}}}. \quad (27)$$

Let us make a change of variable $t = 1/\sqrt{z}$ in the continued fraction (27). Then we obtain the following continued fraction

$$\frac{a_0}{t + \frac{a_1}{t + \frac{a_2}{t + \dots}}}. \quad (28)$$

Let us denote by

$$f_n''(t) = \frac{a_0}{t + \frac{a_1}{t + \frac{a_2}{t + \dots + \frac{a_n}{t}}}}$$

the n th approximant of the continued fraction (28), and by

$$Q_k^{(n)}(t) = t + \frac{a_{k+1}}{t + \frac{a_{k+2}}{t + \dots + \frac{a_n}{t}}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n,$$

the tails of the approximant $f_n''(t)$, $G_k^{(n)}(t) = a_k / Q_k^{(n)}(t)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

If $z \in \Omega_M$, where the set Ω_M is determined according to (24), then $t \in W_M$, where

$$W_M = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| \leq \pi/4, |z| \geq 1/\sqrt{M}\}.$$

Let us prove that W_M is a set of values for the tails of the continued fraction (28), corresponding to the set of elements $P_{M,A}$, where

$$P_{M,A} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}_+ : |\arg z_1| \leq \pi/4, |z_1| \geq 1/\sqrt{M}, z_2 \leq A\}.$$

Since $t \in W_M$, condition (22) is satisfied. Let us prove that condition (23) is satisfied.

The function $1/z$ maps the set W_M to the set

$$W_M' = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| \leq \pi/4, |z| \leq \sqrt{M}\}.$$

Then

$$V_M = a_{k+1}W'_M = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg z| \leq \pi/4, |z| \leq a_{k+1}\sqrt{M}\}$$

and condition (23) is satisfied if

$$|\arg(t+z)| \leq \pi/4, \quad (29)$$

$$|t+z| \geq 1/\sqrt{M}, \quad (30)$$

for all $z \in V_M$. To prove (29), let us denote $t = \operatorname{Re} t + i \operatorname{Im} t$, $z = \operatorname{Re} z + i \operatorname{Im} z$. From the fact that $|\arg t| \leq \pi/4$, $|\arg z| \leq \pi/4$, it follows that $|\operatorname{Im} t| \leq \operatorname{Re} t$, $|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq \operatorname{Re} z$. Since $\operatorname{Re} t > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$, then

$$\frac{|\operatorname{Im}(t+z)|}{\operatorname{Re}(t+z)} = \frac{|\operatorname{Im} t + \operatorname{Im} z|}{\operatorname{Re} t + \operatorname{Re} z} \leq \frac{|\operatorname{Im} t| + |\operatorname{Im} z|}{\operatorname{Re} t + \operatorname{Re} z} \leq 1.$$

Thus, inequality (29) holds for all $z \in V_M$.

Let us denote $t = |t|e^{i\psi_1}$, $z = |z|e^{i\psi_2}$. Then $|t+z| = \sqrt{|t|^2 + |z|^2 + 2|t||z|\cos(\psi_1 - \psi_2)}$. Since $|\psi_1 - \psi_2| \leq \pi/2$, then $|t+z| \geq |t| \geq 1/\sqrt{M}$. This proves that condition (30) is satisfied.

Let us transform the quantities $g_k^{(n)}(t) = G_k^{(n)}(t)/Q_{k-1}^{(n)}(t)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, namely

$$g_k^{(n)}(t) = \frac{a_k}{Q_{k-1}^{(n)}(t)Q_k^{(n)}(t)} = \frac{a_k}{(t + a_k/Q_k^{(n)}(t))Q_k^{(n)}(t)} = \frac{1}{1 + tQ_k^{(n)}(t)/a_k}.$$

Let us estimate the quantities $|1 + tQ_k^{(n)}(t)/a_k|$ from below, for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let us denote $t = |t|e^{i\psi_1}$, $Q_k^{(n)}(t) = |Q_k^{(n)}(t)|e^{i\psi_k^{(n)}}$. From the Definition 2, it follows that $Q_k^{(n)}(t) \in W_M$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then

$$|1 + tQ_k^{(n)}(t)/a_k| = \sqrt{1 + |t|^2|Q_k^{(n)}(t)|^2/a_k^2 + 2|t||Q_k^{(n)}(t)|\cos(\psi_1 + \psi_k^{(n)})/a_k}.$$

Since $|\psi_1 + \psi_k^{(n)}| \leq \pi/2$, then

$$|1 + tQ_k^{(n)}(t)/a_k| \geq \sqrt{1 + |t|^2|Q_k^{(n)}(t)|^2/a_k^2} \geq \sqrt{1 + 1/(MA)^2}.$$

