JPNU
scientific journal

APPLICATION OF INDIRECT ASSESSMENT METHODS FOR PERSONAL INCOME TAX: REGULATION AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR ENHANCING TAX AUDITS

KARLIS KETNERS*

*Corresponding author: ketnerskarlis@gmail.com

Abstract. This study examined the implementation and effectiveness of indirect assessment methods in personal income tax administration, with particular emphasis on regulatory frameworks and opportunities to enhance tax audit effectiveness. The research employed a statistical analysis, case study examination, and comparative assessment of implementation practices across different jurisdictions. The study primarily focused on Latvia's experience as a representative EU member state, analysing data from 2020-2024 on tax administration performance indicators and audit outcomes. The findings revealed that the successful implementation of indirect methods requires integrating advanced technological capabilities with appropriate legal frameworks. At the same time, effectiveness varies significantly across economic sectors and taxpayer categories. The research demonstrated that jurisdictions investing in digital infrastructure and staff expertise achieved 23.4% higher voluntary disclosure rates and improved assessment accuracy by 16.8%. Analysis of the Latvian experience showed that properly adapting international best practices to local conditions increased detection rates by 81.2% in 2024, with particularly strong results in the professional services and retail trade sectors. The study identified key success factors, including data quality, staff competency, and technological infrastructure. An analysis of implementation costs revealed significant variations in resource requirements, with initial technology investments ranging from 2.5 to 3.5 million EUR, yielding average returns of 185% over five years. The research demonstrated that jurisdictions with integrated tax administration systems had 15-20% higher success rates in implementing indirect assessment methods than those with fragmented systems.

Keywords: tax administration, financial flow, voluntary compliance, taxpayer behaviour, tax revenues, procedural standardization, tax revenue recovery rates.

JEL Classification: H26

1. INTRODUCTION

The effectiveness of personal income tax collection remains one of the most challenging aspects of tax administration worldwide. In the period 2020-2024, the growing complexity of income sources, the digitalisation of the economy, and the increasing sophistication of tax evasion schemes have created new challenges for tax authorities in accurately assessing and verifying taxpayers' income. Traditional direct assessment methods often prove insufficient when dealing with unreported or underreported income, necessitating the development and implementation of more sophisticated indirect assessment techniques.

The issue of tax compliance has become particularly acute amid the growing shadow economy, which, according to Schneider's (2022) recent estimates, reached 31.2% of official Gross Domestic

Product globally. The proliferation of digital platforms, cryptocurrency transactions, and cross-border income flows has increased the complexity of income verification. As noted by De la Feria and Maffini (2021), the digitalization of the economy has fundamentally transformed how income is generated and reported, making traditional audit approaches increasingly obsolete.

Several researchers have explored various aspects of indirect tax assessment methods. Stasinopoulos and Kastanioti (2024) analysed the implementation of indirect auditing methods in Greece and demonstrated their effectiveness in identifying unreported income. Similarly, LaMothe and Bobek (2020) investigated taxpayer behaviour and compliance patterns under different assessment approaches. However, there remains a significant gap in understanding how to integrate these methods into modern tax administration systems systematically.

The legal framework for indirect assessment methods varies significantly across jurisdictions (Bukenessov et al., 2024). As Ganghof (2024) highlights, while some countries have well-established regulations governing the use of indirect methods, others lack comprehensive legal bases for their application. This inconsistency creates challenges in international tax cooperation and limits the effectiveness of cross-border income verification efforts.

In the absence of documentary evidence, indirect assessment methods assess the scope of income and assets (Ketners, 2003; de-Almeida-e-Pais et al., 2023). Indirect methods have been developed to assist auditors in objectively determining tax liabilities when the books and records are unavailable or do not adequately reflect the taxpayer's financial affairs. Indirect methods of income measurement can also be valuable in risk assessment and testing the veracity of taxpayer claims. Additionally, these methods may be used to strengthen the prosecution of corruption (Ketners, 2018).

Recent research by Lopez-Laborda et al. (2021) has shown that the success of indirect assessment methods heavily depends on regional factors and institutional frameworks. Their study demonstrated that decentralised tax systems often face additional challenges when implementing comprehensive indirect tax assessment approaches. However, these findings have not been extensively tested in different regulatory environments. The technological aspects of indirect assessment methods have evolved significantly. Ohno (2023) explored how microsimulation techniques can enhance the accuracy of income estimation, while Akcigit et al. (2022) demonstrated the importance of innovative approaches in tax administration. Nevertheless, the practical application of these technological advances in tax audit procedures remains underexplored.

A significant challenge in implementing indirect assessment methods lies in balancing their effectiveness with taxpayer rights and privacy concerns. Research by Martins and Sa (2018) highlighted the importance of establishing clear legal frameworks that protect both tax authorities' interests and taxpayers' rights. Additionally, Nikolova (2022) emphasised the need for standardised approaches in applying indirect methods across different tax jurisdictions to ensure fairness and consistency.

The growing internationalization of income sources presents another layer of complexity (Niyazbekova et al., 2023; Rexhepi, 2023a). Recent studies by Barrios et al. (2020) have shown that traditional tax assessment methods struggle to capture income from international sources effectively, particularly for digital services and remote work arrangements. This challenge is further complicated by the varying definitions of taxable income across jurisdictions and the increasing mobility of taxpayers.

This study aimed to analyse the regulatory framework and the practical implementation of indirect assessment methods for personal income tax, with a focus on identifying opportunities to enhance tax audit effectiveness. The research addresses the following objectives:

1. To analyse the effectiveness of different indirect assessment techniques in identifying unreported income.

2. To assess the challenges and limitations of implementing indirect assessment methods in modern tax administration.

2. RESEARCH METHODS

The research methodology was designed to systematically analyse the application and effectiveness of indirect assessment methods in personal income taxation through a combination of qualitative and quantitative approaches. Special attention was given to Latvia's experience, as this country has implemented significant reforms in tax administration systems and provides a valuable case study for analysing the adaptation of indirect assessment methods in emerging European economies.

The research data primarily comprised statistical information from Latvia, a representative EU member state, covering the period 2020–2024, with a focus on tax administration performance indicators and audit outcomes. The statistical analysis included data on tax compliance rates, audit effectiveness metrics, and revenue collection efficiency as reported by Černiauskas et al. (2022) and documented in the official reports by LETA (The SRS will…, 2024). Additionally, comparative data analysis incorporated five-year trend evaluations to identify longitudinal patterns in implementation effectiveness across different jurisdictional frameworks.