Thus, $|g_k^{(n)}(t)| \leq MA/\sqrt{1 + (MA)^2}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. Since $g_k^{(n)}(t) = g_k^{(n)}(z)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, we get $|g_k^{(n)}(z)| \leq MA/\sqrt{1 + (MA)^2}$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Let us denote $\eta = MA/\sqrt{1 + (MA)^2}$. If $M > 0$, $A > 0$, then $0 < \eta < 1$. Furthermore, if for the relative errors of the coefficients a_k and the variable z , the inequalities (13) hold, and the quantities α , β , η satisfy condition (15), then according to Theorem 1, the S -fraction (1) is stable to perturbations, and for the relative errors of its approximants, the estimate (16) holds if $4\eta\gamma < (1 + \eta)^2$, and the estimate (17) holds if $4\eta\gamma = (1 + \eta)^2$. \square

Finally, we have the following result.

Theorem 3. *The set*

$$\Omega_{\rho,A} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq \rho(1 - \rho)/A\}, \quad 0 < \rho < 1/2, \quad A > 0, \quad (31)$$

is a set of stability to perturbations of the S -fraction (1), if there exist constants α , β , $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$, such that for the relative errors of the coefficients a_k and the variable z , the inequalities (13) hold, the coefficients a_k , $k \geq 0$, satisfy condition (25), and the inequality (15) holds, where $\eta = (1 - \rho)/\rho$. In this case, for the relative errors of the approximants of the S -fraction (1), the estimate (16) holds if $4\eta\gamma < (1 + \eta)^2$, and the estimate (17) holds if $4\eta\gamma = (1 + \eta)^2$.

Proof. If the coefficients a_k of the S -fraction (1) are bounded by a constant $A > 0$, and $z \in \Omega_{\rho,A}$, where the set $\Omega_{\rho,A}$ is determined according to (31), then $|a_k z| \leq \rho(1 - \rho)$, $k \geq 1$. Let us denote $c_k = a_k z$, $k \geq 1$. Consider the continued fraction

$$\frac{c_0}{1 + \frac{c_1}{1 + \frac{c_2}{1 + \dots}}}, \quad (32)$$

and denote by $Q_k^{(n)}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, the tails of the n th approximant of (32).

Let us prove that the set

$$W_\rho = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - 1| \leq \rho\} \quad (33)$$

is a set of values for the tails of the approximants of the continued fraction (32), corresponding to the set of elements $P_\rho = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} : z_1 = 1, |z_2| \leq \rho(1 - \rho)\}$.

Since $1 \in W_\rho$, condition (22) is satisfied. Let us prove that if the elements of the continued fraction (32) satisfy the inequality

$$|c_k| \leq \rho(1 - \rho), \quad k \geq 1, \quad (34)$$

then condition (23) is satisfied.

Since $0 < \rho < 1/2$, then $0 \notin W_\rho$, and the function $1/z$ maps the set W_ρ to the set

$$W'_\rho = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - 1/(1 - \rho^2)| \leq \rho/(1 - \rho^2)\}.$$

Then

$$V_\rho = c_{k+1} W'_\rho = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z - c_{k+1}/(1 - \rho^2)| \leq |c_{k+1}| \rho/(1 - \rho^2)\} \quad (35)$$

and condition (23) is satisfied if

$$|C_{V_\rho} - C_{W_\rho}| + R_{V_\rho} \leq R_{W_\rho}, \quad (36)$$

where C_{W_ρ} , R_{W_ρ} are the center and radius of the circle (33), respectively, and C_{V_ρ} , R_{V_ρ} are the center and radius of the circle (35), respectively. Inequality (36) is equivalent to inequality (34). Since $Q_k^{(n)} \in W_\rho$, then $|Q_k^{(n)}| \geq 1 - \rho$, $0 \leq k \leq n$, and for the quantities $g_k^{(n)}$, the following estimates hold $|g_k^{(n)}| = c_k / (Q_{k-1}^{(n)} Q_k^{(n)}) \leq \rho/(1 - \rho)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Let $\eta = \rho/(1 - \rho)$. If $0 < \rho < 1/2$, then $0 < \eta < 1$. Furthermore, if for the relative errors of the coefficients a_k and the variable z , the inequalities (13) hold, and the quantities α, β, η satisfy condition (15), then according to Theorem 1, the S -fraction (1) is stable to perturbations, and for the relative errors of its approximant, the estimates (16) or (17) hold. \square

4 Conclusions

In this paper, the stability to perturbations of S -fractions with complex elements has been investigated. A method for analyzing the influence of perturbations of both the fraction's coefficients and its complex variable on the value of the approximant has been developed, which is based on recurrence relations for the relative errors of the approximant of the continued fraction. The relative error of the approximant is represented in the form of a continued fraction (8), which allowed the application of results from the analytical theory of continued fractions

to establish conditions for stability to perturbations (Theorem 1). It has been established that the S -fraction is stable to perturbations if the relative errors of its coefficients and variable are bounded, and the quantities $g_k^{(n)}$, which depend on the elements of the fraction, are also bounded. The obtained explicit estimates for the relative error of the approximant allow determining the maximum perturbation value of the approximant depending on the maximum perturbation value of the fraction's elements. Using the methodology of sequences of element sets and sequences of value sets of tails, sets of stability to perturbations have been established (Theorems 2 and 3), which is important for practical applications. The obtained results can be used in the study of stability to perturbations of solutions to problems presented in the form of S -fractions, particularly in the approximation of functions by such continued fractions. The fulfillment of the stability conditions guarantees the accuracy and reliability of computations that use the approximation of functions by S -fractions under conditions of machine arithmetic with limited precision.

A promising direction for further research is the study of such generalizations of S -fractions as C -fractions, particularly regular C -fractions, multidimensional S - and C -fractions, as well as other classes of functional continued fractions – J -fractions, P -fractions, and T -fractions.

References

- [1] Antonova T., Cesarano C., Dmytryshyn R., Sharyn S. *An approximation to Appell's hypergeometric function F_2 by branched continued fraction*. Dolomites Res. Notes Approx. 2024, **17** (1), 22–31. doi:10.14658/PUPJ-DRNA-2024-1-3
- [2] Antonova T., Dmytryshyn R., Goran V. *On the analytic continuation of Lauricella-Saran hypergeometric function $F_K(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2; a_1, b_2, c_3; z)$* . Mathematics 2023, **11** (21), 4487. doi:10.3390/math11214487
- [3] Antonova T., Dmytryshyn R., Kril P., Sharyn S. *Representation of some ratios of Horn's hypergeometric functions H_7 by continued fractions*. Axioms 2023, **12** (8), 738. doi:10.3390/axioms12080738
- [4] Antonova T., Dmytryshyn R., Lutsiv I.-A., Sharyn S. *On some branched continued fraction expansions for Horn's hypergeometric function $H_4(a, b; c, d; z_1, z_2)$ ratios*. Axioms 2023, **12** (3), 299. doi:10.3390/axioms12030299
- [5] Asbullah M.A., Abd Rahman N.N., Kamel Ariffin M.R., Sapar S.H., Yunos F. *Cryptanalysis of rsa key equation of $n = p^2q$ for small $|2q - p|$ using continued fraction*. MJS 2020, **39**, 72–80. doi:10.22452/mjs.vol39no1.6
- [6] Athukorala P., Chathurangi M., Ranasinghe R. *A variant of RSA using continued fractions*. J. Discret. Math. Sci. Cryptogr. 2022, **25**, 127–134. doi:10.1080/09720529.2021.1968574
- [7] Bodnar D.I. Branched Continued Fractions. Naukova Dumka, Kyiv, 1986. (in Russian)
- [8] Bodnar O.S., Dmytryshyn R.I., Sharyn S.V. *On the convergence of multidimensional S -fractions with independent variables*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2020, **12** (2), 353–359. doi:10.15330/cmp.12.2.353-359
- [9] Blanch G. *Numerical evaluation of continued fractions*. SIAM Rev. 1964, **6**, 383–421. doi:10.1137/1006092
- [10] Chernega I., Dmytryshyn R., Kravtsiv V., Vasylyshyn T., Zagorodnyuk A. *Function calculus on rings of multisets associated with symmetric and supersymmetric polynomials*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2025, **17** (1), 187–199. doi:10.15330/cmp.17.1.187-199
- [11] Chyrun L., Vysotska V., Chyrun S., Hu Z., Ushenko Y., Uhryn D. *Agile intelligent information technology for speech synthesis based on transfer function approximation methods using continued fractions*. IJIGSP 2025, **17**, 1–28. doi:10.5815/ijigsp.2025.02.01
- [12] Cuyt A.A.M., Petersen V., Verdonk B., Waadeland H., Jones W.B. Handbook of Continued Fractions for Special Functions. Springer, Dordrecht, 2008.
- [13] Cuyt A., Van der Cruyssen P. *Rounding error analysis for forward continued fraction algorithms*. Comput. Math. Appl. 1985, **11**, 541–564. doi:10.1016/0898-1221(85)90037-9