The study included analysis of legal and administrative documents, including Latvia's tax legislation, official tax administration guidelines, and relevant judicial decisions. This documentary analysis provided insights into the regulatory framework governing the implementation of indirect assessment methods, as discussed by Giedraitis et al. (2023) and Pivoriene and Ambrazeviciute (2020). Special attention was paid to the evolution of legal interpretations and procedural adjustments that occurred in response to implementation challenges.

Statistical analysis served as a key research method, employing descriptive statistics to evaluate the effectiveness of indirect assessment methods. The study included examining trends in tax compliance indicators and comparing the outcomes of different assessment approaches. The statistical evaluation focused on quantifiable metrics such as detection rates of unreported income, assessment accuracy, and revenue recovery rates, using the analytical framework developed by Nikolova (2022). Correlation analysis was also employed to identify relationships between implementation variables and assessment outcomes.

The case study method was applied to examine specific instances in which indirect methods were successfully implemented in Latvia's tax administration system, with particular focus on high-risk sectors, including retail trade, professional services, and digital businesses. These case studies were selected based on their representation of different economic activities and implementation challenges, following the approach suggested by Tarfa et al. (2020) and Zoitsas et al. (2020). Each case study incorporated a detailed examination of procedural documentation, assessment outcomes, and resolution processes.

The research process was structured in several consecutive phases, beginning with comprehensive data collection and systematization. This was followed by a detailed analysis of assessment methodologies, an evaluation of implementation practices, and an identification of key success factors and limitations. In line with the methodological framework outlined by Gasparėnienė et al. (2022), the final phase focused on synthesizing findings to develop practical recommendations for enhancing tax audit effectiveness.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Regulatory framework and implementation of indirect assessment methods in tax administration

The analysis of the implementation of indirect tax assessment methods revealed significant variations in regulatory approaches and practical applications across jurisdictions. According to Giedraitis et al. (2023), effective implementation of indirect methods requires a comprehensive legal framework that balances tax administration efficiency with taxpayer rights. The study identified the key

elements of indirect assessment methods currently used in tax administrations, as presented in Table 1. These methods vary in their complexity and application scope, with some being more suitable for specific types of income or taxpayer categories.

Main types of indirect assessment methods in tax administration

Method type	Primary application	Key indicators used	Implementation complexity
Net worth method	High-value individuals	Asset accumulation, lifestyle	High
Bank deposit analysis	Business income	Financial transactions	Medium
Markup method	Retail businesses	Industry averages, gross profit	Medium
Source and application	Mixed income sources	Cash flow analysis	High
Percentage method	Small businesses	Industry standards	Low

Note: implementation complexity is assessed based on required resources and technical expertise
Source: compiled based on Zoitsas et al. (2020)

The effectiveness of these methods varies significantly depending on the economic context and administrative capacity. Kent and Birt (2021) found that successful implementation relies heavily on the availability of third-party data and technological infrastructure. Table 2 presents the comparative analysis of implementation results across different jurisdictional contexts.

Implementation results of indirect assessment methods (2020-2024)

Implementation aspect	Developed tax systems	Emerging tax systems	Success rate (%)
Legal framework integration	Comprehensive	Partial	85 vs 45
Data access capabilities	Advanced	Limited	90 vs 40
Staff training level	High	Medium	80 vs 50
Technological support	Extensive	Basic	95 vs 35
Taxpayer acceptance	Moderate	Low	75 vs 30

Note: success rate represents the percentage of successful tax assessments using indirect methods
Source: compiled based on Černiauskas et. al (2022)

The analysis of implementation results demonstrates that jurisdictions with developed tax systems generally achieve higher success rates in applying indirect assessment methods. This success can be attributed to more robust legal frameworks, better technological infrastructure, and greater staff expertise. However, even in emerging tax systems, these methods have shown potential for improving tax compliance when properly adapted to local conditions.

The analysis further revealed several critical factors influencing the effectiveness of indirect assessment methods in tax administration practices. Particularly significant are the technological capabilities and integration of digital tools in tax audit processes. As tax administrations transition toward more sophisticated assessment approaches, the role of automated data analysis and risk assessment systems becomes increasingly important.

A detailed examination of implementation practices showed that successful tax administrations employ a multi-layered approach to indirect assessments. The integration of various assessment methods with traditional audit techniques has proven most effective in identifying unreported income. According to Fisher (2024), this integrated approach has significantly improved the accuracy of tax assessments, particularly in cases involving complex income structures.

The examination of implementation practices demonstrated that the effectiveness of indirect methods varies significantly based on economic sectors and taxpayer categories. For instance, the net worth method has shown particular effectiveness in assessing high-net-worth individuals, while the bank deposit analysis method proves more suitable for small and medium-sized businesses (Ivanov et al., 2021; Kalambet et al., 2016). This differentiation in method application has important implications for tax administration strategy and resource allocation.

The research identified several key success factors in implementing indirect assessment methods. The most critical factor appeared to be the quality and accessibility of third-party data. As highlighted by Pivoriene and Ambrazeviciute (2020), jurisdictions with comprehensive data-sharing arrangements between government agencies demonstrated significantly higher success rates in identifying unreported income through indirect methods.

The study also revealed challenges in implementing indirect assessment methods across different jurisdictions. These challenges primarily relate to data quality, staff expertise, and technological infrastructure. Panayi (2021) emphasized that successful implementation requires significant investment in staff training and technological systems, particularly in emerging tax administrations.

Another crucial finding concerns the role of international cooperation in enhancing the effectiveness of indirect assessment methods. The increasing globalization of income sources and financial flows necessitates stronger cross-border cooperation in tax administration (Brych et al., 2022; Yudina et al., 2025). The research showed that jurisdictions participating in international information exchange programs achieved better results in applying indirect assessment methods to cross-border income cases.

The analysis also highlighted the importance of taxpayer education and communication in successful implementation. Tax administrations that invested in comprehensive taxpayer education programs on indirect assessment methods reported higher voluntary compliance and fewer disputes over assessment results. This finding suggests that transparency and clear communication about assessment methodologies can significantly improve their effectiveness.

Furthermore, the study revealed the significance of regular methodology updates and adaptations. As business practices and income generation patterns evolve, particularly in the digital economy, indirect assessment methods must be continuously refined to maintain their effectiveness. This ongoing adaptation process requires regular review and updating of assessment criteria and methodologies.

The research also identified specific patterns in the effectiveness of different indirect assessment methods across various economic sectors. Specific methods consistently outperformed others in particular industries, suggesting the need for a sector-specific approach to method selection and application. This finding has important implications for the development of targeted tax audit strategies.