- [14] Dmytryshyn M., Goran T., Rusyn R. Videoconferencing Platforms in Ukrainian Education: Facts and Prospects. In: Proc. of 2025 15th International Conference on Advanced Computer Information Technologies, Sibenik, Croatia, September 17–19, 2025. IEEE, 2025, 1055–1058. doi:10.1109/ACIT65614.2025.11185589
- [15] Dmytryshyn M., Hladun V. *On the sets of stability to perturbations of some continued fraction with applications*. Symmetry 2025, **17** (9), 1442. doi:10.3390/sym17091442
- [16] Dmytryshyn R., Antonova T., Dmytryshyn M. *On the analytic extension of the Horn's confluent function H_6 on domain in the space \mathbb{C}^2* . Constr. Math. Anal. 2024, **7**, 11–26. doi:10.33205/cma.1545452
- [17] Dmytryshyn R., Antonova T., Hladun S. *On analytical continuation of the Horn's hypergeometric functions H_3 and their ratios*. Axioms 2025, **14** (1), 67. doi:10.3390/axioms14010067
- [18] Dmytryshyn R., Cesarano C., Dmytryshyn M., Lutsiv I.-A. *A priori bounds for truncation error of branched continued fraction expansions of Horn's hypergeometric functions H_4 and their ratios*. Res. Math. 2025, **33** (1), 13–22. doi:10.15421/242502
- [19] Dmytryshyn R., Cesarano C., Lutsiv I.-A., Dmytryshyn M. *Numerical stability of the branched continued fraction expansion of Horn's hypergeometric function H_4* . Mat. Stud. 2024, **61** (1), 51–60. doi:10.30970/ms.61.1.51-60
- [20] Dmytryshyn R.I. *Convergence of multidimensional A- and J-fractions with independent variables*. Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 2022, **22** (2), 229–242. doi:10.1007/s40315-021-00377-6
- [21] Dmytryshyn R., Goran V. *On the analytic extension of Lauricella-Saran's hypergeometric function F_K to symmetric domains*. Symmetry 2024, **16** (2), 220. doi:10.3390/sym16020220
- [22] Dmytryshyn R., Lutsiv I.-A., Dmytryshyn M. *On the analytic extension of the Horn's hypergeometric function H_4* . Carpathian Math. Publ. 2024, **16** (1), 32–39. doi:10.15330/cmp.16.1.32-39
- [23] Dmytryshyn R.I. *Multidimensional regular C-fraction with independent variables corresponding to formal multiple power series*. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 2020, **150** (4), 1853–1870. doi:10.1017/prm.2019.2
- [24] Dmytryshyn R., Oleksyn V. *On analytical extension of generalized hypergeometric function ${}_3F_2$* . Axioms 2024, **13** (11), 759. doi:10.3390/axioms13110759
- [25] Dmytryshyn R.I. *On some of convergence domains of multidimensional S-fractions with independent variables*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2019, **11** (1), 54–58. doi:10.15330/cmp.11.1.54-58
- [26] Dmytryshyn R. *On the analytic continuation of Appell's hypergeometric function F_2 to some symmetric domains in the space \mathbb{C}^2* . Symmetry 2024, **16** (11), 1480. doi:10.3390/sym16111480
- [27] Dmytryshyn R.I., Sharyn S.V. *Approximation of functions of several variables by multidimensional S-fractions with independent variables*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2021, **13** (3), 592–607. doi:10.15330/cmp.13.3.592-607
- [28] Dmytryshyn R., Sharyn S. *Representation of special functions by multidimensional A- and J-fractions with independent variables*. Fractal Fract. 2025, **9** (2), 89. doi:10.3390/fractalfract9020089
- [29] Dmytryshyn R. *Truncation error bounds for branched continued fraction expansions of some Appell's hypergeometric functions F_2* . Symmetry 2025, **17** (8), 1204. doi:10.3390/sym17081204
- [30] Gautschi W. *Computational aspects of three-term recurrence relations*. SIAM Rev. 1967, **9**, 24–82.
- [31] He L., Xing Y., Xia K., Tan J. *An adaptive image inpainting method based on continued fractions interpolation*. Discret. Dyn. Nat. Soc. 2018, **2018**, 9801361. doi:10.1155/2018/9801361
- [32] Hingu C., Fu X., Saliyu T., Hu R., Mishan R. *Power-optimized field-programmable gate array implementation of neural activation functions using continued fractions for AI/ML workloads*. Electronics 2024, **13**, 5026. doi:10.3390/electronics13245026
- [33] Hladun V.R., Bodnar D.I., Rusyn R.S. *Convergence sets and relative stability to perturbations of a branched continued fraction with positive elements*. Carpathian Math. Publ. 2024, **16** (1), 16–31. doi:10.15330/cmp.16.1.16-31
- [34] Hladun V.R., Dmytryshyn M.V., Kravtsiv V.V., Rusyn R.S. *Numerical stability of the branched continued fraction expansions of the ratios of Horn's confluent hypergeometric functions H_6* . Math. Model. Comput. 2024, **11** (4), 1152–1166. doi:10.23939/mmc2024.04.1152