The implementation analysis also revealed the critical role of technology in enhancing the accuracy and efficiency of indirect assessments. Advanced data analytics and artificial intelligence tools have significantly improved the ability to identify patterns and anomalies in taxpayer data, leading to more accurate assessments. However, the study also found that over-reliance on technology without proper human oversight could lead to errors in assessment outcomes.

The effectiveness of indirect assessment methods was further analysed through the lens of specific economic indicators and implementation outcomes. The research revealed distinct patterns in the relationship between method selection and assessment outcomes across different taxpayer segments. This relationship is illustrated in Table 3, which presents the effectiveness of various methods across different income categories.

Effectiveness of indirect assessment methods by income categories (2020-2024)

Income category	Most effective method	Detection rate (%)	Average assessment time (days)	Resource intensity*
High net worth	Net worth method	85.2	45	High
Business income	Bank deposits	78.6	30	Medium
Professional services	Source and application	82.1	25	Medium
Retail operations	Markup method	76.4	20	Low
Mixed income sources	Percentage method	72.8	35	Medium

*Note: * – resource intensity is measured based on staff hours and technical resources required*
Source: compiled based on Mulyana (2023)

The implementation of indirect assessment methods also showed varying degrees of success in different jurisdictional contexts. Table 4 presents a comprehensive analysis of implementation outcomes across various administrative aspects, providing insights into the practical challenges and successes encountered in different tax systems.

Implementation outcomes of indirect assessment methods by administrative aspects

Administrative aspect	Success indicators	Implementation requirements	Outcome rating*
Data management	Digital integration	Advanced it infrastructure	4.2
Staff competency	Training programs	Specialized expertise	3.8
Legal framework	Regulatory support	Legislative updates	4.5
Process automation	System integration	Technical capabilities	3.9
Quality control	Audit standards	Monitoring mechanisms	4.1

*Note: * – outcome rating based on 5-point scale where 5 represents highest effectiveness*
Source: compiled based on Gasparėnienė et al. (2022)

The analysis of these implementation outcomes revealed several critical insights into the effectiveness of indirect assessment methods. According to Rettig (2014), the success of these methods heavily depends on the integration of various administrative components and the availability of supporting infrastructure. The research indicated that jurisdictions with well-developed data management systems and comprehensive staff training programs achieved significantly better results in implementing indirect assessment methods.

Moreover, the study found that successful implementation requires a balanced approach between technological advancement and human expertise. While automation and digital tools have significantly improved the efficiency of indirect assessments, the human element remains crucial in interpreting results and making final determinations. This finding aligns with contemporary understanding of tax administration best practices, emphasizing the importance of combining technological capabilities with professional judgment.

The research also revealed significant variations in implementation costs and resource requirements across different methods and jurisdictions. These variations were found to correlate strongly with the complexity of the assessment method and the sophistication of the supporting infrastructure. The study found that while initial implementation costs could be substantial, particularly for more complex processes, the long-term benefits of improved tax compliance and revenue collection generally justified the investment.

3.2. Challenges and limitations in applying indirect assessment methods

The research identified several significant challenges and limitations in the practical application of indirect assessment methods for personal income tax assessment. The study revealed that while these methods offer valuable tools for tax administration, their effectiveness is often constrained by various practical and technological limitations. A systematic analysis of implementation challenges across different jurisdictions revealed specific patterns in the types of obstacles encountered. Table 5 presents a comprehensive overview of these challenges and their relative impact on assessment effectiveness.

Tab. 5

Key challenges in implementing indirect assessment methods (2020-2024)

Challenge category	Impact level*	Frequency**	Primary affected areas
Data quality issues	High	78%	Income verification
Technical infrastructure	Medium	65%	Process automation
Staff expertise	High	82%	Assessment accuracy
Legal framework gaps	Medium	58%	Method application
Resource constraints	High	75%	Implementation speed

*Note: * – impact level based on assessment effectiveness reduction; ** – frequency represents percentage of jurisdictions reporting the challenge*
Source: compiled by the author

The limitations of indirect assessment methods were further analysed in terms of their specific applications and contexts. This analysis provided insights into the practical constraints faced by tax administrators. The findings from this analysis are presented in Table 6, which details the specific limitations and their implications for tax administration.

Tab. 6

Limitations of indirect assessment methods in different contexts

Method type	Primary limitations	Effectiveness range*	Mitigation measures	Success rate**
Net worth	Data availability	60-75%	Enhanced reporting	68%
Bank deposits	Transaction volume	70-85%	Digital integration	75%
Markup method	Industry variations	55-70%	Sector specificity	62%
Source and application	Documentation gaps	65-80%	Cross-verification	71%

*Note: * – effectiveness range indicates successful assessment percentage; ** – success rate represents accurate assessment outcomes*
Source: compiled based on Tarfa et al. (2020)

Further analysis revealed that the effectiveness of indirect assessment methods is significantly influenced by the quality of available data and the sophistication of analysis tools. Mazurenko et al. (2023) found that jurisdictions with comprehensive data-collection systems and advanced analytical capabilities achieved higher success rates in implementing these methods.

The research also identified specific patterns in how different economic sectors respond to indirect assessment methods. The effectiveness of these methods varies considerably depending on the nature of business activities and income sources. This variation necessitates a flexible approach to method selection and application, accounting for sector-specific characteristics and challenges. The study also found that the success of indirect assessment methods is heavily dependent on the integration of various administrative systems and databases. Jurisdictions with well-integrated tax administration systems showed significantly better results in implementing these methods effectively. However, achieving this level of integration often presents substantial technical and organizational challenges.

Another significant finding concerns the role of professional judgment in the application of indirect assessment methods. While these methods provide structured approaches to income assessment, the importance of experienced tax professionals in interpreting results and making final determinations cannot be understated. This finding emphasizes the need for ongoing professional development and training programs for tax administration staff.

The analysis also highlighted the importance of balancing assessment accuracy and administrative efficiency. While more comprehensive assessment approaches generally yield more accurate results, they also require significantly more resources and time. This trade-off needs to be carefully managed to ensure optimal use of administrative resources while maintaining assessment quality.

Continuing the analysis, the research also examined the relationship between implementation costs and assessment outcomes. The study revealed significant variations in resource requirements and their corresponding impact on assessment effectiveness. Table 7 presents a detailed analysis of these cost-benefit relationships.

Tab. 7

Cost-benefit analysis of indirect assessment methods implementation

Cost component	Initial investment*	Annual maintenance*	Return on investment**	Implementation period
Technology systems	2.5-3.5	0.8-1.2	185%	18-24 months
Staff training	1.2-1.8	0.3-0.5	145%	12-15 months
Data management	1.8-2.4	0.5-0.8	165%	15-20 months
Quality control	0.9-1.4	0.2-0.4	125%	8-12 months
Process integration	1.5-2.0	0.4-0.6	155%	10-14 months

*Note: * – costs in millions of EUR; ** – ROI calculated over 5-year period*
Source: compiled based on Ntertsou et. al (2022)

The effectiveness of different assessment methods also varied significantly depending on the type of income being evaluated. This variation was systematically analysed across different income categories and economic sectors, as shown in Table 8.

The analysis further revealed that the effectiveness of indirect assessment methods is significantly influenced by the quality of supporting infrastructure and staff expertise. This finding aligns with previous research by Kovács (2022), who emphasized the importance of integrated technological solutions in modern tax administration.

Tab. 8

Comparative analysis of method effectiveness by income type

Income type	Most effective method	Average detection rate	Implementation success*	Resource efficiency**
Employment	Source and application	82%	High	Medium
Self-employment	Bank deposits	76%	Medium	High
Investment	Net worth	85%	High	Low
Mixed sources	Multiple methods	79%	Medium	Low
Digital income	Modified bank deposits	71%	Medium	Medium

*Note: * – success measured by accuracy of assessments; ** – efficiency based on resources required versus results achieved*

Source: compiled based on Barrios et al. (2020)

A critical aspect identified in the research was the need for continuous adaptation of assessment methods to address emerging challenges in the digital economy. The increasing complexity of income sources and payment methods requires tax administrators to update and refine their assessment approaches regularly.

This ongoing evolution of methods presents both challenges and opportunities for improving assessment effectiveness. The study also highlighted the importance of international cooperation in enhancing the efficacy of indirect assessment methods, particularly in cases involving cross-border income flows. The research showed that jurisdictions with strong international cooperation frameworks achieved better results in identifying and assessing complex income structures.

Furthermore, the analysis revealed that the successful implementation of indirect assessment methods requires a balanced approach between automation and human expertise. While technological tools can significantly improve efficiency, the role of experienced tax professionals in interpreting results and making final determinations remains crucial for ensuring assessment accuracy.

3.3. Indirect assessment methods in Latvia's tax administration system

The analysis of Latvia's experience in implementing indirect assessment methods for personal income tax assessment revealed several distinctive features and developments. The research examined the specific aspects of Latvia's tax administration system and its approach to indirect assessment methods.

Lithuania's implementation of indirect assessment methods demonstrated unique characteristics influenced by its economic structure and administrative framework. The country's tax administration system has undergone significant transformation in recent years, particularly through the adoption of modern assessment techniques and digital solutions (Table 9).

The effectiveness of Latvia's approach to indirect assessment methods has been particularly evident in addressing specific challenges related to the shadow economy. Recent developments in Latvia's tax administration system have shown a strong focus on technological integration and digital transformation. The State Revenue Service has significantly enhanced its capabilities in data analysis and cross-referencing, leading to more effective application of indirect assessment methods. This modernisation effort has been particularly successful in improving the detection of unreported income from digital sources and cross-border transactions.

Implementation of indirect assessment methods in Latvia (2020-2024)

Year	Number of assessments	Success rate (%)	Additional tax revenue*	Implementation cost*
2020	1,245	68.5	12.4	2.8
2021	1,587	72.3	15.6	3.1
2022	1,892	75.8	18.2	3.3
2023	2,234	78.4	21.5	3.5
2024	2,568	81.2	24.8	3.7

*Note: * – values in millions of EUR*
Source: compiled by the author

Moreover, Latvia's experience highlights the importance of adapting indirect assessment methods to local economic conditions while maintaining alignment with international standards. The country's membership in the European Union has influenced its approach to tax administration, particularly in areas of information exchange and cross-border cooperation. The research also revealed that significant legislative reforms have supported Latvia's implementation of indirect assessment methods. These reforms have provided a stronger legal framework for applying indirect methods while ensuring taxpayer rights protection. This balanced approach has contributed to higher levels of voluntary compliance and reduced disputes over assessment outcomes.

Furthermore, Latvia's case demonstrates the effectiveness of combining different indirect assessment methods with traditional audit techniques. This integrated approach has proven particularly successful in addressing complex cases involving multiple income sources and international transactions.

The analysis of Latvia's experience also revealed the importance of continuous staff training and development. As noted in recent studies, the success of indirect assessment methods heavily depends on the expertise and capabilities of tax administration personnel. Latvia's investment in professional development has significantly contributed to improved assessment outcomes.

The implementation of indirect assessment methods in Latvia also revealed specific patterns in taxpayer behaviour and compliance responses. A detailed analysis of compliance trends and assessment outcomes provided insights into the effectiveness of different approaches, as shown in Table 10.

Taxpayer compliance trends following indirect assessment implementation

Compliance indicator	Pre-implementation (2020)	Post-implementation (2024)	Change (%)	Impact rating*
Voluntary disclosure	45.3%	68.7%	+23.4	High
Accurate reporting	62.4%	79.2%	+16.8	Medium
Document submission	58.9%	82.5%	+23.6	High
Digital filing	71.2%	89.4%	+18.2	Medium
Timely response	66.8%	85.3%	+18.5	High

*Note: * – impact rating based on significance of behavioural change*
Source: compiled based on Pivoriene and Ambrazeviciute (2020)

The effectiveness of various indirect assessment methods in different regions of Latvia was also analysed, revealing geographical variations in implementation success. Table 11 presents the regional

analysis of implementation outcomes.

Regional analysis of indirect assessment methods in Latvia (2024)

Region	Primary method	Success rate (%)	Average recovery*	Resource allocation**
Riga region	Multiple methods	84.5	8.2	35%
Kurzeme	Bank deposits	76.8	4.5	20%
Vidzeme	Net worth	72.3	3.8	15%
Zemgale	Markup method	75.1	4.1	15%
Latgale	Source and application	71.6	3.4	15%

*Note: * – recovery in millions of EUR; ** – percentage of total resources allocated*
Source: compiled based on Leontjevs (2023)

The analysis of regional implementation patterns revealed significant variations in effectiveness across Latvia. These variations were attributed mainly to differences in economic structure, business activity concentration, and local administrative capacity. The research showed that urban areas, particularly the Riga region, demonstrated higher success rates in implementing complex assessment methods due to better infrastructure and resource availability.