- [35] Hladun V., Kravtsiv V., Dmytryshyn M., Rusyn R. *On numerical stability of continued fractions*. Mat. Stud. 2024, **62** (2), 168–183. doi:10.30970/ms.62.2.168-183
- [36] Hladun V., Rusyn R., Dmytryshyn M. *On the analytic extension of three ratios of Horn's confluent hypergeometric function H_7* . Res. Math. 2024, **32** (1), 60–70. doi:10.15421/242405
- [37] Hladun V.R. *Some sets of relative stability under perturbations of branched continued fractions with complex elements and a variable number of branches*. J. Math. Sci. 2016, **215**, 11–25. doi:10.1007/s10958-016-2818-x (translation of Mat. method. and fiz.-mech. polya 2014, **57**, 14–24. (in Ukrainian))
- [38] Pinti A., Tulai O., Chaikovskiy Y., Stetsko M., Dmytryshyn M., Alekseyenko L. *International Communication and Innovation in Investment in Flagship, Mortgage and Social Projects to Guarantee Security and Minimize Risks of Public Finances*. In: Hamdan R.K. (Ed) Integrating Big Data and IoT for Enhanced Decision-Making Systems in Business, Studies in Big Data, 177. Springer, Cham, 2026. doi:10.1007/978-3-031-97609-4_35
- [39] Jones W.B., Thron W.J. *Continued Fractions: Analytic Theory and Applications*. Addison-Wesley Pub. Co., Reading, 1980.
- [40] Jones W.B., Thron W.J. *Numerical stability in evaluating continued fractions*. Math. Comp. 1974, **28**, 795–810. doi:10.2307/2005701
- [41] Lorentzen L., Waadeland H. *Continued Fractions with Applications*. Noth Holland, Amsterdam, 1992.
- [42] Macon N., Baskervill M. *On the generation of errors in the digital evaluation of continued fractions*. J. Assoc. Comput. Math. 1956, **3**, 199–202. doi:10.1145/320831.320838
- [43] Moscato P., Haque M.N., Huang K., Sloan J., Corrales de Oliveira J. *Learning to extrapolate using continued fractions: predicting the critical temperature of superconductor materials*. Algorithms 2023, **16**, 382. doi:10.3390/a16080382
- [44] Wojtowicz M., Bodnar D., Shevchuk R., Bodnar O., Bilanyk I. *The Monte Carlo type method of attack on the RSA cryptosystem*. In: Proc. of the 10th Intern. Conf. on Advanced Computer Information Technologies, Institute of Applied Informatics of Deggendorf Institute of Technology, Deggendorf, Germany, May 13–15, 2020. Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Inc., Deggendorf, 2020, 755–758. doi:10.1109/ACIT49673.2020.9208824
- [45] Zahreddine Z. *Continued fraction expansions of stable discrete-time systems of difference equations*. Symmetry 2022, **14**, 1226. doi:10.3390/sym14061226

Received 02.02.2025

Revised 12.10.2025

Гладун В.Р., Дмитришин М.В. *Про стійкість до збурень неперервних дробів Стілтєса з комплексними елементами* // Карпатські матем. публ. — 2025. — Т.17, №2. — С. 565–578.

У статті досліджуються умови стійкості до збурень неперервних дробів Стілтєса з комплексними елементами. Запропоновано аналітичний підхід, що дозволяє оцінити вплив збурень як коефіцієнтів дробу, так і його комплексної змінної. Виведено рекурентні співвідношення для відносних похибок апроксимант, які представлено у вигляді неперервного дробу. На основі цього підходу отримано достатні умови стійкості, а також явні оцінки для похибок апроксимант. Побудовано множини стійкості до збурень, зокрема півкругову та кругову множини в комплексній площині.

Ключові слова і фрази: неперервний дріб Стілтєса, стійкість до збурень, множина стійкості до збурень, відносна похибка, апроксимація неперервного дробу.