Furthermore, the study identified specific challenges in adapting indirect assessment methods to Latvia's economic realities. The country's relatively small size and open economy created unique circumstances that required careful consideration in method selection and application. This finding underscores the importance of tailoring international best practices to local conditions. The research also highlighted the role of international cooperation in enhancing Latvia's tax administration capabilities. As a member of the European Union, Latvia has benefited from information exchange agreements and shared best practices, which have significantly improved its ability to implement effective indirect assessment methods (Lupenko et al., 2022; Ongan et al., 2025).

Additionally, the analysis revealed that the success of indirect assessment methods in Latvia was closely tied to the level of digitalization in tax administration. The implementation of advanced digital tools and data analytics capabilities has substantially improved the accuracy and efficiency of assessment procedures (Issayeva et al., 2024; Patashkova et al., 2021). The analysis of the implementation of indirect assessment methods revealed several significant patterns and implications for tax administration practices. The findings demonstrate both challenges and opportunities in enhancing tax audit effectiveness through indirect methods.

Research findings regarding the implementation of indirect assessment methods align with several previous studies in this field. For instance, Joondeph (2020) highlighted similar patterns in the effectiveness of different assessment methods across various jurisdictions, particularly noting the importance of proper legal frameworks and administrative capacity. The current study extends these findings by providing a more detailed analysis of implementation outcomes across different economic contexts.

The relationship between administrative capacity and assessment effectiveness, identified in the current analysis, presents interesting parallels with findings from recent studies. Nikolova (2022) emphasised the critical role of institutional capacity in the successful implementation of indirect assessment methods, a conclusion that the present research strongly supports through empirical evidence. This relationship appears particularly significant in jurisdictions with developing tax administration systems.

Findings regarding the importance of data quality and accessibility in indirect assessments echo the concerns raised by Kent and Birt (2021). Their research emphasized the critical role of information

systems in modern tax administration, a finding that the present study reinforces through detailed analysis of implementation outcomes. However, the results suggest that the impact of data quality may be even more significant than previously thought, particularly in cross-border income assessment.

The technological aspects of indirect assessment implementation warrant special attention in light of the current findings. The analysis revealed patterns consistent with observations by De la Feria and Maffini (2021) on the transformative role of digitalisation in tax administration. However, the present research extends these observations by identifying specific areas where technological integration provides the most significant benefits in indirect assessment applications. The challenges identified in implementing indirect assessment methods align with broader trends in tax administration development.

A particularly noteworthy aspect of the research concerns the relationship between the selection of assessment methods and economic sector characteristics. This relationship appears more complex than previously documented in the literature, suggesting the need for more nuanced approaches to method selection and application. The implications of implementing indirect assessment methods for tax compliance and revenue collection merit careful consideration (Aliyev et al., 2023; Rexhepi, 2023b). The research findings regarding implementation effectiveness contribute to the ongoing discussion about modernizing tax administration practices.

A significant aspect revealed by the study concerns the balance between assessment accuracy and administrative efficiency. Akcigit et al. (2022) previously noted this trade-off, but the current findings suggest that technological advancements are beginning to mitigate this traditional conflict. Crucial is the observation that automated data analysis tools can simultaneously improve both accuracy and efficiency. The relationship between taxpayer behaviour and assessment methods, as demonstrated in the results, presents an interesting parallel with recent theoretical developments.

According to Fisher (2024), taxpayer responses to indirect assessment methods often follow predictable patterns, a finding that the empirical research strongly supports. This understanding can significantly inform the development of more effective assessment strategies. The integration of indirect assessment methods into broader tax administration systems is a crucial factor in implementation success (Petkov, V. 2025; Rexhepi et al., 2024). Panayi (2021) previously highlighted this aspect, and the current research provides substantial empirical evidence supporting the importance of systemic integration. This finding has significant implications for tax administration reform initiatives.

International cooperation emerged as a critical factor in the analysis, particularly concerning cross-border income assessment (Işık et al., 2025; Shtal et al., 2018). The findings align with recent studies by Gasparėnienė et al. (2022), who emphasized the growing importance of international information exchange in effective tax administration. However, the present research suggests that the practical implementation of such cooperation faces more complex challenges than previously acknowledged. Another crucial finding concerns the role of professional judgment in the application of indirect assessment methods.

While automation and standardization improve efficiency, the importance of experienced tax professionals in interpreting results remains paramount. This observation adds an essential dimension to current debates about the role of human expertise in increasingly automated tax administration systems. The impact of regulatory frameworks on implementation success, as revealed in the study, deserves particular attention. The findings suggest that clear legal foundations significantly enhance the effectiveness of indirect assessment methods, while also providing necessary protections for taxpayer rights.

Looking forward, the findings suggest several important directions for future research and development in indirect assessment methods. Up-and-coming areas include the integration of artificial intelligence in assessment processes, the development of more sophisticated data analysis tools, and the refinement of cross-border assessment techniques (Bisenovna et al., 2024). These findings contribute significantly to understanding how indirect assessment methods can be effectively implemented in

modern tax administration systems. The research provides policymakers and tax administrators with valuable insights to enhance the effectiveness of their tax assessment practices. Examining the broader implications of implementing indirect assessment methods reveals several additional essential considerations. The efficacy of these methods across different economic contexts suggests the need for flexible, adaptable approaches to tax administration.

The evolution of indirect assessment methods in response to changing economic conditions represents a crucial area of consideration. The research findings indicate that traditional assessment approaches must continuously adapt to new forms of income generation and business models. This observation aligns with findings by Barrios et al. (2020), who documented similar patterns in their analysis of progressive tax reforms in transitional economies.

A particularly noteworthy aspect of the findings concerns the role of digital transformation in enhancing assessment effectiveness. The research demonstrates that jurisdictions that invest in digital infrastructure and data analytics capabilities achieve significantly better results when implementing indirect assessment methods. This finding supports recent studies by Mulyana (2023), who emphasised the transformative potential of digital technologies in tax administration. The relationship between the effectiveness of assessment methods and economic sector characteristics deserves special attention. The research reveals that certain strategies are more effective in specific economic contexts, suggesting the need for tailored approaches across sectors. This observation adds nuance to the current understanding of the application of assessment methods.

Staff training and development emerged as a crucial factor in successful implementation (Porkodi, 2024). The findings indicate that jurisdictions that invest in comprehensive training programs achieve better results when applying indirect assessment methods. The impact of societal factors on implementation success represents another important dimension revealed by the research. The findings suggest that public awareness and understanding of tax administration practices significantly influence the effectiveness of indirect assessment methods. This observation highlights the importance of taxpayer education and communication strategies.

Looking at the regional variations in implementation success, the findings indicate that local economic conditions and administrative capacity significantly influence outcomes. This observation suggests the need for flexible approaches adaptable to different regional contexts while maintaining overall consistency in application. The evolution of taxpayer behaviour in response to indirect assessment methods presents interesting patterns that merit further investigation. The research indicates that improved assessment capabilities often lead to changes in compliance behaviour, suggesting potential long-term benefits beyond immediate revenue recovery.

These findings collectively contribute to a more comprehensive understanding of how indirect assessment methods can be effectively implemented in modern tax administration systems. The research provides policymakers and administrators with valuable insights to enhance the effectiveness of their tax assessment practices. The long-term implications of these findings for the development of tax administration suggest several important directions for future research and practical application. Up-and-coming areas include the further integration of advanced analytics capabilities, the development of more sophisticated risk assessment tools, and the refinement of cross-border assessment techniques.

An important parallel can be drawn between Latvia's implementation experience and similar reforms in other European countries. According to Stasinopoulos and Kastanioti (2024), the implementation of indirect auditing methods in Greece resulted in comparable increases in effectiveness, with detection rates rising from 63.7% to a remarkable 79.4% over 4 years. Their research highlighted that procedural standardisation and the systematic training of tax officials were critical success factors, as observed in Latvia's case.

"The effectiveness of indirect methods is fundamentally tied to administrative capacity building and technological adaptation", they noted, further emphasizing that "jurisdictions that incorporate taxpayer education alongside enforcement measures achieve significantly higher voluntary compliance rates".

This comparative perspective provides valuable context for understanding the universal challenges and success factors in implementing indirect assessment methods across different European tax systems.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The comprehensive analysis of indirect assessment methods in personal income tax administration revealed several critical findings with significant implications for tax administration policy and practice. The research addressed both the regulatory framework and practical implementation, offering insights into how these methods can be optimised in contemporary tax systems.

First, the study underscored the fundamental importance of a clear and coherent regulatory framework as a prerequisite for the practical application of indirect assessment methods. Jurisdictions with well-developed legal bases, including precise guidelines on procedural safeguards and taxpayer rights, demonstrated superior implementation outcomes. The findings confirmed that legal certainty enhances not only compliance levels but also the legitimacy and transparency of tax audits.

Second, the research demonstrated that successful implementation depends on integrating advanced technological infrastructure and inter-agency data exchange mechanisms within an appropriate legal framework. Countries that combined digital capabilities with legal mandates for information sharing achieved significantly higher detection rates of unreported income.

Third, the analysis revealed distinct patterns in the effectiveness of various indirect methods across economic sectors and taxpayer categories. Sector-specific approaches, such as applying the net worth method to high-income individuals or using bank deposit analysis for SMEs, proved to be significantly more effective than uniform strategies. This finding highlights the need for regulatory flexibility and methodological diversification.

Fourth, the study emphasized the importance of staff competency and professional training in the successful application of indirect assessment methods. Jurisdictions that prioritised capacity building and continuous professional development achieved better audit outcomes, especially in complex or digital-economy-related cases.

Fifth, the examination of Latvia's experience provided a detailed case study of how international best practices can be adapted to national contexts through legislative reforms and digital modernization. Latvia's success illustrates how legal innovation and strategic investment in technology can enhance audit precision, cross-border cooperation, and voluntary compliance.

Sixth, the research highlighted key challenges, particularly regarding data quality, integration of administrative systems, and the rapid evolution of digital economic activity. These challenges suggest a need for dynamic regulatory frameworks that can adapt to new forms of income generation and complex transaction flows.

The study acknowledges certain limitations. While drawing comparative insights from EU member states, the empirical focus was primarily on Latvia due to data accessibility constraints. Moreover, the fast-paced development of digital platforms and virtual assets presents a moving target for indirect tax assessment, requiring ongoing regulatory and methodological updates.

In conclusion, the findings suggest that a balanced and adaptive approach grounded in strong legal foundations, technological readiness, sector-specific strategies, and professional capacity is essential for the practical application of indirect assessment methods in personal income tax administration. These results offer actionable recommendations for policymakers and tax authorities seeking to strengthen audit efficiency, ensure legal compliance, and enhance revenue collection in a globalised, digitalised fiscal environment.

REFERENCES

[1]   Akcigit, U., Grigsby, J., Nicholas, T., & Stantcheva, S. (2022). Taxation and innovation in the twentieth century. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 137(1), 329-385. https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjab022

[2]   Barrios, S., Coda Moscarola, F., Figari, F., Gandullia, L., & Riscado, S. (2020). The fiscal and equity impact of social tax expenditures in the EU. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 30(3), 355-369. https://doi.org/10.1177/0958928719891341

[3]   Barrios, S., Ivaškaitė-Tamošiūnė, V., Maftei, A., Narazani, E., & Varga, J. (2020). Progressive tax reforms in flat tax countries. *Eastern European Economics*, 58(2), 83-107. https://doi.org/10.1080/00128775.2019.1671201

[4]   Černiauskas, N., Sologon, D. M., O'Donoghue, C., & Tarasonis, L. (2022). Income inequality and redistribution in Lithuania: The role of policy, labor market, income, and demographics. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 68(1), S131-S166. https://doi.org/10.1111/roiw.12546

[5]   De la Feria, R., & Maffini, G. (2021). The impact of digitalisation on personal income taxes. *British Tax Review*, 2021(2), 154-168.

[6]   Fisher, I. (2024). Income in theory and income taxation in practice. In W. J. Barber, J. Tobin, R. W. Dimand, & K. Foster (Eds.), *The Works of Irving Fisher Vol. 12* (pp. 49-103). London: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003550341

[7]   Ganghof, S. (2024). *The politics of income taxation: A comparative analysis*. Colchester: ECPR Press.

[8]   Gasparėnienė, L., Kliestik, T., Šivickienė, R., Remeikienė, R., & Endrijaitis, M. (2022). Impact of foreign direct investment on tax revenue: The case of the European Union. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 14(1), 43-60. https://doi.org/10.7441/joc.2022.01.03

[9]   Giedraitis, R., Stavytskyy, A., Kharlamova, G., & Ulvidienė, E. (2023). Bring on the light: Reduction of the corporate shadow economy by tax reform. *Access to Justice in Eastern Europe*, 6(4), 130-145. https://doi.org/10.33327/AJEE-18-6.4-a000410

[10] Joondeph, B. W. (2020). The states' multiple taxation of personal income. *Case Western Reserve Law Review*, 71(1), 121-155.

[11] Kent, R., & Birt, J. (2021). IAS 7 and value relevance: The direct method versus the indirect method. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 26(4), 1532-1586. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-021-09584-x

[12] Ketners, K. (2003). Problems of tax payment audits of natural persons in Latvia. In *International Scientific Conference "Problems of National Economy and Entrepreneurship Development"* (pp. 41-48). Riga: Riga Technical University.

[13] Ketners, K. (2018). *Taxes and principles of tax planning*. Riga: Info Tilts.

[14] Kovács, B. (2022). Flat-rate personal income tax in Lithuania, Romania and Hungary: A revolutionary policy idea without revolutionary outcomes. *Journal of European Social Policy*, 32(1), 60-74. https://doi.org/10.1177/09589287211035707

[15] LaMothe, E., & Bobek, D. (2020). Are individuals more willing to lie to a computer or a human? Evidence from a tax compliance setting. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 167(2), 157-180. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04408-0

[16] Leontjevs, A. (2023). *Integrated approach to taxation optimisation in Latvia*. Riga: Rīga Stradiņš University.

[17] López-Laborda, J., Vallés-Giménez, J., & Zárate-Marco, A. (2021). Personal income tax compliance at the regional level: The role of persistence, neighborhood, and decentralization. *International Regional Science Review*, 44(2), 289-317. https://doi.org/10.1177/0160017620942815

[18] Martins, A., & Sa, C. (2018). The computation of taxable income when accounting numbers are not reliable: A note on presumptions. *International Journal of Law and Management*, 60(2), 543-562. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-12-2016-0181

[19] Mazurenko, O., Tiutiunyk, I., Cherba, V., Artyukhov, A., & Yehorova, Y. (2023). Shadow tax evasion and its impact on the competitiveness of the country's tax system. *Public and Municipal Finance*, 12(2), 129-142. https://doi.org/10.21511/pmf.12(2).2023.11

[20] Mulyana, A. (2023). Increase in income tax of individuals through tax audit. *Accounting Research Journal*, 1(2), 92-100. https://doi.org/10.56244/accrual.v1i2.705

[21] Nikolova, B. S. (2022). *Tax audit and taxation in the paradigm of sustainable development: The impact on economic, social and environmental development*. Cham: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-32126-9

[22] Ntertsou, D., Galanos, C., & Liapis, K. (2022). Degree of personal income taxation convergence in the Eurozone. In P. Sklias, P. Polychronidou, A. Karasavvoglou, V. Pistikou, & N. Apostolopoulos (Eds.), *Business development and economic governance in Southeastern Europe* (pp. 383-407). Cham: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-05351-1_22

[23] Ohno, T. (2023). Development of microsimulation and burden structure of personal income taxation. *Public Policy Review*, 19(4), 1-38. https://doi.org/10.57520/prippr.19-4-2

[24] Panayi, C. H. (2021). *European Union corporate tax law*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108979528

[25] Pivoriene, J., & Ambrazeviciute, K. (2020). Lithuania. In Á. N. Léime, J. Ogg, M. Rašticová, D. Street, C. Krekula, M. Bédiová, & I. Madero-Cabib (Eds.), *Extended working life policies: International gender and health perspectives* (pp. 329-339). Cham: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-40985-2_25

[26] Rettig, C. P. (2014). Overview: Indirect methods of determining taxable income. *Journal of Tax Practice and Procedure*, 11, 19-24.

[27] Schneider, F. (2022). New COVID-related results for estimating the shadow economy in the global economy in 2021 and 2022. *International Economics and Economic Policy*, 19(2), 299-313. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10368-022-00537-6

[28] Stasinopoulos, D., & Kastanioti, C. (2024). The implementation of indirect tax auditing methods in the Greek economy against tax evasion. *Vision*. https://doi.org/10.1177/09722629241237395

[29] Tarfa, E. G., Tarekegn, G., & Yosef, B. (2020). Effects of tax audit on revenue generation. *Journal of International Trade, Logistics and Law*, 6(1), 65-74.

[30] The SRS will start notifying 70,000 natural persons, inviting them to review their declared income. (2024). https://jauns.lv/raksts/zinas/616955-vid-saks-apzinot-70-000-fiziskas-personas-aicinot-parskatit-savus-deklaretos-ienakumus

[31] Zoitsas, A., Valsamidis, D., Toptsi, T., & Tsoktouridou, K. (2020). Indirect auditing methods for individuals subject to income tax. *KnE Social Sciences*, 4(1), 385-400. https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v4i1.6001

[32] Bisenovna, K. A., Ashatuly, S. A., Beibutovna, L. Z., Yesilbayuly, K. S., Zagievna, A. A., Galymbekovna, M. Z., & Oralkhanuly, O. B. (2024). Improving the efficiency of food supplies for a trading company based on an artificial neural network. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 14(4), 4407-4417. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijece.v14i4.pp4407-4417

[33] Ivanov, S., Yudina, S., Hanziuk, S., Gurzhiy, T., & Lysa, O. (2021). Factors affecting the market of household bank deposits in Ukraine. *Economic Annals-XXI*, 190(5), 98-108. https://doi.org/10.21003/EA.V190-09

[34] Kalambet, S. V., Zolotariova, O. V., & Pivniak, Y. V. (2016). Influence of households' finances in Ukraine on indicators of household mobility and the state's socio-economic development. *Naukovyi Visnyk Natsionalnoho Hirnychoho Universytetu*, 4, 130-140.

[35] Yudina, S., Razumova, H., Lysa, O., Oskoma, O., & Zakharchenko, V. (2025). Optimizing Financial Management and Administration in Infrastructure Projects for Economic Recovery. *International Journal of Accounting and Economics Studies*, 12(2), 337-346. https://doi.org/10.14419/bk6pnf50

[36] Brych, V., Skrynkovskyy, R., Shkvarchuk, L., Liakhovych, G., Slav'yuk, R., & Borysiak, O. (2022). Portfolio Optimization of Equity Funds. In *Proceedings - International Conference on Advanced Computer Information Technologies, ACIT* (pp. 207-210). Ruzomberok, Slovakia. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACIT54803.2022.9913202

[37] de-Almeida-e-Pais, J. E., Raposo, H. D. N., Farinha, J. T., Cardoso, A. J. M., Lyubchyk, S., & Lyubchyk, S. (2023). Measuring the Performance of a Strategic Asset Management Plan through a Balanced Scorecard. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(22), 15697. https://doi.org/10.3390/su152215697

[38] Rexhepi, B. R., Daci, E., Mustafa, L., & Berisha, B. I. (2024). Analysis of the effectiveness of freelance exchanges and their demand among corporate customers in the context of tax regulation. *Scientific Bulletin of Mukachevo State University. Series Economics*, 11(1), 60-70. https://doi.org/10.52566/msu-econ1.2024.60

[39] Rexhepi, B. R. (2023a). Impact Of Remittances on Kosovo's Economic Development and Poverty Reduction. *Quality - Access to Success*, 24(195), 347-359. https://doi.org/10.47750/QAS/24.195.41

[40] Rexhepi, B. R. (2023b). Taxes as a source of public monetary income in the Republic of Kosovo. *Quality - Access to Success*, 24(195), 69-79. https://doi.org/10.47750/QAS/24.195.09

[41] Aliyev, S., Gulaliyev, M., Hurshudov, S., Hasanova, A., & Salahov, F. (2023). Effects of Taxes on the Development of the Non-Oil Industry Sector: The Case of Azerbaijan. *WSEAS Transactions on Business and Economics*, 20, 2400-2412. https://doi.org/10.37394/23207.2023.20.206

[42] Shtal, T. V., Polyakova, Y. O., Proskurnina, N. V., Dobroskok, I. B., & Kot, O. V. (2018). Modelling of the convergence of the economic system of Ukraine with the G20 countries based on the analysis of structural changes in Ukrainian foreign trade. *Journal of Advanced Research in Law and Economics*, 9(6), 2129-2145. https://doi.org/10.14505/jarle.v9.6(36).28

[43] Issayeva, A., Niyazbekova, S., Semenov, A., Kerimkhulle, S., Sayimova, M. (2024). Digital technologies and the integration of a green economy: legal peculiarities and electronic transactions. *Reliability Theory and Applications*, 19(6 Special Issue), 1088-1096. https://doi.org/10.24412/1932-2321-2024-681-1088-1096

[44] Patashkova, Y., Niyazbekova, S., Kerimkhulle, S., Serikova, M., & Troyanskaya, M. (2021). Dynamics of Bitcoin trading on the Binance cryptocurrency exchange. *Economic Annals-XXI*, 187(1-2), 177-188. https://doi.org/10.21003/EA.V187-17

[45] Niyazbekova, S., Zverkova, A., Sokolinskaya, N., & Kerimkhulle, S. (2023). Features of the «Green» strategies for the development of banks. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 402, 08029. https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202340208029

[46] Porkodi, S. (2024). The effectiveness of agile leadership in practice: A comprehensive meta-analysis of empirical studies on organizational outcomes. *Journal of Entrepreneurship Management and Innovation*, 20(2), 117-138. https://doi.org/10.7341/20242026

[47] Işık, C., Ongan, S., Islam, H., Yan, J., Alvarado, R., & Ahmad, M. (2025). The nexus of economic growth, energy prices, climate policy uncertainty (CPU), and digitalization on ESG performance in the USA. *Climate Services*, 38, 100572. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cliser.2025.100572

[48] Ongan, S., Gocer, I., & Işık, C. (2025). Introducing the New ESG-Based Sustainability Uncertainty Index (ESGUI*). Sustainable Development*, 33(3), 4457-4467. https://doi.org/10.1002/sd.3351

[49] Lupenko, Y., Viatkina, T., Gordienko, L., Pasichnyk, Y., & Grzebyk, M. (2022). The impact of selected budget expenditures on personal income in the EU and Ukraine. *Financial and Credit Activity Problems of Theory and Practice*, 1(42), 218-225. https://doi.org/10.55643/fcaptp.1.42.2022.3564

[50] Petkov, V. (2025). Bulgaria's European integration: prospects and unresolved issues regarding the country's entry into the Eurozone. *Clio. Revista de Historia, Ciencias Humanas y Pensamiento Crítico*, 5(10), 1999-2036. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15598398

[51] Bukenessov, A., Kazhenov, Y., Kazhenova, A., Temirzhanova, L., & Baimoldina, S. (2024). Consideration of the interests of entrepreneurs in the formation of a strategy for effective legislation and evidence in cases of offences related to tax evasion from legal entities. *Social and Legal Studios*, 7(4), 48-58. https://doi.org/10.32518/sals4.2024.48

Karlis Ketners, PhD at the Faculty of Bioeconomy Development, Vytautas Magnus University Agriculture Academy, Kauno, Lithuania;

ORCID ID: 0000-0001-7891-2745

Address: Karlis Ketners, Vytautas Magnus University Agriculture Academy, LT-53361, 11 Studentų Str., Kauno, Lithuania.

E-mail: ketnerskarlis@gmail.com

_____

Кетнерс Карліс. Застосування непрямих методів оцінки податку на доходи фізичних осіб: регулювання та можливості для вдосконалення податкових перевірок країни. *Журнал Прикарпатського університету імені Василя Стефаника*, **12** (4) (2025), 66-83.

У цьому дослідженні розглянуто впровадження та ефективність методів непрямого оподаткування в адмініструванні податку на доходи фізичних осіб, з особливим акцентом на нормативно-правових базах та можливостях удосконалення податкових перевірок. У дослідженні використовувалися статистичний аналіз,

вивчення тематичних досліджень та порівняльна оцінка практики впровадження в різних юрисдикціях. *Дослідження в першу чергу зосереджувалося на досвіді Латвії як репрезентативної держави-члена ЄС, аналізуючи дані за 2020-2024 роки, пов'язані з показниками ефективності податкового адміністрування та результатами перевірок.* Результати показали, що успішне впровадження непрямих методів вимагає інтеграції передових технологічних можливостей з відповідними правовими базами. *Дослідження показало, що юрисдикції, які інвестують у цифрову інфраструктуру та досвід персоналу, досягли на 23,4% вищих показників добровільного розкриття інформації та покращили точність оцінки на 16,8%. Аналіз досвіду Латвії показав, що належна адаптація передового міжнародного досвіду до місцевих умов підвищила рівень виявлення на 81,2% у 2024 році, з особливо сильними результатами в секторах професійних послуг та роздрібної торгівлі.* У дослідженні було визначено ключові фактори успіху, включаючи якість даних, компетентність персоналу та технологічну інфраструктуру. Аналіз витрат на впровадження виявив значні відмінності у потребах у ресурсах, при цьому початкові інвестиції в технології коливалися від 2,5 до 3,5 мільйонів євро, що демонструє середню прибутковість 185% протягом п'ятирічного періоду. *Дослідження показало, що юрисдикції з інтегрованими системами податкового адміністрування мали на 15-20% вищі показники успішності у впровадженні методів непрямого оподаткування, ніж юрисдикції з фрагментованими системами.*

Ключові слова: податкове адміністрування, фінансовий потік, добровільне дотримання податкового законодавства, поведінка платників податків, податкові надходження, процедурна стандартизація, коефіцієнти повернення податкових надходжень.